

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 2, 2020

MEMORANDUM TO: Eugene Dacus, Director Office of Congressional Affairs

FROM:

Thomas H. Boyce, Chief Regulatory Guidance and Generic Issues Branch Division of Engineering Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

SUBJECT:

TRANSMITTAL OF CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW ACT FORMS

Enclosed for your signature are three Congressional Review Act forms for Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.166, Revision 1, "Pre-Earthquake Planning, Shutdown, and Restart of a Nuclear Power Plant Following an Earthquake," and three Congressional Review Act forms for withdrawal of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.167, "Restart of a Nuclear Power Plant Shut Down by an Earthquake." Please arrange to have these forms submitted to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the U.S. Government Accountability Office.

Enclosures:

1. Congressional Review Act Forms

2. Regulatory Guide 1.66

CONTACT: Stanley Gardocki RES/DE/RGGIB 301-415-1067

SUBJECT:

TRANSMITTAL OF CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW ACT FORMS

DISTRIBUTION:

DE r/f

ADAMS Accession No: ML20059L669

	RES/DE/RGGIB	RES/DE/RGGIB
	S. Gardocki	T. Boyce
DATE	2 /28/19	3/2/19

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



President of the Senate

□ Speaker of the House of Representatives

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Research
3. Rule Title	
Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.166, "Pre-Earthquake Planr Following an Earthquake"	ning, Shutdown, and Restart of a Nuclear Power Plant
4. Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if	applicable)
5. Major Rule O Non-major Rule O	· ·
6. Final Rule O Other Revision of existing	Regulatory Guide
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solict public commen	nts? Yes No O N/A O
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)	
 Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant 	 Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) February 21, 2020	
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both) attach	ned O stated in rule O
Submitted by: (sig	inature)
Name: Eugene Dacus	
Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received: ____

24722	

		Yes	No	N/A	
A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	0	•	
В.	With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency				
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 	0	0	٠	
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0	•	
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•	
D.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	0	٠	
E.	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	0	0	٠	
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?	0	0		
	• E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	0	•	
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	0	•	
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	0	0	•	
	 E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership 	0	0		
	E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	0	•	
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	0	•	



□ President of the Senate

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Research
3. Rule Title	I
Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.166, "Pre-Earthquake Plann Following an Earthquake"	ing, Shutdown, and Restart of a Nuclear Power Plant
4. Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if a	applicable)
5. Major Rule 🔿 Non-major Rule 🛡	×
6. Final Rule O Other Revision of existing I	Regulatory Guide
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solict public comments	s? Yes No O N/A O
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)	
O Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant	 Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) February 21, 2020	
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both) attache	ed O stated in rule O
Submitted by: (sign Name: Eugene Dacus Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	ature)
·····	

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received:

24722	

		Yes	No	N/A
A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	0	•
Β.	With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 	0	0	•
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0	•
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under \S 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
D.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	0	•
E.	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	0	0	٠
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?	0	0	•
	• E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	0	•
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	0	•
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	0	0	
	 E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership 	0	0	
	• E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	0	•
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	0	•



President of the Senate

□ Speaker of the House of Representatives

📓 GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Research
3. Rule Title	
Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.166, "Pre-Earthquake Plann Following an Earthquake"	ng, Shutdown, and Restart of a Nuclear Power Plant
4. Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if a	pplicable)
5. Major Rule 🔾 Non-major Rule 🔵	
6. Final Rule O Other Revision of existing I	Regulatory Guide
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solict public commenter	s? Yes No O N/A O
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)	
O Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant	 Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) February 21, 2020	
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both) attache	ed O stated in rule O
Submitted by: (sign Name: Eugene Dacus	ature)
Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received:

1	24722	

		Yes	No	N/A	
A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	0	۰	
Β.	With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency				
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 	0	0	•	
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0		
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under \S 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•	
D.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	0		
E.	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	0	0		
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?	0	0		
	• E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	0		
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	0	۰	
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	0	0	•	
	 E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership 	0	0		
	E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	0		
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	0	•	
	• Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the preamble				



