US Nuclear Regulatory Commission By Electronic Transmission:

Hearing.Docket@nrc.gov

Washington, DC 20555-0001

RE: Request for Hearing on Indian Point License Transfer, NRC-2020-0021

To Whom It May Concern:

I and my family have owned land and lived in Putnam Valley about 12 miles from Indian Point for about 50 years; I am one person of about 20 millions residing within a 50 mile radius of IP. The decommissioning of Indian Point Units 1, 2, and 3 involve a massive effort and must include the return to Greenfield condition; restored to the conditions existing before the construction of the plants for the entire complex!

The threats from Indian Point have been tolerated and fought against and there may be some semblance of safety in the future but I am skeptical. Now the end is near for this monster threatening our communities and still the public are being silenced, deceived, and the threat continues in different guise. The return to "Greenfield" condition of the IP site cannot be compromised! Cleaning-up and making the site safe for every possible use is paramount and demanded! The public will not be fooled again!

The decommissioning is, incredibly, to be handled by a relatively young corporation of unknown competence; Holtec Decommissioning International, LLC (HDI). The Public must not be forced to finance Holtec's plans or potential incompetence as Entergy, the actual owners of IP that profited from operations, evade their responsibility! The "consolidated interim storage facility? Holtec would theoretically take and store IP waste to is not yet approved or built.

Holtec (HDI) has limited and questionable experience and has not proven their competence or ability to bear the great responsibility for this potentially deadly work, and Entergy should NOT be allowed to end their responsibility for another corporate entity until at least all is accomplished and the entire IP site returned to "GREENFIELD CONDITION"!! Whatever else, Entergy must be part of the process and liability until the end!

The problems involved in the IP decommissioning include the leaked water pools under IP and contamination of ground water, soils, and the Hudson River. The SafStor method, taking 60 years to clean-up, Entergy wanted, will apparently under HCI be moved forward to complete in 15 years. The return to "Greenfield" conditions seems impossible in only

15 years. Does that time-frame include removal of all stored waste products and contaminated materials apparently planned to a SE New Mexico site that does not yet exist? Are we being sold a pig in a poke yet again!?

There MUST be public hearings for all residents who want to speak; easily accessible and close to all residents affected by IP its decommissioning and all potential threats associated with the process within a reasonable radius from the complex; evasion or limited time-frame, limited venues for public comment, or any other evasions are not acceptable. The public must have hearings regarding the HDI application and all other associated threats to public heath and safety, very much including the Algonquin high-pressure gas pipeline running under the IP site and the grave threats that poses.

In hopes of a safe non-nuclear future, I am

Victor N. Tiship