| DOCKET NO. | 50-346 |
|--------------|----------------|
| UNIT | Davis-Besse #1 |
| DATE | 5/07/82 |
| COMPLETED BY | Bilal Sarsour |
| TELEPHONE | (419) 259-5000 |
| | ext. #384 |

| AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net) | DAY | AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net) |
|--|-----|--|
| 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 0 | 19 | 0 |
| 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 0 | 21 | 0 |
| 0 | 22 | 0 |
| 0 | 23 | 0 |
| 0 | 24 | 0 |
| 0 | 25 | 0 |
| 0 | 26 | 0 |
| 0 | 27 | 0 |
| 0 | 28 | 0 |
| 0 | 29 | 0 |
| 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 0 | 31 | |
| 0 | | |

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt,

8207220618 820609 PDR ADDCK 05000346 R PDR (9/77)

OPERATING DATA REPORT

| DOCKET NO. | 50-34 | 46 |
|--------------|-------|-----------|
| DATE | 5/77 | 82 |
| COMPLETED BY | Bilal | Sarsour |
| TELEPHONE | (419) | 259-5000 |
| | | ext. #384 |

OPERATING STATUS

| 1. | Unit Name: Davis-Besse Unit #1 | Notes |
|----|--|-------|
| 2. | Reporting Period: April, 1982 | |
| 3. | Licensed Thermal Power (MWt):2772 | |
| 4. | Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 925 | |
| 5. | Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 906 | |
| 6. | Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 918 | |
| 7. | Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 874 | |

8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe):

10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: ____

1

| | This Month | Yrto-Date | Cumulative |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|
| 11. Hours In Reporting Period | 719 | 2,879 | 32,880 |
| 12. Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical | 0 | 1,708 | 17,938 |
| 13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours | 0 | 0 | 2,334.7 |
| 14. Hours Generator On-Line | 0 | 1,707.4 | 16,957.6 |
| 15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours | 0 | 0 | 1,731.4 |
| 16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) | 0 | 3,641,078 | 38,762,603 |
| 17 Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) | 0 | 1,202,294 | 12,884,545 |
| 18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) | 0 | 1,124,093 | 12,021,378 |
| 19. Unit Service Factor | 0 | 59.3 | 51.6 |
| 20. Unit Availability Factor | 0 | 59.3 | 56.8 |
| 21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) | 0 | 44.7 | 41.8 |
| 22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net) | 0 | 43.1 | 40.4 |
| 23. Unit Forced Outage Rate | 0 | 0 | 23.0 |

24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):

| 5. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup: _ | August 1, 198 | 2 |
|---|-------------------------|----------|
| 26. Units In Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation): | Forecast | Achieved |
| INITIAL CRITICALITY | · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| INITIAL ELECTRICITY | | |
| COMPLEX IAL OFFICATION | | |

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

DOCKET NO. _50-346 UNIT NAME <u>Davis-Besse Unit</u> 1 DATE <u>5/7/82</u> COMPLETED BY _Bilal Sarsour

REPORT MONTH _ April, 1982

TELEPHONE _419-259-5000, Ext. 384

| No. | Date | Typel | Duration (Hours) | Reason 2 | Method of Shutting Down Reactor 3 | Licensee Event Report # | System Code ⁴ | Component Code ⁵ | Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence |
|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 4 | 82 03 13 | S | 719 | С | 4 | NA | NA | NA . | Unit outage which began on March 13, 1982 was still in progress through the end of April, 1982. See Opera- tional Summary for further details. |
| F: Fo S: Sci | rced heduled | Rease A-Eq B-Ma C-Re D-Re E-Op F-Ad G-Op H-Ot | on: uipment Fa intenance o fueling gulatory Re erator Train ministrative berational En her (Explain | ilure (E r Test striction ing & L ror (Ex | xplain) 1 icense Exa plain) | 3 mination | Methor 1-Mani 2-Mani 3-Auto 4-Cont 5-Load 6-Othe | d: ual scram. inuation from Reduction r (Explain) | 4 Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG- 0161) 5 Exhibit 1 - Same Source |

1

OPERATIONAL SUMMARY April, 1982

04/01/82 - 04/30/82:

The unit outage which began on March 13, 1982 was still in progress through the end of April, 1982.

