NAC #0						U.S. NL	CLEAR RE	GULATO	Y COMMIS		EXP	OMB ND. 3150-0			
	LICENSEE EVENT REPOR						DRT (LER)				ED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS ATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. PORWARD TIS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS PORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (PASO), U.S. NUCLEAR TORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO PERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3156-0164), OFFICE AGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.				
PACILIT	Y NAME (		-1	r Plant				9.5			DOCKET NUMBER		PAGE (3)		
TITLE	Statement Statements	States and a state of the state		THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.	sign of	Cont	rol R	oom F	merge	ncy Venti	1ation Sy	and the second se	4 1 OF 016		
	Res	ults in		ant Opera	tion in	an U	nanal	yzed				0000			
MONTH	DAY		YEAR T	SEQUENTIAL	and the second se	MONTH	PORT DATE	YEAR		OTHER FACILITY NA	FACILITIES INVO	DOCKET NUMB	R(S)		
			-	NUMBER	NUMBER					NA		0 15 10 1			
01	116	90	010	- 0/1/5	-011	10	115	9 0				0 15 10 10			
	RATING	7.		AT IS BURMITTE	D PURBUANT		OUREME		CPR \$: 10	Check one or more	of the following) (1				
POW	001 (9)	15	20.40	2(6) B(e)(1)(i)	-	20.405				50.7341(2)(iv)		73,71(6)			
LEVI (10	IL	010	-	Bta (1)(8)	-	50.361e			-	50.73(a)(2)(vi)		OTHER IS	pecify in Abstract		
			-	Bia)(1)(W)	_	50.73(e)				50.73(a)(2)(viii)(		363A/	in Text, NRC Form		
		-	-	641(1)(IV) 641(1)(V)	X	\$0.73ia			-	50.73(e)(2)(viii)(	•				
NAME					L	ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)						
											AREA CODE	TELEPHONE NUI	MOER		
See 1	E. W	. Ford.	, Con	npliance	Enginee	r		1.00			5 1 0 1 3	515161	-15151717		
						EACH CO	MPOHENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	NT (13)				
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONE	NT	TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC. TURER	TO NPROS			
			1												
			1	1.1.1	Rep. 1					111	111				
				SUPPLEME	NTAL REPORT	EXPECTE	D (14)				EXPECTE SUBMISSIO		H DAY YEAR		
YE	5 111 ver. c	mpiete EXPE	CTED SU	BMISSION DATE	,	X	NO				DATE IN				
ABSTRA	CT (Limit t	0 1400 100001	·	roximately fifteen	unge-spece type	written lind	m/ (16)				1998				
	outa Vent cool temp des: Cont than Cont inac supp Seis requ (Not are Prob haza Cont than foll	age. tilati ling c beratu ign ba trol R trol R dequat blemen smic C hired te: Po not di babili ards w trol R cont do babili ards w trol R dequat blemen smic C hired te: Po not di terol R terol	Dur on apa apa sis com it com it com it com it ate por it ate stic stic com it ate or it com i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	ing a d System, city wa could e accide heat 1 is los remain riginal coolin gory I tion of gned to c Risk submitt heat u The res ed and set of	esign it was s not xceed nt when oad is t becan energ design g syste criter the co the syste criter the co the syste criter a syste criter the co the syste criter a syste a syste criter a syste a syste criter a syste criter a syste criter a syste criter a syste criter a syste criter a syste a syste criter a syste	revie s dif adeq the of high use f ized n. of em was tand tand tand the how ed 1 iden	ew of scove uate, desig fsite her v more . Thi Corre as up ol Ro loca torr whice NRC I ions, the C	f the ered and gn 11 e pow when equi- is co- ectivo ograd 00; 11 equi- is co- ectivo ograd 00; 11 ectivo 00; 12 ectivo 00; 13 ectivo 00; 13 ectivo 00; 14 equi- is co- ectivo 00; 14 equi- is co- ectivo 00; 14 equi- is co- ectivo 00; 14 equi- ectivo 00; 14 equi- ectivo 00; 14 equi- ectivo 00; 14 ectivo 00; 14 ectivo 14 ectivo 14 ectivo 14 ectivo 14 ectivo 14 ectivo 14 e 14 ectivo	Con that that init offs offs ondit ve Ac led t is Con t wind hows her a col R es F er, t	trol Ro the sy t Contr of 110 emained ite pow t and 1 ion was tions: o meet now co ency Ve he Cont loadin these a 21, 198 ctual p oom tem about s his is	the 1990 om Emerg stem's of ol Room degrees availab er is av ighting the res The Cont safety n nsidered ntilatio rol Buli gs or mi re not of 9.) Cons lant dat perature even day consider	gency calculat F durin ole. The vailable in the sult of trol Rod related d a on Syste iding re issiles credible servative ta were would vs red	ted ng a ne e an om , em. oof . A e ve		

