LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

	CONTROL BLOCK: []]] [] (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1	N C B E P 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 6 57 CAT 58 5
O 1 7 8	PREPORT L 6 0 5 0 - 0 3 2 5 7 0 3 10 1 8 2 3 0 3 2 5 8 2 9 SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80
0 2	During routine surveillance, a comparison of RTGB indications of suppression chamber
0 [3]	water level revealed that RTGB instrument, 1-CAC-LR-2602, indicated a level of -30"
0 4	while RTGB instrument, 1-CAC-LI-2601-3 indicated a level of -27.8". A check of the
0 5	local level indicator determined the actual level to be -26". This value exceeded
0 6	the specified upper limit and is being reported in LER 1-82-31. This event did not
0 7	affect the health and safety of the public.
0 8	Technical Specifications 3.3.5.3, 6.9.1.9b
0 9	SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SUBCODE SUSCODE SUS
	LER/RO EVENT YEAR SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. REPORT NUMBER 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 29 30 31 32 ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN SEQUENTIAL REPORT TYPE NO. ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT
	TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT METHOD HOURS (22) SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER LX 18 C 19 Z 20 Z 21 O O O O O Y 41 23 Y 24 N 25 B O 4 C (47)
1 0	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective
1 0	
	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective
	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2601, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters
	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective [transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2601, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters.] to send incorrect input signals to their particular indicators. The trickle flow was properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service.
1 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective [transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2601, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters] to send incorrect input signals to their particular indicators. The trickle flow was properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service. Page O 9 4 29 NA A A A A A A A A
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6 1 6	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2601, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters to send incorrect input signals to their particular indicators. The trickle flow was properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service. properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service. Approper Secondary Seconda
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6 1 6	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective [transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2601, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters] to send incorrect input signals to their particular indicators. The trickle flow was] properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service.
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6 1 6	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective [transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2601, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters of the send incorrect input signals to their particular indicators. The trickle flow was properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service. [ACHITY OF TAILUS SPOWER OTHER STATUS (30) METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) METHOD OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE (36) NA METHOD OF RELEASE
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6 1 6	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2601, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters to send incorrect input signals to their particular indicators. The trickle flow was properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service. Constitute
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6 1 6	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2603, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters to send incorrect input signals to their particular indicators. The trickle flow was properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service. Constitute
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 7 7 8 1 8 2 0 4 8 2 0 4	A loss of trickle flow to the wet reference leg of each instrument's respective transmitter, 1-CAC-LT-2602 and LT-2601, Model No. B015221, caused both transmitters to send incorrect input signals to their particular indicators. The trickle flow was properly established and each transmitter was calibrated and returned to service. Comparing the power of the stratus (36) METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)

LER ATTACHMENT - RO #1-82-28

Facility: BSEP Unit No. 1 Event Date: March 1, 1982

As a result of an event involving this instrumentation, as reported in LER 1-81-07 and several recent LERs, and a post-TMI requirement, a plant modification package (1-80-78 for Unit No. 1 and 2-80-99 for Unit No. 2) has been developed. This modification will install a condensing pot in the reference leg in order to increase the accuracy and reliability of this instrument, and remove the requirement to have flow in the reference leg to ensure that it is full.