

231 W. Michigan, P.O. Box 2046, Milwaukee, WI 53201

(414) 221-2345

VPNPD-90-363 NRC-90-81

10 CFR 50.73 10 CFR 50.46

August 13, 1990

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Document Control Desk Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D. C. 20555

Gentlemen:

DOCKETS 50-266 AND 50-301 LICENSEL EVENT REPORT 90-007-00 ERROR IN ECCS DECAY HEAT MODEL POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

Enclosed is Licensee Event R port 90-007-00 for Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. This report is provided in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(V), "Any event or condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to (A) Shutdown the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition; (B) Remove residual heat; (C) Control the release of radioactive material; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident." It is also reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(vi), "Events covered in paragraph (a)(2)(v) of this section may include ... discovery of design ... inadequacies", as well as in 10 CFR 50.46 (a)(3)(ii), "...any change or error correction that results in a calculated ECCS performance that does not conform to the criteria set forth in paragraph (6) of this section is a reportable event as described in Section 50.55(e), 50.72, and 50.73...."

This report describes an error in the emergency core cooling system (ECCS) decay heat model which indicates a potential for failure to comply with the 2200° F Tetak Cladding Temperature (PCT) acceptance limit specified in 10 CFR 50.46 within the constraints of Appendix K.

9008170035 900813 PDR ADOCK 05000266

ſ

35 740 NRC Document Control Desk August 13, 1990 Page 2

If any further information is required, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

au a

۰.

.