NRC Form 366 (6-89)

9010240070 901015 PDR ADOCK 05000344 S PDC

	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION				APPROVED DMB NO. 3180-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (16.50), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20565, AND TO THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER IGI PA				GE (3)				
		YEAR		NUMBER	R	UMBER				
Trojan Nuclear Plant	0 5 0 0 3 4 4	9 0	-	0   1   5	-	0 1	0 2 0		16	
TEXT If more space is required, use additional NRC Form JBGA's)	(17)									

# EVENT DESCRIPTION

On May 16, 1990, the Trojan Nuclear Plant was in Mode 5 (Cold Shutdown). The 1990 refueling and maintenance outage was in progress. During a design review of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System, it was discovered that the system's calculated cooling capacity was not adequate, and that Control Room temperature could exceed the design limit of 110 degrees F during a design basis accident when offsite power remained available. The Control Room heat load is higher when offsite power is available than when it is lost because more equipment and lighting in the Control Room remain energized. The Trojan Final Safety Analysis Report, Section 9.4.1, Control Room Area Ventilation System, states, in part, the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System will provide minimum ventilation requirements under any postulated abnormal conditions or accident conditions (this includes accident conditions when offsite power remains available).

The Control Room Emergency Ventilation System is relied upon to maintain the habitability envelope and to maintain the Control Room temperature within analyzed limits under accident conditions or a toxic chemical release. The Control Room Emergency Ventilation System's ability to perform its design cooling function could not be confirmed under the current analytical assumptions, therefore the plant was considered to be in an unanalyzed condition. This report is submitted to fulfill the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (ii) (A). This condition was also reported to the NRC via the Emergency Notification System on May 18, 1990, in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.72.

#### CAUSE

This condition was the result of an inadequate original design.

According to the Trojan Nuclear Plant Architect-Engineer, a design basis accident without a loss of offsite power was not considered in sizing of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System coolers. This resulted in under-estimating the heat load the coolers were required to remove.

LICENSEE EVENT P		APPROVED DME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/82 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REDU'. 500 HRS FORMARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN 5./IMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20665, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20653.					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
Trojan Nuclear Plant TEXT (M more space & required, ucs eddhorne/ NMC Form 1054 %) (17)	0  5  0  0   3  4   4		0 3 0 0 0 6				

### CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

In 1988, a supplemental cooling system was installed to help the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System maintain lower Control Room temperatures. This was done to enhance equipment reliability and Control Room habitability. During the 1990 refueling outage, the supplemental cooling system was upgraded to meet safety related, Seismic Category I criteria. It is now considered a required portion of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System. (Note: Portions of the supplemental cooling system located on the Control Buliding roof are not designed to withstand tornado wind loadings or missiles. A Probabilistic Risk Assessment which shows these are not credible hazards was submitted to the NRC December 21, 1989.) Operation of the supplemental cooling system, in conjunction with the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System, provides sufficient cooling capacity to maintain Control Room temperature within design limits under accident conditions.

