## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	5
HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY	555
(Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 1)	ちちち

Docket No. 50-466

## STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS AS TO WHICH THERE IS NO GENUINE ISSUE TO BE HEARD

(1) Each of the five ECCS pump suction lines will be provided with a strainer assembly designed to guarantee that the largest sized particles that could enter the ECCS system are not large enough to hamper ECCS performance by becoming lodged in small openings in ECCS equipment. (Affidavit, p. 2)

(2) The five ECCS strainer assemblies are designed with three times the open area of the suction piping to provide a high confidence that strainer clogging will not hamper ECCS performance. This assembly design exceeds the requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.1. (Affidavit, pp. 2-3)

(3) All insulation utilized in the drywell will be of the metallic reflective type which is the least likely of all available insulation types to break into small pieces and potentially block the ECCS strainers. (Affidavit, p. 3) (4) The location and configuration of the ECCS pump strainer assemblies will further reduce the possibility of blockage. There will be a very low velocity profile in the vicinity of the strainers and they will be located to avoid ingestion of sunken and/or floating debris. (Affidavit, pp. 3-4)

(5) The small amount of insulation utilized in the containment, but outside the drywell, which is not of the metallic reflective type, is extremely unlikely to cause any blockage of the ECCS pumps because the paths to the Suppression Pool from the areas where other insulation will be used, are extremely tortuous. (Affidavit, p. 4)

(6) Applicant has greatly minimized the possibility that paintings and coatings used inside the containment could separate from pipes and cause ECCS blockage by complying with Regulatory Guide 1.54 as described in PSAR, Appendix C. (Affidavit, pp. 4-5)

-2-

79-1743A CLS:EAF

> Doherty Contention No. 13/ ECCS Sumps

COST S \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC) BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY & LICENSING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY (ALLENS CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION UNIT 1)

DOCKET NO. 50-466

DEPOSITION OF: JOHN F. DOHERTY

29 International Court Reporters, Inc.

1917 Bank of the Southwest Building . Houston, Texas 77002 . (713) 652-5911

			김 가지 않는 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 없는 것이 없 않는 것이 없는 것이 없 않는 것이 없는 것이 없 않는 것이 없는 것이 없 않이 않이
1	 1	Q	Have you read the PSAR sections on water level
	 2		indicators?
]	 5	A	No I don't think so. I don't seem to have
1	 4		any Xerox out of that anyway.
1	 5	Q	Do you usually remember when you read things?
]	 6	A	I think so.
1	 7	Q	All right.
	 8	A	But I am not as good as I used to be.
]	 9	Q	In giving your answer to the general question
1	 10		about the design and operation of water level
	 11		indicators, do you believe that you did read
]	 12		the PSAR sections?
1	 13	А	No, I don't.
	 14	Q	John, we'll turn to one that I think we can do
	 15		in the short time remaining.
1	 16	А	All right.
<u> </u>	 17	Q	Number thirteen on the Applicant's containment
1	 18		emergency sump pump. Will you describe for me
1	 19		the ECCS sump that's referenced in this
-	 20		contention?
1	 21	А	Well, I don't understand a lot about it. It's
1	 22	•	at the it's at the bottom of the dry well.
-9	 23	Q	The bottom of the dry well is an ECCS?
	 24	А	There's a way in which water drops. It's
1	 25		collected and piped back into the ECCS for reuse

-

 1	Q	All right. And the sump which is the origin
 2		of the water for reuse is the dry well?
 3	A	Beneath the dry well there is a pump?
 4	A	Yes.
 5	Q	What pump is beneath the dry well?
 6	A	It's called a sump pump.
 7	Q	There's a sump pump beneath the dry well which
 8		pumps water?
 9	A	Well, it drains out.
 10	Q	It pumps the water that's draining into the well
 11		out of the dry well?
 12	А	Yes.
 13	Q	All right.
 14	A	It's got to get out of the dry well to a drain.
 15		The dry well is not a closed place. It's a
 16		drain.
 17	Q	So it has a drain and there's a pump connected
 18		to this drain and it pumps that water?
 19	A	Up into the ECCS, yes.
 20	Q	What is the ECCS?
 21	A	It's a large storage water system.
 22	2	A big tank?
 23	A	It's two tanks, I think.
 24	Q	All right.
 25	A	And maybe even more.