C. W. Fay Vice President Nuclear Power

Enclosure

Copies to NRC Regional Administrator, Region III NRC Resident Inspector

DreamaBase representation to compare the second marked to be provided and model is part of the second marked to be provided to the second marked to the	NRC FO	4M 366		LIC	CEN	ISEE E	VEN	NT REP			EGULATO	RY COMMIS	SSION	INFORMA COMMENT AND REPO REGULAT THE PAPE	E) ED BURDEN PE TION COLLECT IS REGARDING DRTS MANAGEI ORY COMMISSI ERWORK REDU	PIRES R RES ION R BURDE MENT I ON, WI CTION	EQUEST 60 EN ESTIMAT BRANCH (P- ASHINGTON PROJECT	COMPLY Y 0.0 HRS. F E TO THE I 530), U.S. I DC 20555 3150-0104)	ORWARD RECORDS NUCLEAR , AND TO , OFFICE	
THL # If C [[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[L 11										D	OCKET NUMBE	R (2)		P/	GE (3)	
ERROR IN ECCS DECAY HEAT MODEL VERY DATE (0) CONTRET DATE (7) CONTRET (7) CONTRET DATE (7) <th< th=""><th>the second s</th><th></th><th>Beac</th><th>n Nu</th><th>CI</th><th>ear F</th><th>21ai</th><th>nt</th><th></th><th></th><th>16.1.1</th><th></th><th></th><th>(</th><th>0 15 10 10</th><th>010</th><th>12 16 1</th><th>6 1 0</th><th>F 015</th></th<>	the second s		Beac	n Nu	CI	ear F	21ai	nt			16.1.1			(0 15 10 10	010	12 16 1	6 1 0	F 015	
worth Day Yeah			N EC	CS D	EC	AY HE	TAS	MODE	L											
ON Item <	EV	INT DATE	(5)	[and in comments		and an entering the													
0 7 1 2 9 0 9 0 0 0 7 0 0 8 1 1 9 0 0 0 5 10 0 0 1 1 1 7 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	MONTH	MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			AL	REVISION NUMBER	EA MONTH ONT TEAN			1.17	CILITY NAM	IES	1000							
State N 20 40100 <t< td=""><td colspan="5"></td><td>- 0 0</td><td>0 8</td><td>1 1</td><td>g o</td><td colspan="5"></td><td colspan="6"></td></t<>						- 0 0	0 8	1 1	g o											
Provent 20 402160 20 402160 20 402160 20 73110100 72 73160 Provent 11 0 0 20 402160 20 731101000 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 73110100 20 7311010000	OPE	RATING	N	THIS RE	PORT	IS SUBMIT	TED P	URBUANT	TO THE R	LOUIREM	ENTE OF	0 CFR § /	Check o	ne or more of	t the following)	(11)				
NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER C. W. Fay, Vice President - Nuclear Power 4114221211-128111 COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIPTED IN THE REPORT TABLE COMPONENT MANUFAC TELEPHONE NUMBER TELEPHONE NUMBER COMPONENT MANUFAC TO BANDER COMPONENT MANUFAC TO BANDER COMPONENT MANUFAC TO BANDER COMPONENT MANUFAC TELEPHONE NUMER COMPONENT MANUFAC TO BANDENT COMPONENT MANUFAC TO BANDEN COMPONENT MANUFAC TO BANDEN MONTH DAY MONTH DAY MONTH DAY MONTH DAY MONTH DAY <td colsp<="" td=""><td>POWE</td><td>R</td><td><u> </u></td><td>20 20 20 20 20 20</td><td>405 (a 405 (a 405 (a 405 (a</td><td>9(1)(0))(1)(0))(1)(0))(1)(0)</td><td></td><td></td><td>50.36(c 50.36(c 50.73(c 50.73(c 50.73(c</td><td>)(1))(2))(2)(i))(2)(ii))(2)(iii)</td><td>1 508 THU</td><td></td><td>50.73 50.73 50.73</td><td>1(a)(2)(v) 3(a)(2)(vii) 3(a)(2)(viii)(A 3(a)(2)(viii)(B</td><td></td><td>x</td><td>73.71 (c) OTHER (S</td><td></td><td></td></td>	<td>POWE</td> <td>R</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>20 20 20 20 20 20</td> <td>405 (a 405 (a 405 (a 405 (a</td> <td>9(1)(0))(1)(0))(1)(0))(1)(0)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>50.36(c 50.36(c 50.73(c 50.73(c 50.73(c</td> <td>)(1))(2))(2)(i))(2)(ii))(2)(iii)</td> <td>1 508 THU</td> <td></td> <td>50.73 50.73 50.73</td> <td>1(a)(2)(v) 3(a)(2)(vii) 3(a)(2)(viii)(A 3(a)(2)(viii)(B</td> <td></td> <td>x</td> <td>73.71 (c) OTHER (S</td> <td></td> <td></td>	POWE	R	<u> </u>	20 20 20 20 20 20	405 (a 405 (a 405 (a 405 (a	9(1)(0))(1)(0))(1)(0))(1)(0)			50.36(c 50.36(c 50.73(c 50.73(c 50.73(c)(1))(2))(2)(i))(2)(ii))(2)(iii)	1 508 THU		50.73 50.73 50.73	1(a)(2)(v) 3(a)(2)(vii) 3(a)(2)(viii)(A 3(a)(2)(viii)(B		x	73.71 (c) OTHER (S		
C. W. Fay, Vice President - Nuclear Power COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIPTION THE REPORT THE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC PEOPLE AND COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIPTION THE REPORT THE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC PEOPLE AND COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIPTION THE REPORT THE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC PEOPLE AND COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIPTION THE REPORT THE AND AND FAIL REPORT EXPECTED IN AND FAIL AND FAIL AND FAIL AND FAIL AND FAIL THE IT AND FAIL REPORT EXPECTED IN AND FAIL AND FAIL AND FAIL AND FAIL THE IT AND FAIL REPORT EXPECTED IN AND FAIL AND F	NAME								LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THE	2001 (12)			1	TEL	EPHONE NU	MBER		
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE TO MARDS A CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTANCE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE TO MARDS A CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTANCE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTOR SYSTEM CO	c. 1	W. F	ay,	Vice	P	resid	lent	t - N	lucle	ar P	ower					1.	2111.	-1218	1111	