The following are the more significant outage activities performed during this month:

- An Automatic Reactor Inspection System (ARIS) inspection of the reactor vessel hot leg nozzle welds was conducted.
- (2) Eddy Current testing in steam generators. During that test it was discovered that some of the steam generator tubes located adjacent to the auxiliary feedwater header showed potential interaction with the header support system. A secondary side manway from steam generator 1-1 was removed and it was determined by direct visual observation and fiberoptic inspection that the auxiliary feedwater header was not securely fastened and had experienced damage. Inspection of the other steam generator yielded similar results. The root cause of this event has not yet been determined. Toledo Edison is working with other owners to evaluate possible corrective action.
- (3) The turbine, associated valves, and the #2 main feed pump were disassembled and inspected. Problems were found in the 'A' low pressure turbine rotor (1-2) llth stage buckets. The problem was cracks appearing on the steam admission side of the dovetail. The failure mechanism appears to be frequency related, high cycle fatique. Similar problems were found to exist on the 'B' low pressure turbine rotor (1-1)
 11th stage buckets. All 11th stage buckets on both low pressure turbine rotors have been sent to the factory for modification to allow the installation of tie wires which will dampen out any vibration. The problems discover i do not have a nuclear safety significance.
- (4) The condenser inspection revealed the ruptured 10" expansion joint in the high pressure condenser was one that was replaced in November. The probable cause of failure was pipe misalignment. Corrective action will be to add increased supports and braces to prevent piping disalignment in the future.
- (5) The visual inspection of thermal shield bolts were completed satisfactorily with no abnormalities noted and no corrective action required.
- (6) Fuel shuffle was successfully completed, one broken holddown spring was found on a fuel assembly scheduled for removal this cycle. This spring was removed for the inspection.

| OPERAT | IONAL | SUMMARY |
|--------|-------|---------|
| April. | 1982 | |

- (7) The Bailey 855 station computer was removed and replaced by the new MODCOMP classic dual central processing unit computer system.
- (8) #2 diesel generator inspection was completed with only one problem found. The main oil pump assembly was worn due to a factory assembly problem and the whole pump was replaced.
- (9) Atmospheric vent valves were disassembled and inspected.
- (10) Thirteen of the eighteen main steam safety values were removed and sent to Mark Controls for overhaul. Problems were found in the stems and the defective stems are being replaced.
- (11) FCR work continued this month. A detailed list of the major FCRs completed will be provided at a later date.

BMS/1mr

REFUELING INFORMATION

×.

*

.....

DATE: April, 1982

| 1. | Name of facility: Davis-Besse Unit 1 |
|----|---|
| 2. | Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown: March 12, 1982 |
| 3. | Scheduled date for restart following refueling: August 1, 1982 |
| 4. | Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment? If answer is yes, what in general, will these be? If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 |
| | The final reload analysis for Cycle 3 has been completed and submitted |
| | NRC (See Serial No. 787 dated March 5, 1982). This analysis identify |
| | technical specification changes relating to core operational limits |
| | protection system setpoints. An option to provide flowibility |
| | Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information. |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures |
| • | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, c.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool. |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage (a) 177 	(b) 02 	 c |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool. (a) 177 (b) 92 - Spent Fuel Assemblies |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool. (a) 177 (b) 92 - Spent Fuel Assemblies The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any in- trease in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in tumber of fuel assemblies. |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date |
| | Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel dusign or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. None identified to date |

COMPLETED FACILITY CHANGE REQUEST

FCR NO: 79-194

SYSTEM: N/A

COMPONENT: Setpoint Index M-620S

CHANGE, TEST, OR EXPERIMENT: Facility Change Request 79-194 was written to revise setpoint index M-620S to include as-built setpoints.

REASON FOR CHANGE: To update setpoint index to reflect as-built setpoints.

SAFETY EVALUATION: All changes to the setpoint index have been reviewed by Bechtel Engineering and Toledo Edison Engineering. All changes in this revision accurately reflect as-built conditions and are consistent with the Davis-Besse Unit 1 systems designs as described in the FSAR. Exceptions include where changes to Davis-Besse Unit 1 have been made in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 and documented by FCRs as required. Therefore, this change is not an unreviewed safety question.

COMPLETED FACILITY CHANGE REQUEST

FCR NO: 77-056

SYSTEM: Boric Acid Addition

COMPONENT: Boric Acid Pumps 1 and 2

CHANGE, TEST, OR EXPERIMENT: Facility Change Request 77-056 was implemented to replace the vent plug for Boric Acid Pumps 1 and 2 with a valved line to act as a casing vent.

REASON FOR CHANGE: Previously, the only vent path or means to vent the Boric Acid Pumps was the removal of the pipe plug. This modification allows the pump casing to be periodically vented by operation of a small manual valve instead of requiring tools to remove a vent plug.

SAFETY EVALUATION: The function of the Boric Acid Pumps will not be affected by the addition of casing vent. Therefore, an unreviewed safety question does not exist.