 1	Q	And so this sump pump that you reference in
2		your contention and the sump you reference in
 3		your contention is the dry well, and a pump
 4		connected to the dry well which pumps water into
 5		two large ECCS tanks; correct?
 6	A	Yes.
 7	Q	Okay. Which you then use to recycle well,
 8		where's the end point to the recycling?
 9	A	The water is pumped back into the reactor.
 10	Q	Directly into the reactor vessel?
 11	A	Yes. It's main purpose is that and it pumps
 12		at a high pressure in order to overcome the
 13		pressure in the vessel itself.
 14	Q	What is the source of thermal shielding and
 15		insulation which is the item of concern in
 16		this contention?
 17	A	What is the source of it?
 18	Q	Yes, sir.
 19	А	There's insulation on the piping of the valves
 20		and pumps which in the event of a pipe break
 21		or whatever, can plug the drain.
 22	à	So is insulation and thermal shielding on the
 23		pumps and pipes and valves on the dry well, that
 24		can fall and plug the dry well drain?
 25	A	Yes. That would be the most logical place.

.

 1	Q	Is there any other source?	
 2	Α	Let me think. Let me think.	
 3	Q	What amount of this thermal shield	ing insula-
 4		tion would have to come loose to ca	ause_ the
 5		problem that you described there?	
 6	A	I don't know the answer to that qu	estion?
 7	Q	You don't have any idea what it wo	uld take?
 8		Would it take the totality of all	of that to
 9		plug up the drain?	
 10	А	I would think less than that.	
 11	Q	Half?	
 12	A	Perhaps.	
 13	Q	A quarter?	
 14	A	Perhaps.	
 15	Q	You have no idea?	
		No, I have no idea.	
 17		You know what type of thermal shi	
		tion is used in the dry well that	
 19		to this fragmentation in subsecu	ent draining?
 20	A	No.	
 21	Q	Is all thermal shielding and i	nsulation
 22		susceptible to this process?	
 23		I doubt it.	
 24	Q	Do you know what kind of thermal	
 25		insulation is used in the Allen's	Creek design?

×.

1.1.1.100

125

		지수는 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 많이 많이 있는 것이 없다. 이 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다. 이 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다. 이 것이 없는 것이 없 않는 것이 없는 것이 않은 것이 않은 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 않이 않는 것이 없는 것이 않이
 1	A	No.
 2	Q	Do you know whether or not it is the type that
 3		is susceptible or nonsusceptible to this type
 4		of fragmentation that you described?
 5	A	I believe it is.
 6	Q	What is your basis for your belief?
 7	A	The NRC annual report states that this is a
 8		problem.
 9	Q	Does it state it as a problem at Allen's
 10		Creek?
 11	A	No, it doesn't.
 12	Q	Well, it says it's a problem where?
 13	A	Well, it doesn't specify. It's kind of
 14		unspecific. It says it looks to be any
 15		reactor system.
 16	Q	Any reactor?
 17	A	Yes.
 18	Q	All right.
 19		MR. NEWMAN: What are you reading
 20		from?
 21		THE WITNESS: An NRC annual report.
 22		MR. NEWMAN: May I see it?
 23		THE WITNESS: Sure. Unfortunately
 24		the date is not on it. It's one of the recent
		ones.

	1	Q	(By Mr. Biddle) That's your only source for
<u></u>	2		assuming that thermal shielding insulation can
Second Second	3		block the Allen's Creek drain sump or dry well
	4		drain?
	5	À	Well, regulatory guide
	6	Q	Excuse me. Does that regulatory guide reference
	7		Allen's Creek?
	8	A	No, none of them do. They never reference
	9		plants.
_	10	Q	And what is the relevance of the rate guide to
	11		a potential problem at Allen's Creek?
	12	A	They are to provide methods acceptable to the
	13		NRC regulatory staff of implementing specific
	14		parts of regulation.
	15	Q	Is it your contention that Allen's Creek will
	16		not comply with that rate guide?
	17	A	Not yet, no. I would have said that principles
	18		do not necessarily mean that they will license
			the aspect anyway.
		Q	Are you contending that compliance with that
			rate guide is insufficient assurance of the
	22	•	problems you described?
			Yes. At this point I am.
	. 24		But you have no knowledge of the type or amount
	25		of thermal shielding insulation which exists at

1 Allen's Creek?

2 A That's right.

	~	
3	Q	If it had no thermal shielding or insulation,
4		what would be the basis of your contention?
5		The presence of the rate guide alone is sufficient?
6	A	The rate guide seems it doesn't seem to
7		indicate that as a possibility.
8	Q	Does it reference Allen's Creek?
9	A	No.
10	Q	So in an attempt to recapitulate again, the
11		source of your concern depends solely_on the
12		evidence of the rate guide and an NRC_annual
13		report which states that for all reactor types,
14		there may be a possibility of thermal shielding
15		and insulation blocking a dry well drain?
16	A	Blockage of the dry well drain is the problem
17		the regulatory guide speaks of debris.
18	Q	Which regulatory guide?
19	A	1.82.
20		MR. NEWMAN: Do you have 1.82 with
21		you?
22		THE WITNESS: Yes.
23		MR. NEWMAN: May I see it?
24		THE WITNESS: Yes.
		(By Mr. Biddle) Am I not correct that the
		10

