CP&L
Carolina Power & Light Company
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant
P. O. Box 10429
Southport, NC 28461-0429

June 9, 1982

FILE: B09-13510C
SERIAL: BSEP/82-1255

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II, Suite 3100
101 Marietta Street N.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-324
LICENSE NO. DPR-62
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2-82-77

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

In accordance with Section 6.9.1.8i of the Technical Specifications for Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2, the enclosed Licensee Event Report is submitted. This report fulfills the requirement for a written report within fourteen (14) days of a reportable occurrence and is in accordance with the format set forth in NUREG-0161, July 1977. As per a June 7, 1982, conversation between Mr. R. M. Poulk, Jr., and Mr. Austin Hardin of your office, this report is being submitted two (2) days beyond the required reporting time frame.

Very truly yours,

C. R. Dietz, General Manager
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant

RMP/mcg

Enclosure

cc: Mr. R. C. DeYoung

OFFICIAL COPY

IE22

LER ATTACHMENT - RO #2-82-77

Facility: BSEP Unit No. 2 Event Date: May 24, 1982

During a field inspection of seismic supports in the Unit No. 2 drywell, it was discovered that the baseplate on the support brace for hydraulic snubber, 2-B21-59SS320, was missing nuts on three of its four wedge anchors and the fourth anchor was missing.

An investigation of this finding revealed the subject brace and baseplate were added in 1979 as part of Plant Modification 79-89. It is felt the brace and baseplate were improperly installed, as the unthreaded portion of anchor bolts extended approximately ½ inch above the baseplate thus preventing application of any torque against the baseplate due to insufficient anchor bolt embedment. No documented record of support brace torquing or engineering walkdown of the support brace and baseplate prior to turnover of the modification could be found; however, a 1982 nonconformance report by Operations QA identified this documentation deficiency.

To return the subject support brace to operability, it will be modified in accordance with an approved plant modification during the current refueling outage prior to startup.

The present plant procedures require QC verification of as-built supports prior to acceptance by engineering. An engineering walkdown is also required prior to declaring a modification or a portion operable. A walkdown by plant engineering of the Unit No. 2 containment did not reveal any other anchor bolt deficiencies; therefore, it is felt that this is an isolated event, recurrence of which is unlikely because of improved plant procedures now in effect.