

NATIONAL LEAK TEST CENTER

P O Box 486, N. Tonawanda, NY 14120
Phone 716-693-0550

DATE: 6/19/90

INVOICE NO. 7775

CUSTOMER NUMBER: 10789

PURCHASE ORDER: 18751-1

SHIP TO:

Ms. MaryKathrin Ah-Leong
Supervisor, Toxicology
Damon Clinical Labs
4201 St. Antoine
Detroit, MI 48201

BILL TO:

ACCTS PAYABLE
Supervisor, Toxicology
Damon Clinical Labs
4201 St. Antoine
Detroit, MI 48201

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	PRICE	EXTENSION	TOTAL DUE
NI-63 LEAK TEST	1	\$50.00	\$50.00	
		Sales Tax	\$0.00	\$50.00

• • LEAK TEST CERTIFICATE • •

NUMBER OF SAMPLES: 3

TEST METHOD: DRY WIPE

RADIONUCLIDE AS IDENTIFIED BY CUSTOMER IS NICKEL-63

ANALYSED FOR BETA CONTAMINATION USING WINDOWLESS PROPORTIONAL COUNTER

SAMPLES TAKEN BY CUSTOMER

ANALYSED BY L KEATING

• • TEST RESULTS • •

DEVICE I D	SAMPLE I D	MICROCURIES/SAMPLE	PASS/FAIL
HP s/n S8377	Entrance	< 13 x 10E-6	PASS
HP s/n S8377	Housing	< 13 x 10E-6	PASS
HP s/n S8377	Exit	< 13 x 10E-6	PASS


PAY THIS INVOICE
NO STATEMENT WILL BE SENT

LIMIT IS 5000 x 10E-6 MICROCURIES PER DEVICE

TESTED UNDER NYS DOL LICENSE #2323-3164

DATE: 6/19/90


SIGNED BY



HEALTH PHYSICIST

PAGE 1 OF 1

9008100198 900711
REG3 LIC30
21-23429-01 PDC



HAMILTON &

ASSOCIATES, INC.

P.O. BOX 323, MACON, MO. 63552

816-385-6441

July 30, 1990

United States Nuclear Reg. Com.
Region III
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Re: License No. 24-21370-01
Docket No. 030-20572
EA No. 90-089

License File
24-21370-01

Gentlemen:

Since our inspection of March of 1986, we have been extremely particular in our effort to comply with the rules of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. This effort is documented by detailed records which we kept to document that the gauge was used by myself on July 27, 1989. Further inspection of the records would have proven that that was the only time that I had used the gauge since 1986. Also, the day I used the gauge I ran four tests.

It was my understanding that the gauge could be used by an individual occasionally without the need of a badge. Since April of 1990, I have reviewed the regulations and am convinced that I am correct.

My plan to remedy this problem is to refrain from using the gauge until after I have either amended or have renewed my license. At that time I will have the documentation to prove that my use of the gauge is infrequent enough that I would not need a film badge.

I have tried to be very honest and open with your agency on all matters. This attitude will continue. We have better ways of spending our time than trying to figure ways to not comply with your rules and then worrying over an enforcement conference. We want to comply and will make every effort to do so.

Sincerely,

David E. Hamilton, P.E.
HAMILTON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

AUG 1 1990