1		presence of this rate guide or other_rate
2		guides and the NRC annual report is the sole
3		actual basis for your assertion that there's a
4		problem at Allen's Creek in blocking up a dry
5		well drain?
6	A	I don't know of any publications on this. It's
7		attached in the offices it's attached in the
8		nuclear reactor regulation number C-3_so they
9		have apparently thought it had some bearing.
10	Q	Do they reference Allen's Creek?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Do they reference designs similar to the Allen's
13		Creek design?
14	A	They don't seem to reference anything to my
15		knowledge.
16	Q	Do they give any indication that this test
17		applies to all reactors that encompasses Allen's
18		Creek without exception?
19	A	That would be pretty hard.
20	Q	Do they or don't they?
21	Α	At the moment they don't speak about Allen's
22		Creek.
23	Q	Do they speak of reactor types? Do they speak
24		of reactor types?
25	А	Just a minute.
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	3 4 5 6 A 7 8 9 10 Q 11 A 12 Q 13 A 12 Q 13 A 12 Q 13 A 15 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 13 14 A 15 Q 17 18 16 Q 17 18 19 A 20 Q 21 A 20 Q 21 A 22 Q

1. 107

C 14 1 14 1 14	0	TIm	sorry.
and the second se	L 🖌	abs 555	

 2	А	That's	okay.	I	don't	see	where	they	do.	There'	-th
2		nothing	here.								

- 4 Q Is it conceivable that one reactor type could
- 5 have a greater problem then another reactor type?
- 6 A Yes. I would say so, yes.
- 7 Q And you don't know which category Allen's
- \_\_\_\_\_ 8 Creek belongs in?
- \_\_\_\_\_ 9 A Right.
- 10 Q You have no facts in hand to make that differ-11 entiation?
- 12 A I have no facts in hand, that's right.
- 13 Q Could you tell me what significance the ultimate 14 heat sink design -- could you tell me of what
- 15 significance the ultimate heat sink design
- 16 or operation has to this contention?
- 17 A It's my understanding that if there's a
- 18 failure of the ECCS, there's a way that they
  19 can take water directly from the ultimate heat
  20 sink and use that.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 21 Q All right.

\_\_\_\_\_ 22 MR. NEWMAN: What is the ultimate \_\_\_\_\_ 23 heat sink at Allen's Creek?

24 THE WITNESS: It's a small depres-25 sion in the bottom of the coolant.

_	1		MR. NEWMAN: It's your contention
	2		that that would be drawn up for use as an
14. 19 A.	3		emergency cooling system?
	4		THE WITNESS: If the recycling
	5		system doesn't function, apparently it's a
	6		backup.
	7		MR. NEWMAN: All right.
	8	Q	(By Mr. Biddle) So it really has no direct
	9		relation to your intention about the adequacy
	10		of ECCS system and it's problems with dry well
	11		draining?
	12	А	Well, I would assume the ultimate heat sink
	13		would be considered part of the ECCS system.
	14	Q	Does this have any correlation in this contention?
-	15	A	It only supports the contention in that if
	16		the ultimate heat sink is not able to function,
	17		then it's more urgent to make certain that it
	18		doesn't happen.
	19	Q	If the ultimate heat sink is not able to
	20		function; correct?
	21	A	Properly, yes.
	22	Q	How is the functioning of the heat sink in any
	23		way connected to the dry well drain or thermal
	24		shielding and insulation?
	25	Α	If the water is inaccessible, then a shift has

	1		to be made to another system to get water.
	2	Q	This shift you're describing is between ECCS
-	3		and the normal heat sink?
	4	A	Yes.
	5	Q	Okay. But using the ultimate heat sink has
	6		no reference to problems with the dry well drain
	7		or the thermal shield; correct?
	8	A	The dry well drain problems will occur inde-
	9		pendent of whether the ultimate heat sink
	10		will happen or not.
	11	Q	Or problems with the dry well drain will have
	12		no impact on the heat sink?
	13	A	Yes, they will.
	14	Q	What's that?
	15	A	If one doesn't work, the other will have to be
<u></u>	16		used.
	17	Q	If there's a failure because of the dry well
	18		drain, will it impact the performance_of the
	19		heat sink?
-	20	A	No.
	21		MR. NEWMAN: John, a few_moments
	22		ago when I asked you to furnish to me the
	23		document that you were reading from, you
	24		furnished to me an unidentified or a page
	25		from an unidentified document. I have it here

