

NRC FORM 368
(7-77)

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | M | S | G | G | S | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
7 8 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE JO 27 CAT 58

CON'T
0 1 | R | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 9
7 8 REPORT SOURCE 80 81 DOCKET NUMBER 88 89 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | During fuel loading operations, two scrams were initiated by high flux
0 3 | spikes on fuel loading chambers connected to the SRM non-coincident
0 4 | reactor protection system logic. Shorting links had been removed in
0 5 | accordance with Technical Specifications. The first scram occurred on
0 6 | the 'B' channel, the second on the SRM 'F' channel. The system performed
0 7 | its function as designed. This event is reportable under 10CFR50.
0 8 | _____
7 8 9

0 9 | L | A | 11 | X | 12 | X | 13 | I | N | S | T | R | U | 14 | E | 15 | Z | 16
7 8 SYSTEM CODE 9 10 CAUSE CODE 11 CAUSE SUBCODE 12 COMPONENT CODE 13 COMP. SUBCODE 14 VALVE SUBCODE 15
17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER 21 | 8 | 2 | 22 | _____ | 23 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 24 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 | _____ | 26 | OCCURRENCE CODE 27 | 0 | 1 | 28 | _____ | 29 | REPORT TYPE 30 | T | 31 | _____ | 32 | REVISION NO. 32 | 0 | 33
ACTION TAKEN 33 | X | 18 | 34 | EFFECT ON PLANT 35 | Z | 19 | 36 | SHUTDOWN METHOD 37 | Z | 20 | 38 | HOURS 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED 41 | Y | 21 | 42 | NPRO-4 FORM SUB. 43 | N | 22 | 44 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER 45 | N | 23 | 46 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER 47 | G | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 48

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | The probable cause of the high flux spike was determined to be a
1 1 | combination of very low set points for instruments and the close
1 2 | proximity of the fuel loading chamber to the fuel bundle. The fuel
1 3 | loading chambers were relocated to prevent recurrence.
1 4 | _____
7 8 9

1 5 | X | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | First Fuel Load | A | 30 | Operator Observation | 32
7 8 9 FACILITY STATUS 10 % POWER 11 OTHER STATUS 12 METHOD OF DISCOVERY 13 DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 14
1 6 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | NA | 35 | NA | 36
7 8 9 ACTIVITY CONTENT 10 RELEASED OF RELEASE 11 AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 12 LOCATION OF RELEASE 13
1 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | NA | 39
7 8 9 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER 10 TYPE 11 DESCRIPTION 12
1 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | NA | 41
7 8 9 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER 10 DESCRIPTION 11
1 9 | Z | 42 | NA | 43
7 8 9 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE 10 DESCRIPTION 11
2 0 | N | 44 | NA | 45
7 8 9 PUBLICITY ISSUED 10 DESCRIPTION 11 NRC USE ONLY 12

NAME OF PREPARER Original Signed by C. K. McCoy PHONE: _____

Supplementary Information
to LER 82-002/01 T-0

During fuel loading operations on July 1, 1982, at 1820 hours, Grand Gulf Unit 1 experienced a scram while inserting the initial bundle into the core. Prior to beginning fuel load operations, all control rods had been inserted and "shorting links" removed in accordance with Technical Specifications. The scram signal was generated by a high flux spike on the FLC (fuel loading chamber) connected to the SRM "B" channel. After determining what had occurred, the scram signal was reset and insertion of the bundle was completed.

At 2018 hours a second scram was initiated via the SRM "F" channel. No fuel movement was in progress at this time. Only one bundle had been loaded into the core.

The probable cause was determined to be the very low setpoints for the instruments and the close proximity of the FLC to the fuel bundle. Fuel loading chambers were relocated to prevent recurrence. The incident is reportable under 10CFR 50.72, Notification of Significant Events.