New calculations, based upon actual measured capacity of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System coolers, and upon the actual measured Control Room heat load have been issued. These calculations are the point of reference for design questions regarding the Control Room Ventilation Systems' heat removal capabilities.

The assessment that the Control Room temperature would exceed its design limit under accident conditions, with offsite power available, was based upon preliminary calculations. Subsequent to the original submittal of this report, tests were conducted to determine the actual cooling capacity of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System and the actual Control Room heat load during normal plant operation. The results of these tests were factored into refined Control Room heat up calculations. The results of the calculations show, that under design basis conditions, with offsite power available, the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System would have maintained Control Room temperature at or below 110 degrees for at least seven days following the initiation of an accident. The calculation was performed assuming worst case ambient conditions and a Control Room temperature of 75 degrees at the onset of the accident.

# SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

The calculation related to the Control Room temperatures under accident conditions includes several conservative assumptions. These assumptions and an evaluation of their effect upon Control Room temperature are discussed below.

LICENSEE EVENT		APPROVED OMB NO. 3160-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/02 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REDUEST 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MARAGEMENT BRANCH (F430). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 2055, AND TO THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
Trojan Nuclear Plant	0 6 0 0 3 4 4	9 0 - 0115 - 011	01400016				
TEXT (If more appear is required, use additions) NRC Form DBA's) (17)							

# Assumption: Service Water temperature is 75 degrees F.

The service water system is supplied from the Columbia River. A review of the weekly average temperature of the river for the years 1987-1989 was performed. During that time period, the temperature ranged from a low of 34.9 degrees to a high of 71.4 degrees. (The time period was chosen based upon the availability of data, but is considered to be representative.) Additional reviews of the daily river water temperatures for previous years indicate that temperatures were generally below 72 degrees. One occasion was found (August 17, 1977) where the recorded river water temperature reached the design temperature of 75 degrees.

River water temperatures less than 75 degrees would increase the cooling capacity of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System and result in lower Control Room temperatures.

Assumption: Outdoor air temperature is a constant 91 degrees F.

This temperature is used because it is higher than temperatures achieved 99 percent of the time during the summer months. The calculation conservatively assumes 91 degree outside air temperature around the clock. No credit is taken for nocturnal temperature reductions. Outdoor air temperatures lower than 91 degrees F would improve the ability of the building to transfer heat to the environment and reduce Control Room temperatures. In addition, the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System draws approximately 18 percent of its total flow from outside air for Control Room pressurization. Additional cooling would result from drawing outside makeup air at temperatures below 91 degrees F.

Assumption: Spaces (rooms) adjacent to the Control Room are at their peak design temperatures.

The calculation conservatively assumes that the spaces adjacent to the Control Room which do not have safety related cooling or ventilation systems, are at their design temperature of 104 degrees F at the onset of, and throughout an accident. These spaces are normally maintained below this temperature. With lower temperatures in these spaces at the onset of an accident, the amount of heat transfer from the Control Room would exceed the value assumed in the calculations and extend the amount of time to reach the design temperature of 110 degrees F.

