OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO.	50-289
DATE	May 12, 1982
COMPLETED BY	C. W. Smyth
TELEPHONE	(717) 948-8551

OPERATING STATUS

1. Unit Name: _____ Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit I

2. Reporting Period: _____April, 1982

3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): _____2535

4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 871

5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 819

6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 840

7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 776

8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe):

10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any:

	This Month	Yrto-Date	Cumulative
11 Hours In Penorting Period	719.	2879.	67152.
12 Number Of Hours Person Was Critical	0.0	0.0	31731.8
12. Number Of Hours Reactor was critical	0.0	0.0	839.5
13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	31180.9
14. Hours Generator On-Line	0.0	0.0	0.0
15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	76531071.
16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	0.	0.	25484330.
17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	0.	0.	23840053.
18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	0.0	0.0	46.4
19. Unit Service Factor	0.0	0.0	46.4
20. Unit Availability Factor	0.0	0.0	45.2
21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	0.0	0.0	43.3
22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	100.0	100.0	47.9
23. Unit Forced Outage Rate	100.0	100.0	
24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Ty	pe, Date, and Duration	of Each):	

8205180525

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO.	50-289
UNIT	TMI-I
DATE	May 12, 1982
COMPLETED BY	C. W. Smyth
TELEPHONE	(717) 948-855

MONTH	April, 1982
DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7 .	0
ε.	0
9	0
10	0
	0
12	0
13	0
14	0
15	0
16	0
	the second s

. ;

DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
17	0
18	0
19	0
20	0
21	0
22	0
23	0
24	0
25	0
26	0
27	0
28	0
29	0
30	0
31	0

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

DOCKET NO. UNIT NAME DATE May 12, 1982 COMPLETED BY C.W. Smyth TELEPHONE (717) 948-8551

REPORT MONTH _ April, 1982

		_		-		and the second	and the second se	in the second	
No.	Date	Type ¹	Duration (Hours)	Reason ²	Method of Shutting Down Reactor ³	Licensee Event Report #	System Code ⁴	Component Cude ⁵	Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
1	4/1/82	F	719	D	1				Regulatory Restraint Order
I F: Fo S: Sci	rced heduled	Rease A-Eq B-Mai C-Ref D-Ref E-Ope F-Adi G-Op H-Ot	on: uipment Fa intenance o fueling gulatory Re erator Trair ministrative erational Er her (Explain	ilure (E. r Test estriction ning & L mor (Ex	xplain) n icense Exan plain)	3 ination	Method 1-Manu 2-Manu 3-Autor 4-Other	al al Scram. matic Scram. (Explain)	4 Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG- 0161) 5 Exhibit 1 - Same Source

OPERATING SUMMARY

The unit mas been in cold shutdown the entire month by order of the NRC. Core cooling was provided by the Decay Heat Removal System. On April 4, the Primary System was filled to 320" in the OTSGs. On April 13, the Reactor Vessel Head was removed to enable inspections of Reactor Vessel and core components.

Major Safety Related Maintenance

In addition to the work performed on previously identified restart modifications, the following maintenance was performed:

OTSG Repair Program

Eddy current inspections continued. The results of these inspections will be addressed in separate correspondence.

Reactor Vessel and Core Inspections

The following work was performed to determine the extent of the corrosive attack recently identified in the OTSGs:

- 1. Removed Reactor Vessel head
- 2. Removed Reactor Vessel head inner/outer O-rings
- 3. Performed UT of plenum lift lug bolts
- 4. Installed indexing fixture
- 5. Removed plenum
- 6. Performed UT of plenum bolts
- 7. Removed vent valve thermalcouple flange
- 8. Cut Reactor Vessel O-ring into segments for analysis
- 9. Performed Vent Valve exercise
- 10. Video inspection of vent valves
- 11. Video inspection of RNS retainers
- 12. Removed RNS retainer for inspection
- 13. Re-installed new RNS retainer
- 14. Removed APSR for inspection
- 15. Periormed UT of CRDM motor tubes
- 16. Eddy current tested CRDM nozzle
- 17. Eddy current tested vent valve thermocouple nozzle.
- 18. Video inspection of internal annulus
- 19. Performed UT of core barrel bolts
- 20. Fuel Assembly video inspection
- 21. Video inspection of control components
- 22. Cleaned Reactor Vessel O-ring groove
- 23. Pulled two (2) incores and performed wipe PT inspections
- 24. APSR Closure Inspection

To date, all inspections have been performed with satisfactory results except APSR closure and inner lining on vessel lower internals indication which are being evaluated. The details and final results of these inspections will be provided in separate correspondence.

Nuclear Service Cooler ID

Eddy current testing of 12 tubes and fiber optic inspection of 3 tubes were performed. No visual indications were discovered. Two tubes known to be leaking tere removed from the heat exchangers for further analysis. End covers were repaired with an epoxy coating. The results of this work will be forwarded in future reports. 1. Name of Facility:

Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit I

2. Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown:

Unknown

3. Scheduled date for restart following refueling:

Unknown

4. Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

If answer is yes, in general, what will these be?

If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 CFR Section 50.59)?

If no such review has taken place, when is it scheduled?

Amendment No. 50, Cycle 5 reload, was approved on 3-16-79.

5. Scheduled date (s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information:

N/A

6. Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g. new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures:

N/A

7. The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core, and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool:

(a) 177

(b) 208

8. The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies:

The present licensed capacity is 752. There are no planned increases at this time.

9. The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity:

1987 is the last refueling discharge which allows full core off-load capacity (177 fuel assemblies).

