

1426 S. Polk Dallas, Texas 75224

214/946-9446

## (CITIZENS ASSN. FOR SOUND ENERGY)

May 20, 1982

Ms. Marjorie Ulman Rothschild, Esq. Office of Executive Legal Director U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Marge:

SUBJECT: In the Matter of Application of Texas Utilities Generating Company, et al. for An Operating License for Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Units #1 and #2 (CPSES)

> CASE's Supplementary Answers to NRC Staff's 2/22/82 Fourth Set of Interrogatories to Intervenor CASE, Question C5-6

We'll be supplementing our answers to the Staff's interrogatories along as we narrow down the specific issues and documents we will be addressing in the upcoming hearings. We wanted to get the following information to you right away, because of its potential significance, and we're therefore supplementing our answer to Question C5-6 of your Fourth Set to CASE.

We'll be relying in the hearings on the following, which we expect to be able to supply authenticated copies of soon; but we are in the meantime attaching a copy of the final revision of it (Revision 3):

Brown & Root NCR (Nonconformance Report) C650R3, which states in part:

"As the forms and decking were removed from the 812' mat placement in Containment No. 1 (pour 101-2812-001 and 002), B&R QC found a vertical crack that extended completely through the seven (7) foot thick mat near the center of its midspan across the cavity. The crack extended horizontally to the cavity liner along a line between 90° and 270° azimuth." (Emphases added.)

We have now received confirmation from Applicants (see Applicants' 5/17/82 Answers to CASE's Eleventh Set of Interrogatories, Question 106) that this crack in the base mat of Containment No. 1 was not reported to the NRC. Further, as indicated in Applicants' answers, they were unable to tell us the length of the crack, and the drawing which Applicants provided us with was a rough drawing done for us by them at the time we were inspecting documents, since they stated there was no drawing of the crack done at the time it was discovered. The rough drawing provided us was, according to what we were told, based on the best information they had in their records but was not binding on them. We're attaching a copy of it, nonetheless, for whatever benefit it may be to you. The cracks were shown on the copy provided us in red; since this showed up black on the copy we're attaching for you, we've highlighted the cracks for you. DS03 5/ 8205260162610

We're in the process of analyzing the documents concerning this, including the concrete pour packages with which we have been provided.

We will also be pursuing the QA/QC aspect of the overexcavation (or rock overbreak) insofar as it relates to Contention 5, especially regarding Applicants' apparent lack of analysis as to whether or not the overexcavation may have helped cause the crack in the base mat. We have requested copies of some slides from Applicants (the same ones which they provided Dick Fouke regarding Contention 7 as well as others), many of which bear little resemblance to those pictures contained in the FSAR. As indicated in FSAR 2.5.4.5, "All other facture maps and photographs are part of the permanent construction records which are available for review from Texas Utilities Services Inc." and these are apparently some of those photographs.

As Applicants have indicated in their Answers to Questions 101-105 to our Eleventh Set, we will apparently have to file a Motion to Compel in order to get our questions about this matter answered. We will be getting in touch with Applicants prior to filing our motion in accordance with the Board's directives, however.

We weren't sure whether you would want to get copies of the documents we have received in this regard from us or if you would prefer to contact Applicants direct about them. In any event, we will make them available for inspection and copying at your request. Please give me a call if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

CASE (CITIZENS ASSOCIATION FOR SOUND ENERGY)

picante Elles

(Mirs.) Juanita Ellis President

cc: Service List, without attachments

1

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Y

Y

I

In the Matter of

APPLICATION OF TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING COMPANY, ET AL. FOR AN OPERATING LICENSE FOR COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNITS #1 AND #2 (CPSES)

Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

By my signature below, I hereby certify that true and correct copies of CASE's 5/20/82 Motion to Strike Applicants' Motion for Summary Disposition of CASE's Contention 5 for Late Filing; CASE's Supplementary Answers to NRC Staff's 2/22/82 Fourth Set to CASE and to Applicants 8/1/80 First Set to CASE have been sent to the names listed below this 20th day of May 1982, by: Express mail where indicated by \* and by First Class Mail elsewhere.

\* Administrative Judge Marshall E. Miller David J. Preister, Esq. U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Assistant Attorney General Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Environmental Protection Division Washington, D. C. 20555

P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, TX 78711

- \* Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom, Dea. Division of Engineering, Architecture, and Technology Oklahora State University Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074
- \* Dr. Richard Cole, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555
- \* Nicholas S. Reynolds, Esq. Debevoise & Liberman 1200 - 17th St., N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036
- \* Marjorie Ulman Rothschild, Esq. Office of Executive Legal Director U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

(Mrs.) Juanita Ellis, President CASE (CITIZENS ASSOCIATION FOR SOUND ENERGY)