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT TURENT TO MARCS CAUSE SYSTEM CAUSE SYSTEM TURENT TO MARCS X I									1	MPONEN	TFAILURI	DESCRIBE	ED IN T	HIS REPORT						
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}$	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT					ļ	CAUSE SYSTEM TAD		SON.	PONENT			PORTABLE TO NPRDS				
VES. If yes, compare EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE: NO ANSTRACT (Limit to 1400 uses) (A. approximately index supervision time) (18) ANSTRACT (Limit to 1400 uses) (A. approximately index supervision time) (18) On July 12, 1990, our NSSS vendor made a 10 CFR Part 21 notification to the NRC regarding an error in the decay heat model used to perform the Large- Break Loss of Coolant Accident (LBLOCA) analysis for Point Beach Nuclear Plant. The decay heat model is part of the computer code WCOBRA/TRAC used to perform the LBLOCA analyses for Point Beach 1 and 2 and another nuclear power plant. The error indicates a potential for failure to comply with the 2200°F Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT) acceptance limit specified in 10 CFR 50.46 within the constraints of Appendix K. Pending further reanalysis by the vendor, Point Beach has committed to an administrativ ' reduced Height Dependent Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor (F _Q (Z)) limit of 2.40 (below the TS value of 2.50). This event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) and under	x	1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-		ļ			+-		1.1.		_				
VES. If yes, compare EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE: NO ANSTRACT (Limit to 1400 uses) (A. approximately index supervision time) (18) ANSTRACT (Limit to 1400 uses) (A. approximately index supervision time) (18) On July 12, 1990, our NSSS vendor made a 10 CFR Part 21 notification to the NRC regarding an error in the decay heat model used to perform the Large- Break Loss of Coolant Accident (LBLOCA) analysis for Point Beach Nuclear Plant. The decay heat model is part of the computer code WCOBRA/TRAC used to perform the LBLOCA analyses for Point Beach 1 and 2 and another nuclear power plant. The error indicates a potential for failure to comply with the 2200°F Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT) acceptance limit specified in 10 CFR 50.46 within the constraints of Appendix K. Pending further reanalysis by the vendor, Point Beach has committed to an administrativ ' reduced Height Dependent Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor (F _Q (Z)) limit of 2.40 (below the TS value of 2.50). This event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) and under	Sanah	1	1	1.1						-		1		11						
VES IN ver. compare EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE: AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND						SUPPLE	MENT	AL REPORT	EXPECTE	D (14)					EXPECT	TED	MONT	H DAY	YEAR	
On July 12, 1990, our NSSS vendor made a 10 CFR Part 21 notification to the NRC regarding an error in the decay heat model used to perform the Large- Break Loss of Coolant Accident (LBLOCA) analysis for Point Beach Nuclear Plant. The decay heat model is part of the computer code WCOBRA/TRAC used to perform the LBLOCA analyses for Point Beach 1 and 2 and another nuclear power plant. The error indicates a potential for failure to comply with the 2200°F Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT) acceptance limit specified in 10 CFR 50.46 within the constraints of Appendix K. Pending further reanalysis by the vendor, Point Beach has committed to an administrativ ' reduced Height Dependent Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor $(F_Q(Z))$ limit of 2.40 (below the TS value of 2.50). This event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) and under	YE	s lit yes, c	ompiete E	XPECTED	SUBA	ISSION DA	17E)		F	NO NO					DATE	15)	1	1.	1	
전통 방법 것은 이번 사람들은 것은 것은 것은 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 통하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다.	On NRC Bre Pla to pow the CFR Pen adm $(F_Q$ Thi	July reg ak L nt. perf 220 50. 50. ding inis (Z)) s ev	12, ardi oss The orm lant 0°F 46 w fur trat lim ent	199 ng a of C dec the Peak it it is n	n Coo LB The C r	our erroi lant heat LOCA erro ladd the canal redu 2.40	NS r i Ac t m an or ing con lys ced (b)	SS ve in the cider hodel indic Temp hstrai sis by Heighelow	endor e dec ht (I is p es fo cates perat ints y the ght I the	c mad cay h DBLOC part or Po s a p cure of h e ver Depen TS v	Appen Appen Appen Appen Ador, alue	mode: nalys he co Beach tial ') aco dix l Poin Heat of 2	l us sis ompu for cept K. nt I t F: 2.50	sed to for l iter o and i fai: tance Beach lux Ho)).	o perfo Point E code WC 2 and a lure to limit has co ot Char	orm Bead COBI ano spo spo mm	the ch Nu RA/TR ther omply ecifi itted l Fac	Large clean AC us nucle with ed in to a tor	e- sed ear n 10 an	