• •		AS NUCLEAR BEAL	A COMPANY AND A CO	
CILITY NAME (1)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	T (LER)	APPROVED OME NO. 3150 EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST & COD MENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMAT AND DENTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P. REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (D OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGT LER NUMBER (A)	COMPLY WTH T
		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET	DC 20555. AND 3150-0104) OFF
			LER NUMBER (6)	the second se
Trojan N	uclear Plant		YEAR SEQUENTIAL MEVISION	PAGE (3)
	Control, Use additional NRC Form DECASION	0 15 0 0 0 3 4 4		
equal Record requir instal The his was 87 signif: The res design Control functio limits. Even if System i temperat F. The increasi Normal V or shut load. Based up considera unlikely Room Emen	n Technical Specification lation System, requires 31 days. In order for fied, the Control Room to to 110 degrees F for the s of testing performed ment for the summer mo- lation of the supplement ghest Control Room tempo degrees F. The Control icantly between accident sults of the periodic te calculations and provid on and maintained Control it is assumed that the had insufficient cooling tures would have been all operators in the Control ing temperature and coul ventilation System, star down unnecessary equipm on the results of the re ation of its conservative that this condition affi rgency Ventilation System e limit under design ba power remained available if ect upon the health an	cemperature must r the ten hour durati to fulfill this s inths of 1986 and tal cooling system erature achieved of l Room heat loads t conditions or no esting confirm the le reasonable assu- tion System would a Room temperatur Control Room Emer g capacity, it is llowed to get as r bl Room would have to reduce the efined calculation ve assumptions, it fected the ability em to maintain temperatur	requirements to be tested emain less than or on of the test. urveillance 1987 (prior to m) were reviewed. during these tests would not vary ormal operations. conservatis: in the have performed its e within design rgency Ventilation unlikely that high as 110 degrees noticed the on to restart the cooling systems, Control Room heat n, and in t is considered of the Control aperatures within	
REVIOUS	SIMILAR EVENTS	a safety of the p	ublic.	
NO previo	SIMILAR EVENTS	a salety of the p	ublic.	
WO previo	SIMILAR EVENTS	a salety of the p	ublic.	

orm D

LICENSEE EVENT RI		APPROVED OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED RURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEBT 56:0 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P 530) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20556, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 2050.					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6) PAGE				
		YEAR	SEQUENTI				
Trojan Nuclear Plant	0 5 0 0 3 4 4	90	- 0  1	5 - 011	015	DF 0 16	

Trojan Technical Specification 4.7.6.1, Control Room Emergency Ventilation System, requires each train of the system to be tested every 31 days. In order for the surveillance requirements to be satisfied, the Control Room temperature must remain less than or equal to 110 degrees F for the ten hour duration of the test. Records of testing performed to fulfill this surveillance requirement for the summer months of 1986 and 1987 (prior to installation of the supplemental cooling system) were reviewed. The highest Control Room temperature achieved during these tests was 87 degrees F. The Control Room heat loads would not vary significantly between accident conditions or normal operations. The results of the periodic testing confirm the conservatism in the design calculations and provide reasonable assurance that the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System would have performed its function and maintained Control Room temperature within design limi's.

Even if it is assumed that the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System had insufficient cooling capacity, it is unlikely that temperatures would have been allowed to get as high as 110 degrees F. The operators in the Control Room would have noticed the increasing temperature and could have taken action to restart the Normal Ventilation System, start up supplemental cooling systems, or shut down unnecessary equipment to reduce the Control Room heat load.

Based upon the results of the refined calculation, and in consideration of its conservative assumptions, it is considered unlikely that this condition affected the ability of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System to maintain temperatures within 110 degree limit under design basis accident conditions when offsite power remained available. Additionally, the system was never challenged under accident conditions. Therefore, this event had no effect upon the health and safety of the public.

#### PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

Two previous Licensee Event Reports regarding deficiencies with the design of the Control Room Emergency Ventilation System were identified. The numbers and titles of those reports are listed below.

- LER # Title
- 86-02 Control Room Emergency Ventilation System Degradation due to Equipment Failure and Design Deficiency

NRC PORM 386A	LICENSEE EVENT REPO TEXT CONTINUATI		APPROVED OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST BOO HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-830). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20565. AND TO THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.				
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)			
			YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISIC				
Trojan Nucl		0 5 0 0 3 4	4 9 1 0 - 0 11 5 - 01	1016 OF 0 16			
TEXT (If more space is required.	ute edditione/ NRC Form 3864 (s) (17)						
TDT (# more space i mouror). 88-18	ue eddbord ARC form BEA () (17) Excessive Unfit Control Room En Performing Safe	ltered In-leakage C mergency Ventilatio ety Function	ould Have Prevente n System From	a			