




Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

JUL 1 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Brian Grimes
Director
Division of Emergency Preparedness
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

FROM: 
Richard W. Krimm
Assistant Associate Director
Office of Natural and Technological Hazards

SUBJECT: Initial Decision (ASLB) on San Onofre 2 and
3 datd May 14, 1982

This responds to the telephone request from Sheldon Schwartz, Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to Marshall Sanders, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) on June 15, 1982. He requested our comments on offsite emergency preparedness conditions related to the San Onofre (California) Nuclear Generating station offsite population safety and health. The conditions, stated below, with our comments are those listed in the "Summary of Conditions Imposed by the Atomic Safety Licensing Board in the Initial Decision on San Onofre 2 and 3, dated May 14, 1982," part A.1. and 2. a through h.

Condition: The NRC staff shall certify to the ASLB that the siren (A.1.) system has been shown to perform in accordance with its technical specifications.

- Utility tests of sirens and the rated system including new or replaced equipment has demonstrated conformance with the technical specifications.

Condition: The FEMA concerns expressed in the November Update (A.2a) Evaluation about lesson plans and schedules have been satisfied.

- Training plans and activities are well developed and show a coordinated, cooperative effort among the various jurisdictions. These activities should continue to provide a level of training that will furnish an adequate emergency response.

Condition: Initial training of adequate numbers of onsite and offsite (A.2b) personnel in each category listed in Section II.0.4 of NUREG-0654 has been completed, except for radiological monitoring teams and radiological analysis personnel (paragraph 4.C of Section II.0.4).

- Training of offsite personnel continues on a regular schedule with training for radiological monitoring and analysis personnel integrated as appropriate. Numbers of training individuals have increased.

8207120134 820630
PDR ADOCK 05000266
PDR
F

Condition: (A.2c) The same (or an improved) communications system that was installed at the original interim Emergency Operations Facility (EOF) has been installed at the relocated interim EOF.

- An equivalent communications system has been installed in the relocated interim EOF.

Condition: (A.2d) The same (or an improved) set of operating procedures that were adopted for the original interim Emergency Operations Facility have been adopted for the relocated interim EOF.

- A modified, current and improved set of operating procedures have been adopted for the relocated interim EOF.

Condition: (A.2e) Emergency equipment, suitable for its emergency purpose, has been purchased and delivered to the offsite response organization.

- Previously ordered emergency equipment and materials have been delivered to various, appropriate organizations.

Condition: (A.2f) A drill has been conducted to verify the adequacy of the physical design, communications equipment, and operating procedures of the relocated interim EOF.

- This was done on April 15, 1982 and was found to be generally satisfactory.

Condition: (A.2g) FEMA has reviewed and confirmed that the EOF, Offsite Dose Assessment Center (ODAC), and Liason SOPs are adequate.

- These concerns were reviewed and evaluated before and during the April 15, 1982 exercise and found to be adequate.

Condition: (A.2h) Consistency has been achieved in the prewritten instructions for the public in the licensee and local jurisdiction plans.

- Standardization of operating procedures including public instructions and materials has been incorporated in all revised plans.