NRC FORM 366A (6.89)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISS	SION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150 EXPIRES: 4/30/92	APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92							
LICENSEE EVENT RE TEXT CONTINUA	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)							
Delet Deach Nuclear Direct		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER								
Point Beach Nuclear Plant	0 5 0 0 2 6	16 9 0 - 0 0 7 - 0 10	0 2 0 5							
TEXT (If more spece is required, use additional NRC Form 365.4's) (17)										

BACKGROUND AND EVENT DESCRIPTION

During 1988 and 1989, a vendor was contracted by the licensee to perform analyses as necessary to support a shift in fuel type to Westinghouse Vantage 5 fuel arranged in a Low-Low Leakage Loading Pattern (L4P) for Point Beach Units 1 and 2. Changes to the Technical Specifications to allow higher core peaking factors were requested from the NRC in Technical Specification Change Request (TSCR) 127, Increased Allowable Core Power Peaking Factors, dated August 26, 1988, as amended. One of the requested changes was an increase in the allowable Height Dependent Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor $F_Q(Z)$ to a value of 2.50. The NRC approved the amendment request in its letter of May 18, 1989, transmitting Amendment 120 to DPR 50-266, and Amendment 128 to DPR 50-301.

One of the plant specific accidents required to be reanalyzed in support of this effort was the Large-Break Loss of Coolant Accident (LBLOCA) described in the PBNP Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Section 14.3.2. To conduct this analysis, the vendor utilized its thermal-hydraulics computer code WCOBRA/TRAC.

In a letter dated November 30, 1988, we transmitted the vendor's LBLOCA analysis to the NRC. In this submittal we described the methodology used to perform the LBLOCA analysis. The methodology employed followed the approach outlined in SECY-83-472, "Emergency Core Cooling System Analysis Methods", dated November 1983. SECY-83-472 requires that the licensee employ best-estimate models to calculate the peak cladding temperature (PCT) under three conditions: 1) "Nominal" or most probable (50 percent probability level; 2) a more conservative 95 percent probability level (known as a "superbounded" calculation); and 3) with the required, more conservative features of Appendix K applied to the above superbounded calculation. Acceptable results would find all three PCTs less than the 2200°F limit of 10 CFR 50.46, with the "Appendix K" PCT at a value between the superbounded PCT and 2200°F.

The analyses calculated the following PCTs:

Nominal Condition (50% Probability) - 1382° Superbounded Condition (95% Probability) - 1953°F Appendix K Calculation - 2023°F

These results are acceptable using the SECY-83-472 approach. As such, the Appendix K calculation has become the licensed basis for the LELOCA analysis.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						
DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) P/ 3E (3)					
0 15 10 10 10 2 6	16 910 01017 010 013 OF 0					

The error is an underestimation of the decay heat power fraction (the fraction of full power due to decay heat) by an amount of 10 to 20% from the decay heat source required by Appendix K to 10 CFR 50. Preliminary calculations with the correct decay heat source indicated that the PCT may exceed 2200°F.

Appendix K licensing calculation is affected by the error.

From the onset of this issue it was concluded that there was no safety concern. Both the vendor's Safety Review Committee and the licensee's Safety Review Committee formed this conclusion based on the extreme conservatism built into the Appendix K calculation, especially in view of the more realistic, yet still appropriately conservative, superbounded calculation with its calculated PCT of 1953°F. Nonetheless, until a more conclusive recalculation for the Point Beach plant could be made (the vendor was proceeding with recalculation efforts for the bounding plant), the vendor recommended, and Point Beach implemented, Constant Axial Offset Control (CAOC) to limit F_0 below an estimated new F_0 limit of 2.40.

