

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: \_\_\_\_\_ (1)

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | M | I | D | C | C | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | \_\_\_\_\_ | 5  
7 8 9 14 15 25 26 57 CAT 58  
 LICENSEE CODE      LICENSE NUMBER      LICENSE TYPE      CAT 58

CON'T  
 0 1 | R | E | P | O | R | T | S | O | U | R | C | E | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 9  
7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80  
 REPORT SOURCE      DOCKET NUMBER      EVENT DATE      REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | WHILE IN MODE 5, SURVEILLANCE TESTING OF THE ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE VENTILATION  
 0 3 | SYSTEM FILTER TRAIN (HV-AES-2) REVEALED THAT ONE HEPA FILTER DID NOT MEET THE AIR  
 0 4 | FLOW DISTRIBUTION CRITERIA AS SPECIFIED IN TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 4.7.6.1.d.2.  
 0 5 | \_\_\_\_\_  
 0 6 | \_\_\_\_\_  
 0 7 | \_\_\_\_\_  
 0 8 | \_\_\_\_\_

0 3 | \_\_\_\_\_  
7 8 9  
 SYSTEM CODE      CAUSE CODE      CAUSE SUBCODE      COMPONENT CODE      COMP. SUBCODE      VALVE SUBCODE  
 A A (11)      X (12)      Z (13)      X X X X X X X (14)      Z (15)      Z (16)  
9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20  
 LER/RO REPORT NUMBER      EVENT YEAR      SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.      OCCURRENCE CODE      REPORT TYPE      REVISION NO.  
 8 2 (17)      21      0 2 1 (24)      0 3 (28)      L (30)      0 (32)  
21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32  
 ACTION TAKEN      FUTURE ACTION      EFFECT ON PLANT      SHUTDOWN METHOD      HOURS      ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED      NPRD-4 FORM SUB.      PRIME COMP SUPPLIER      COMPONENT MANUFACTURER  
 C (18)      Z (19)      Z (20)      Z (21)      0 0 0 0 (22)      N (23)      Y (24)      N (25)      A 2 2 0 (26)  
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | ALL NEW HEPA FILTERS WERE INSTALLED, A RETEST WAS PERFORMED AND FOUND TO BE SATIS-  
 1 1 | FACTORY. THE HEPA FILTER THAT DID NOT MEET THE FLOW CRITERIA WAS EXAMINED AND NO  
 1 2 | CAUSE FOR FAILURE WAS EVIDENT BY VISUAL INSPECTION. THE FILTER HAD BEEN TESTED ON  
 1 3 | PREVIOUS OCCASIONS AND FOUND TO BE SATISFACTORY. NO FURTHER ACTION IS PLANNED AT  
 1 4 | THIS TIME.

1 5 | FACILITY STATUS      % POWER      OTHER STATUS (30)      METHOD OF DISCOVERY      DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)  
 G (28)      0 0 0 0 (29)      NA      B (31)      SURVEILLANCE TEST  
7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80

1 6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT      AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35)      LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)  
 Z (33)      Z (34)      NA      NA  
7 8 9 10 11 44 45 80

1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES      NUMBER      TYPE      DESCRIPTION (39)  
 0 0 0 0 (37)      Z (38)      NA  
7 8 9 11 12 13 80

1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES      NUMBER      DESCRIPTION (41)  
 0 0 0 0 (40)      NA  
7 8 9 11 12 80

1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY      TYPE      DESCRIPTION (43)  
 Z (42)      NA  
7 8 9 10 80

2 0 | ISSUED      PUBLICITY      DESCRIPTION (45)  
 N (44)      NA      NA      S      PDR      PDR  
7 8 9 10 68 69 80

NAME OF PREPARER R. A. PALMER      PHONE: 616-465-5901