CFO

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 16, 1993

The Honorable Joseph T. Lieberman, Chairman
Subcommittee on Clean Air and Nuclear Regulation
Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

RE: National Treasury Employees Union v. FLRA, No. 93-1422 (D.C. Cir., NRC Motion to Intervene filed July 28, 1993)

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In June the Federal Labor Relations Authority ruled that an NTEU proposal to negotiate wages with the NRC was not negotiable. The FLRA found that the particular NTEU proposal in this case would interfere with the right of NRC management to determine the agency's budget. In addition, however, the FLRA rejected the NRC's broad position that the Atomic Energy Act precludes wage bargaining altogether.

The NTEU filed an immediate petition for review in the D.C. Circuit to challenge the FLRA decision against wage bargaining in this case. The NRC filed a motion to intervene, which the court of appeals recently granted. We are working closely with Department of Justice attorneys on this case.

We will keep you informed of any significant development in the case.

Sincerely,

John F. Cordes, Jr.
Solicitor

cc: The Honorable Alan K. Simpson

CC37

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 16, 1993

The Honorable Philip Sharp, Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Power
Committee on Energy and Commerce
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

RE: National Treasury Employees Union v. FLRA, No. 93-1422 (D.C. Cir., NRC Motion to Intervene filed July 28, 1993)

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In June the Federal Labor Relations Authority ruled that an NTEU proposal to negotiate wages with the NRC was not negotiable. The FLRA found that the particular NTEU proposal in this case would interfere with the right of NRC management to determine the agency's budget. In addition, however, the FLRA rejected the NRC's broad position that the Atomic Energy Act precludes wage bargaining altogether.

The NTEU filed an immediate petition for review in the D.C. Circuit to challenge the FLRA decision against wage bargaining in this case. The NRC filed a motion to intervene, which the court of appeals recently granted. We are working closely with Department of Justice attorneys on this case.

We will keep you informed of any significant development in the case.

Sincerely,

John F. Cordes, Jr.
Solicitor

cc: The Honorable Michael Bilirakis

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 16, 1993

The Honorable Richard H. Lehman, Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Mineral Resources
Committee on Natural Resources
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

RE: National Treasury Employees Union v. FLRA, No. 93-1422 (D.C. Cir., NRC Motion to Intervene filed July 28, 1993)

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In June the Federal Labor Relations Authority ruled that an NTEU proposal to negotiate wages with the NRC was not negotiable. The FLRA found that the particular NTEU proposal in this case would interfere with the right of NRC management to determine the agency's budget. In addition, however, the FLRA rejected the NRC's broad position that the Atomic Energy Act precludes wage bargaining altogether.

The NTEU filed an immediate petition for review in the D.C. Circuit to challenge the FLRA decision against wage bargaining in this case. The NRC filed a motion to intervene, which the court of appeals recently granted. We are working closely with Department of Justice attorneys on this case.

We will keep you informed of any significant development in the case.

Sincerely,

John F. Cordes, Jr.
Solicitor

cc: The Honorable Barbara Vucanovich

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 16, 1993

The Honorable J. Bennett Johnston, Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development
Committee on Appropriations
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

RE: National Treasury Employees Union v. FLRA, No. 93-1422 (D.C. Cir., NRC Motion to Intervene filed July 28, 1993)

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In June the Federal Labor Relations Authority ruled that an NTEU proposal to negotiate wages with the NRC was not negotiable. The FLRA found that the particular NTEU proposal in this case would interfere with the right of NRC management to determine the agency's budget. In addition, however, the FLRA rejected the NRC's broad position that the Atomic Energy Act precludes wage bargaining altogether.

The NTEU filed an immediate petition for review in the D.C. Circuit to challenge the FLRA decision against wage bargaining in this case. The NRC filed a motion to intervene, which the court of appeals recently granted. We are working closely with Department of Justice attorneys on this case.

We will keep you informed of any significant development in the case.

Sincerely,

John F. Cordes, Jr.
Solicitor

cc: The Honorable Mark O. Hatfield

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 16, 1993

The Honorable Tom Bevill, Chairman
Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development
Committee on Appropriations
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

RE: National Treasury Employees Union v. FLRA, No. 93-1422 (D.C. Cir., NRC Motion to Intervene filed July 28, 1993)

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In June the Federal Labor Relations Authority ruled that an NTEU proposal to negotiate wages with the NRC was not negotiable. The FLRA found that the particular NTEU proposal in this case would interfere with the right of NRC management to determine the agency's budget. In addition, however, the FLRA rejected the NRC's broad position that the Atomic Energy Act precludes wage bargaining altogether.

The NTEU filed an immediate petition for review in the D.C. Circuit to challenge the FLRA decision against wage bargaining in this case. The NRC filed a motion to intervene, which the court of appeals recently granted. We are working closely with Department of Justice attorneys on this case.

We will keep you informed of any significant development in the case.

Sincerely,

John F. Cordes, Jr.
Solicitor

cc: The Honorable John T. Myers

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE SYSTEM
DOCUMENT PREPARATION CHECKLIST

This checklist is be submitted with each document (or group of Qs/As) sent for ing into the CCS.

1. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT(S) Ltr. to Representative Sharp Lehman Johnston Bevill

2. TYPE OF DOCUMENT X Correspondence ____ Hearings (Qs/As)

3. DOCUMENT CONTROL ____ Sensitive (NRC Only) X Non-Sensitive

4. CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE and SUBCOMMITTEES (if applicable)

_____ Congressional Committee

_____ Subcommittee

5. SUBJECT CODES

(a) _____

(b) _____

(c) _____

6. SOURCE OF DOCUMENTS

(a) ____ 5520 (document name _____

(b) X Scan. (c) ____ Attachments

(d) ____ Rekey (e) ____ Other _____

7. SYSTEM LOG DATES

(a) 12/6/93 Date OCA sent document to CCS

(b) _____ Date CCS receives document

(c) _____ Date returned to OCA for additional information

(d) 080014 Date resubmitted by OCA to CCS

(e) _____ Date entered into CCS by _____

(f) _____ Date OCA notified that document is in CCS

8. COMMENTS

_____

_____