

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: | | | | | | | 1

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | V | A | S | P | S | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | | | 5

0 1 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 9

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10

0 1 2 | Through the performance of periodic test PT 17.7, it was discovered that recirculation
0 1 3 | spray heat exchanger service water radiation monitor pump 2-SW-P-5C was inoperable.
0 1 4 | Inoperability of this pump is reportable pursuant to T.S.6.6.2.b.(4). The pump does
0 1 5 | not affect the heat removal capability of the RSHX and alternate means of radiation
0 1 6 | monitoring were available should the RSHX have been required. Public health and
0 1 7 | safety were not affected.

0 1 8 |

0 1 9 | SYSTEM CODE | M | A | 11 | CAUSE CODE | X | 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE | Z | 13 | COMPONENT CODE | P | U | M | P | X | X | 14 | COMP SUBCODE | B | 15 | VALVE SUBCODE | Z | 16

17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | 8 | 2 | 21 | 22 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | 0 | 1 | 9 | 24 | 26 | OCCURRENCE CODE | 0 | 3 | 28 | 29 | REPORT TYPE | L | 30 | REVISION NO. | 0 | 32

ACTION TAKEN | B | 18 | FUTURE ACTION | F | 19 | EFFECT ON PLANT | Z | 20 | SHUTDOWN METHOD | Z | 21 | HOURS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | Y | 23 | NRC-4 FORM SUB. | N | 24 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | A | 25 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER | I | 0 | 7 | 5 | 25

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27

1 1 0 | The event was caused by the accumulation of sediment in the suction line to the pump.
1 1 1 | The suction line was cleaned and the pump was returned to service.

1 1 2 |

1 1 3 |

1 1 4 |

1 1 5 | FACILITY STATUS | E | 28 | % POWER | 0 | 9 | 6 | 29 | OTHER STATUS | N/A | 30 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | B | 31 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION | Monthly Periodic Test | 32

1 1 6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | N/A | 35 | LOCATION OF RELEASE | N/A | 36

1 1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | TYPE | Z | 38 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 39

1 1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 41

1 1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE | Z | 42 | DESCRIPTION | N/A | 43

2 8 2 0 6 0 1 0 6 0 8 | PUBLICITY REVIEW DESCRIPTION | N/A | 45

ATTACHMENT 1
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO: 50-281
REPORT NO: 82-019/03L-0
EVENT DATE: 04-12-82

TITLE OF THE EVENT: Recirc. Spray Heat Exchanger Rad. Monitor Pump

1. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On April 12, 1982, with the unit at 96%, performance of monthly periodic test 17.7 (Recirculation Spray Heat Exchanger Service Water Radiation Monitor Pump Flush) revealed the suction piping to 2-SW-P-5C to be plugged. This event is reportable pursuant to Technical Specification 6.6.2.b.(4).

2. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF OCCURRENCE:

Following an accident, each service water radiation monitoring pump would take suction from the service water discharge of its respective recirculation spray heat exchanger, directing flow through a radiation monitor in order to detect and identify a leaking heat exchanger. Failure of a radiation monitoring pump would not affect the performance of the associated heat exchanger. Also, radiation monitoring capability in the service water discharge tunnel provides an alternate means for detection of a leaking heat exchanger.

3. CAUSE OF THE EVENT:

These events were caused by the accumulation of sediment in the suction lines to the radiation monitoring pumps.

4. IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The suction line to the pump was cleaned and the pump was returned to service.

5. SUBSEQUENT CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

None were required.

6. ACTIONS TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE:

A request for engineering assistance is being forwarded to the Architect-Engineer in an effort to relocate the suction to the pumps so that sediment is no longer a problem.

7. GENERIC IMPLICATIONS

The problems and solutions are common to Surry Units 1 and 2.