President of the Senate

 \Box Speaker of the House of Representatives

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency	
	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Research
3. Rule Title	
Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.167, "Restart of a Nuclear P	ower Plant Shut Down by an Earthquaka"
Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.107, Restart of a Nuclear 1	Swei Flaht Shut Down by an Eartiquake
4. Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if a	
	ppilcable)
5. Major Rule O Non-major Rule O	
6. Final Rule O Other O <u>Withdrawal of existin</u>	g Regulatory Guide
With respect to this rule, did your agency solict public comments	s? Yes No O N/A O
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)	
O Economically Significant; or	Routine and Frequent or
Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant	Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form
	if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) February 21, 2020	
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both)	
attache	ed O stated in rule O
Submitted by: (sign	ature)
Name: Eugene Dacus	2
Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	
	·
For Congressional Use Only:	
Date Received:	

247

	•	Yes	No	N/A
A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	0	•
В.	With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 	0	0	•
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0	•
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•
D.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	0	
E.	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	0	0	
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?	0	0	٠
	• E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	0	•
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	0	•
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	0	0	•
	• E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership	Ö	0	
	• E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	0	•
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	0	•

3/23/99



□ President of the Senate

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Research
3. Rule Title	
Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.167, "Restart of a Nuclear H	Power Plant Shut Down by an Earthquake"
4. Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identifier (if	applicable)
5. Major Rule 🔿 Non-major Rule 🔵	
6. Final Rule O Other Mithdrawal of existi	ng Regulatory Guide
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solict public commen	ts? Yes O N/A O
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)	
O Economically Significant; or Significant; or	Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other
Substantive, Nonsignificant	(Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) February 21, 2020	
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both) attach	ned O stated in rule
Submitted by: (sig	nature)
Name: Eugene Dacus	
Title: Director, Office of Congressional Affairs	

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received:



		Yes	No	N/A
A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	0	•
Β.	With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency			
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 	0	0	•
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0	•
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under \S 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	٠
D.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	0	٠
E.	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	0	0	٠
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?	0	0	٠
	• E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	0	•
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	0	٠
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	0	0	•
	 E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership 	0	0	•
	E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	0	
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	0	



 $\hfill\square$ President of the Senate

□ Speaker of the House of Representatives

🖾 GAO

Please fill the circles electronically or with black pen or #2 pencil.

1. Name of Department or Agency	2. Subdivision or Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Research
3. Rule Title	
Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.167, "Restart of a Nuc	lear Power Plant Shut Down by an Earthquake"
4. Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) or Other Unique Identi	ifier (if applicable)
5. Major Rule O Non-major Rule O	
6. Final Rule O Other Mithdrawal of e	existing Regulatory Guide
7. With respect to this rule, did your agency solict public co	mments? Yes No O N/A O
8. Priority of Regulation (fill in one)	
O Economically Significant; or Significant; or Substantive, Nonsignificant	 Routine and Frequent or Informational/Administrative/Other (Do not complete the other side of this form if filled in above.)
9. Effective Date (if applicable) February 21, 2020	
10. Concise Summary of Rule (fill in one or both)	attached O stated in rule
Submitted by:	(signature)
Name: Eugene Dacus	
Title: Director, Office of Congressional Af	fairs

For Congressional Use Only:

Date Received:

1		
	.	1

-		Yes	No	N/A	
A.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an analysis of costs and benefits?	0	0	۲	
В.	With respect to this rule, by the final rulemaking stage, did your agency				
	 certify that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under 5 U.S.C. § 605(b)? 	0	0		
	2. prepare a final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis under 5 U.S.C. § 604(a)?	0	0		
C.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare a written statement under § 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995?	0	0	•	
D.	With respect to this rule, did your agency prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)?	0	0	٠	
E.	Does this rule contain a collection of information requiring OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995?	0	0		
F.	Did you discuss any of the following in the preamble to the rule?	0	0	•	
	• E.O. 12612, Federalism	0	0		
	 E.O. 12630, Government Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights 	0	0		
	 E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review 	0	0	•	
	 E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership 	0	0		
	• E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform	0	0	•	
	 E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks 	0	0		
	• Other statutes or executive orders discussed in the ansarship				

3/23/99