

NOTATION VOTE

10/19/90  
DATE

Initials

RESPONSE SHEET

REVISED

**TO:** SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION  
**FROM:** COMMISSIONER ROGERS  
**SUBJECT:** SECY-90-055 - PIUS DESIGN REVIEW

**APPROVED**  **DISAPPROVED**  **ABSTAIN**

**NOT PARTICIPATING**  **REQUEST DISCUSSION**

**COMMENTS:**

In my vote on SECY-90-055 I stated that if adequate resources could be made available without disruption of our other highest priority responsibilities, I would support Commissioner Remick's conditional approval to proceed with the conceptual design review of the PIUS reactor.

I have concluded that the EDO's response of June 4, 1990 to my inquiry of April 16, 1990 dispells my earlier concern that adequately experienced personnel do not exist at NRC to perform a conceptual design review of PIUS and other advanced and passive reactor designs. The EDO's memorandum provides a reasonable basis for my changing my previous vote and supporting the PIUS conceptual design review, as well as the design review of other advanced and passive reactor designs.

9010260088 90061B  
PDR COMMS NRCC  
CORRESPONDENCE PNU

Kenneth C. Rogers  
**SIGNATURE**

June 18, 1990  
**DATE**

**RELEASE VOTE**

**WITHHOLD VOTE**

**ENTERED ON "AS"** Yes  No

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

TRANSMITTAL TO: X Document Control Desk, P1-24

ADVANCE COPY TO: \_\_\_\_\_ Public Document Room\*

DATE: 10/19/80

FROM: SECY, Operations Branch *C. B. S.*

Attached are copies of SECY papers and related documents. They are being forwarded for entry on the Daily Accession List and placement in the Public Document Room. No other distribution is requested or required.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1. <u>Secy-90-055</u><br>Arm Ton 90-055 &  | 11. <u>Com. Remicki note</u><br><u>sheet on 90-067</u> |
| 2. <u>90-067 dtd 10/2/90</u><br><u>Com. Rogers' note</u><br><u>sheet on 90-055</u> | 12. <u>Secy. 90-288</u><br><u>Revised Arm on</u>       |
| 3. <u>Com. Rogers' revised</u><br><u>note sheet on 90-055</u>                      | 13. <u>90-288 dtd 10/5/90</u><br><u>Arm on 90-288</u>  |
| 4. <u>Com. Curtiss' revised</u><br><u>note sheet on 90-055</u>                     | 14. <u>dtd 10/4/90</u><br><u>Com. Curtiss' note</u>    |
| 5. <u>Com. Curtiss' note</u><br><u>sheet on 90-055</u>                             | 15. <u>Sheet on 90-288</u>                             |
| 6. <u>Com. Remicki note</u><br><u>sheet on 90-055</u>                              | 16. _____  |
| 7. <u>Sheet on 90-055</u>  | 17. _____  |
| 8. <u>Secy-90-067</u><br><u>Com. Curtiss' revised</u>                              | 18. _____  |
| 9. <u>note sheet on 90-067</u><br><u>Com. Curtiss' note</u>                        | 19. _____  |
| 10. <u>sheet on 90-067</u>   | 20. _____  |

\* PDR is advanced two copies of each SECY paper and one copy of each related document.

000200

DF02