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO.	50-289
DATE	May 12, 1982
COMPLETED BY	C. W. Smyth
TELEPHONE	(717) 948-8551

OPERATING STATUS

1. Unit Name: _____ Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit I

2. Reporting Period: _____April, 1982

3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2535

4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 871

5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 819

6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 840

7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 776

8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe):

10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any:

	This Month	Yrto-Date	Cumulative
1. Hours In Reporting Period	719.	2879.	67152.
12 Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical	0.0	0.0	31731.8
2 Panetor Darania Chutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	839.5
A Hours Conceptor On Line	0.0	0.0	31180.9
Lisit Berner Chutdown Howr	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	76531071.
6. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	0.	0.	25484330.
7. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	0.	0.	23840053.
8. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	0.0	0.0	46.4
Unit Service Factor	0.0	0.0	46.4
0. Unit Availability Factor	0.0	0.0	45.2
1. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	0.0	0.0	43.3
2. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net) 3. Unit Forced Outage Rate	100.0	100.0	47.9
4. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Ty	pe. Date, and Duration	of Each):	

25. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup: _		
26. Units In Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation):	Forecast	Achieved
INITIAL CRITICALITY		<u></u>
INITIAL ELECTRICITY		
COMMERCIAL OPERATION		

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO.	50-289		
UNIT	TMI-I		
DATE	May 12, 1982		
COMPLETED BY	C. W. Smyth		
TELEPHONE	(717) 948-8551		

	TRACT DAMAGE STRATE
DAY AV	(Mwe-Net)
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
	0
12	0
13	0
14	0
15	0
16	0
	The second se

DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
17	0
18	0
19	0
20	0
21	0
22	0
23	0
24	0
25	0
26	0
27	0
28	0
29	0
30	0
31	0

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS TMI-1 UNIT NAME May 12, 1982 DATE C.W. Smyth COMPLETED BY REPORT MONTH April, 1982 (717) 948-855 TELEPHONE Method of Shutting Down Reactor³ Component Cude⁵ Reason? Duration (Hours) System Code⁴ Licensee Cause & Corrective Typel Date No. Event Action to Report # Prevent Recurrence . Regulatory Restraint Order F D 1 1 719 4/1/82 3 4 Exhibit G - Instructions F: Forced Method: Reason: I-Manual A-Equipment Failure (Explain) for Preparation of Data S: Scheduled 2-Manual Scram. Entry Sheets for Licensee **B**-Maintenance of Test Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-C-Refueling 3-Automatic Scram. 4-Other (Explain) **D**-Regulatory Restriction 0161) E-Operator Training & License Examination 5 **F**-Administrative G-Operational Error (Explain) II-Other (Explain) Exhibit 1 - Same Source

50-289

DOCKET NO.

OPERATING SUMMARY

The unit has been in cold shutdown the entire month by order of the NRC. Core cooling was provided by the Decay Heat Removal System. On April 4, the Primary System was filled to 320" in the OTSGs. On April 13, the Reactor Vessel Head was removed to enable inspections of Reactor Vessel and core components.

Major Safety Related Maintenance

In addition to the work performed on previously identified restart modifications, the following maintenance was performed:

OTSG Repair Program

Eddy current inspections continued. The results of these inspections will be addressed in separate correspondence.

Reactor Vessel and Core Inspections

The following work was performed to determine the extent of the corrosive attack recently identified in the OTSGs:

- 1. Removed Reactor Vessel head
- 2. Removed Reactor Vessel head inner/outer O-rings
- 3. Performed UT of plenum lift lug bolts
- 4. Installed indexing fixture
- 5. Removed plenum
- 6. Performed UT of plenum bolts
- 7. Removed vent valve thermalcouple flange
- 8. Cut Reactor Vessel O-ring into segments for analysis
- 9. Performed Vent Valve exercise
- 10. Video inspection of vent valves
- 11. Video inspection of RNS retainers
- 12. Removed RNS retainer for inspection
- 13. Re-installed new RNS retainer
- 14. Removed APSR for inspection
- 15. Performed UT of CRDM motor tubes
- 16. Eddy current tested CRDM nozzle
- 17. Eddy current tested vent valve thermocouple nozzle.
- 18. Video inspection of internal annulus
- 19. Performed UT of core barrel bolts
- 20. Fuel Assembly video inspection
- 21. Video inspection of control components
- 22. Cleaned Reactor Vessel O-ring groove
- 23. Pulled two (2) incores and performed wipe PT inspections
- 24. APSR Closure Inspection

To date, all inspections have been performed with satisfactory results except APSR closure and inner lining on vessel lower internals indication which are being evaluated. The details and final results of these inspections will be provided in separate correspondence.

Nuclear Service Cooler ID

Eddy current testing of 12 tubes and fiber optic inspection of 3 tubes were performed. No visual indications were discovered. Two tubes known to be leaking were removed from the heat exchangers for further analysis. End covers were repaired with an epoxy coating. The results of this work will be forwarded in future reports. 1. Name of Facility:

Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit I

2. Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown:

Unknown

3. Scheduled date for restart following refueling:

Unknown

4. Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

If answer is yes, in general, what will these be?

If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 CFR Section 50.59)?

If no such review has taken place, when is it scheduled?

Ameniment No. 50, Cycle 5 reload, was approved on 3-16-79.

5. Scheduled date (s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information:

N/A

 Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g. new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures:

N/A

7. The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core, and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool:

(a) 177

(b) 208

8. The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies:

The present licensed capacity is 752. There are no planned increases at this time.

 The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity:

1987 is the last refueling discharge which allows full core off-load capacity (177 fuel assemblies).