The vendor informed us through written correspondence dated July 13, 1990, that a recalculation of the PCT for the LBLOCA analysis, assuming a maximum F_Q of 2.40, resulted in a value of 2099°F for the Appendix K value. That calculation incorporated some changes to the WCOBRA/TRAC code regarding fuel rod conduction and strain model to improve the fuel rod energy balance. As a result, Wisconsin Electric adopted a reduced F_Q as described in Technical Specification 15.2.10, from 2.50 to 2.40 as an interim administrative limit for operation of Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. As well, based on additional analyses conducted by the vendor, CAOC was deemed as no longer necessary. We informed you of these developments and our operation decisions both orally through our project manager and in writing in a letter dated July 24, 1990.

A meeting was held between the NRC, the vendor and representatives of the affected licenses on August 7, 1990, to discuss the subject in greater detail.

Based on future core loading considerations, it is the intent of the licensee to fully reestablish the TS limit for F_Q of 2.50. This will be accomplished through: 1) A reanalysis and verification of the most recent PCT calculation by the vendor using a slightly higher F_Q value of 2.43 (the calculation is considered final and verified when both the code modifications and the calculation itself are verified). This is expected by August 21, 1990. A description of the model changes and error corrections incorporated into this reanalysis are expected to be submitted

LICENSEE EVE	APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED RURDEN PER RESI ^A ONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUGGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
Point Beach Nuclear Plan	nt	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION					
TEXT III more spece is required, use additional NRC Form 3524	and a second	910-0107-010	014 010 5				

by the vendor to the NRC for review and approval in an addendum to WCAP-10924-P by the end of August 1990; and 2) updating the WCOBRA/TRAC code with additional input and model changes to recover the lost F_Q margin. The vendor will pursue these updates, and intends to submit a description and validation of the updates along with the reanalysis results for Point Beach to the NRC for review and approval by Octobe: 15, 1990. Following this plan of action, while maintaining an administrative limit of 2.40 for F_Q (or 2.43 if justified), was seen as appropriate by the NRC. The licensee need not submit a Technical Specification Change Request at present.

CAUSE

The cause of the event was cognitive, human error on the part of the contractor conducting the analysis for failing to verify that the decay heat source used in the WCOBRA/TRAC code was the same as that required by Appendix K to 10 CFR 50. Additionally, no other checks were in place or were conducted to identify this error.

REPORTABILITY

This item is reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(v) which states "The licensee shall report: Any event or condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function of structures or systems that are needed to:

- (A) Shutdown the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition;
- (B) Remove residual heat;
- (C) Control the release of radioactive material; or
- (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident."

It is also reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(vi) which states:

"Events covered in paragraph (a)(2)(v) of this section may include...discovery of design...inadequacies..."

It is further reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.46 (a)(3)(ii) which states:

"...any change or error correction that results in a calculated ECCS performance that does not conform to the criteria set forth in paragraph (6) of this section is a reportable event as described in Section 50.55(e), 50.72, and 50.73..."

ġ.

E.

1. A.

LICENSEE EVENT REPO TEXT CONTINUATIO		APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION AEQUEST 50:0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MARAGEMENT BRANCH (P.530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20565. AND TO THE PAST-WORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)						PAGE (3)		
		YEAR		SEQUENTIA	-	REVISION		T	T	
Point Beach Nuclear Plant	0 5 0 0 2 6 6	9 0	-	0 10 17	-	0 10	0	5 0	DFO	15
TEXT (If more space is required, use addition: (NRC Form 306A's) (17)										

CORRECTIVE ACTION AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT

As described in the above BACKGROUND AND EVENT DESCRIPTION

GENERIC IMPLICATIONS

The WCOBRA/TRAC code was used only for LBLOCA analyses conducted for Point Beach and one other plant. The licensee for the other plant, as well as Wisconsin Electric, was informed by the vendor upon discovery of the error and has taken similar actions. We have been in contact with this licensee during the course of this issue, and we participated with them in the August 7, 1990 meeting. There are no other generic implications from this event.