in my hand, and I want to be sure that this 1 document is the one that you were referring to 2 as a basis for your contention. 3 THE WITNESS: Was that on this 4 contention? 5 MR. NEWMAN: Yes. 6 THE WITNESS: I don't have a copy 7 of it with me. 8 MR. NEWMAN: Excuse me. That is 9 your document, just in case you forgot. \_\_\_\_ 10 THE WITNESS: This one is mine. \_\_\_\_ 11 All right. What is it you're saying for sure? \_\_\_\_ 12 MR. NEWMAN: Earlier -- well, let's \_\_\_\_\_ 13 have that document marked for identification. 14 THE WITNESS: No problem. \_\_\_\_ 15 \_\_\_\_\_ 16 (The instrument referred to was \_\_\_\_ 17 marked Doherty Exhibit 1 for identification.) \_\_\_\_\_ 18 \_\_\_\_\_ 19 MR. NEWMAN. Okay. Back on the \_\_\_\_\_ 20 record. \_\_\_\_\_ 21 John, I want to show you Exhibit \_\_\_\_\_ 22 No. 1 in this deposition and ask you whether \_\_\_\_\_ 23 this is the document to which you referred as 24 a partial basis for your contention when we \_\_\_\_\_ 25

133

1	spoke a few moments ago?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes I mean, I
3	don't understand. I have this right here in
¥	the file. I don't know anything about any
5	words.
6	MR. NEWMAN: This is the document
7	I thought you were relying on for part of your
8	contention; correct?
9	THE WITNESS: Right.
10	MR. NEWMAN: And specifically, as
11	I recall you drew our attention to regulatory
12	guide 1.82; correct?
13	THE WITNESS: Right.
14	MR. NEWMAN: So that's a part of
15	your contention as well; correct?
16	THE WITNESS: Well, the contention
17	is as it's worded.
18	MR. NEWMAN: But as a basis for
19	your contention, you pointed to rate guide
20	1.82 as a result of my having looked at it;
21	correct?
22	THE WITNESS: Right.
23	MR. NEWMAN: As I understand it,
24	part of the basis for your contention comes
25	from regulatory guide 1.82; correct?
	1-1-2

THE WITNESS: No. The contention 1 would have cited the basis if 1.82 were the 2 intention. It may be that this backed that 3 4 up. MR. NEWMAN: Why don't you take a 5 look at that document and tell me what portions 6 of that document provide a basis for your 7 contention? 8 MR. COPELAND: Off the record. 9 10 (Whereupon there was a discussion \_\_\_\_ 11 held off the record.) 12 \_\_\_\_ 13 MR. NEWMAN: Let's go back on 14 the record. 15 For the record, I'd like to note \_\_\_\_\_ 16 that what we just referred to as Doherty Exhibit \_\_\_\_ 17 No. 1 has been re-numbered as Doherty Exhibit No. 4 for purposes of consistency with prior \_\_\_\_\_ 19 depositions in this matter. \_\_\_\_\_ 20 John, when we spoke before about \_\_\_\_\_ 21 Exhibit No. 4, you, in discussing that mentioned \_\_\_\_\_ 22 the regulatory guide 1.82 which is discussed in \_\_\_\_ 23 that Exhibit 4; is that correct? \_\_\_\_ 24 THE WITNESS: I think so, yes. \_\_\_\_ 25

135

		up upuusu, all sight and it's
1		MR. NEWMAN: All right. And it's
2		your contention, I take it, that regulatory
3		guide 1.32 applies to the Allen's Creek plant?
4		THE WITNESS: Yes
5		MR. NEWMAN: Okay. For the record,
6		I'd like to note that regulatory guide 1.82
7		applies toward reactors.
8		THE WITNESS: What time do you
9		have?
10	)	MR. BIDDLE: 11:30. Have we
11		arrived at end of questions at this point?
12	2	MR. NEWMAN: There's one_final
1;	3	series I'd like to have.
14	4	Off the record.
1	5	
10	5	(Whereupon there was a discussion
1	7	held off the record.)
1	8	
1	9 2	(By Mr. Biddle) One last question, John. On
2	0	this ECCS sump business, you don't have an
2	1	expert witness on the ECCS design and operation;
2	2	is that correct?
2	3 A	That's correct.
2	4 Q	And do you hold yourself out as an expert on
2	5	the ECCS sump design and operation?
	-	11

 1	A		hold myself out as an	
 2	Q	All right.	Then we'll resume agai	n tomorrow
 3		morning at 9	9:00.	
 4	A	You want to	resume at 9:00? Okay.	I can go
 5		through the	whole day.	
 6	Q	All right.		
 7				
 8			(Whereupon the proceed	ings were
 9			recessed until 9:00 a.	m. on
 10			November 20, 1979.)	
 11				
 12				
 13				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
 14				
 15				
 16				
 17				
18				
 19				
 20				
 21				
 22				
 23		-		
 24				
 25				