

MAY 5 1982

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

secy with notice

Docket No. 50-346

NRC PDR
L PDR
ORB#4 Rdg
DEisenhut
OELD
AEOD
IE
ACRS-10
ADeAgazio
RIngram
Gray File
EBlackwood
HOrnstein
TNovak

DMB 016



Mr. Richard P. Crouse
Vice President, Nuclear
Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

Dear Mr. Crouse:

SUBJECT: REVISED INSERVICE INSPECTION AND TESTING PROGRAM

Toledo Edison Company letter of May 15, 1980 (No. 616) submitted a revised Inservice Inspection (and Testing) Program for the remainder of the initial 120 month interval for the Davis Besse Nuclear Power Station. This program was voluntarily revised to be in accordance with the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Section XI of the 1977 Edition through the Summer 1978 addenda. The program is composed of four sections as follows:

- Section 1 - Pumps
- Section 2 - Valves
- Section 3 - Welds (including supports, components, and bolting)
- Section 4 - System Pressure Tests

Program amendments and supplemental information were submitted by Toledo Edison Company letters dated December 15, 1980 (No. 671), March 31, 1981 (No. 702), June 10, 1981 (No. 715), and February 16, 1982 (No. 784). The Inservice Inspection Program, including the referenced supplemental information, was discussed in meetings held with your staff on November 20, 1980 and February 3, 1982.

The NRC staff review of your Inservice Inspection (and Testing) Program referenced above is continuing. However, the staff review of Section 3 - Welds (including supports, components and bolting) and Section 4 - System Pressure Tests is complete.

In Sections 3 and 4, Toledo Edison Company has determined certain ASME code examination requirements to be impractical and has requested relief from performing those examinations. We have evaluated the written requests for relief and agree with your determination that it is impractical for you to meet these requirements. We have determined that life, property or the common defense and security will not be endangered as a result of not performing these examinations. We have reached the conclusion that relief should be granted as authorized by 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(1).

OFFICE	B205120400	B20505					
SURNAME	PDR	ADOCK	05000346				
DATE							

and that granting such relief, is in the public interest giving due consideration to the burden Toledo Edison Company if the requirements were imposed.

Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i), we grant the two written relief requests which relate to:

- a) Examination of Reactor Coolant Pumps, P 36-1, P 36-2, P 36-3 and P 36-4
- b) Examination of the Control Rod Drive Nozzle Flange Bolts and Nuts

With the exception of the two requests for relief from code requirements, our evaluation of Section 3 and Section 4 of Toledo Edison Company's program indicates that examinations are to be performed at an extent and frequency that exceeds the minimum requirements of Section XI of the ASME code.

Based on our review of the Inservice Inspection Program, as submitted by Toledo Edison Company and referenced above, we have determined that Section 3 and Section 4 of that program are acceptable for the remainder of the 120-month inspection interval and are in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(4).

We have not completed our detailed review of Sections 1 and 2 of the Toledo Edison Company program. The review of these Sections, which relate to the inservice testing of pumps and valves, is scheduled for completion in the near future.

Section 50.55a(g) of 10 CFR 50 requires that your program be revised at 120-month intervals with the start of commercial operation being the reference date. The start of the next interval for your facility is November 21, 1987. Your inservice inspection and testing program must be based on the edition and addenda of the ASME code incorporated by 10 CFR 50.55a(b) 12 months prior to that date. Any changes to your Technical Specifications are required to be submitted at least 6 months prior to the beginning of a 120-month interval and it is requested that any requests for relief from ASME code requirements be provided on the same schedule. It should be understood that 10 CFR 50.55a(g) does not require NRC approval of your revised program and that the staff review will only address requests for relief from code requirements and Technical Specification changes. Submittal of your revised program is not required unless specifically requested by the staff to support your relief requests. It is requested, however, that you provide a summary of the changes from your previous program. The staff review of your relief requests for your next interval will be conducted on a schedule based on the program revision requirements for your facility and is scheduled to be completed by November 21, 1987. Until that time you should follow the inservice inspection program, Sections 3 and 4, as proposed by your letters of December 15, 1980, March 31, 1981, June 10, 1981 and February 16, 1982, modified as described herein.

OFFICE ▶
SURNAME ▶
DATE ▶

Mr. Richard P. Crouse

-3-

The relief granted herewith supersedes that granted by our letter dated January 3, 1979. The relief will remain in effect until November 21, 1987 unless specifically revoked by the Commission.

The Safety Evaluation Report and a copy of the Federal Register Notice is enclosed.

Sincerely,
ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
JOHN F. STOLZ

John F. Stolz, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:

- 1. Safety Evaluation Report
- 2. Federal Register Notice

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

M
4/20/82

*See previous NRC 318 for concurrence.

Concur. subject to modifications in letter noted on pp. 1 and 2 of record copy.

OFFICE	ORB#4:DL	ORB#1:DL	C-ORB#4:DL	AD-OR:DL	OELD		
SURNAME	A De Agazio	D Chaney*	J Stolz	T Novak*	M Rothschild		
DATE	4/15/82	3/23/82	4/1/82	3/25/82	4/28/82		

-3-

Subject is enclosed as "SER." It's not that "attachment" also, I thought that more relief is granted in the attachment than we are actually granting by means of this letter. Miss

this letter

The relief granted in the enclosed ~~Safety Evaluation Report~~ supersedes that granted by our letter dated January 3, 1979. The relief will remain in effect until November 21, 1987 unless specifically revoked by the Commission.

Sincerely,

"ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
JOHN F. STOLZ" ✓

John F. Stolz, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Licensing

Notes/Member Allen

Enclosure:
Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DL

see attached memo

OFFICE ▶	ORB#4:DL	ORB#1:DL	C-ORB#4:DL	AB-OR:DL	OELD		
SURNAME ▶	ADeAgazfo:cf	DChaney	JStolz	JRoyak			
DATE ▶	3/22/82	3/23/82	3/ /82	3/ /82	3/ /82		

Toledo Edison Company

cc w/enclosure(s):

Mr. Donald H. Hauser, Esq.
The Cleveland Electric
Illuminating Company
P. O. Box 5000
Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Gerald Charnoff, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts
and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Paul M. Smart, Esq.
Fuller & Henry
300 Madison Avenue
P. O. Box 2088
Toledo, Ohio 43603

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation Division
7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 220
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

President, Board of County
Commissioners of Ottawa County
Port Clinton, Ohio 43452

Attorney General
Department of Attorney General
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Harold Kahn, Staff Scientist
Power Siting Commission
361 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. Ted Myers
Manager, Nuclear Licensing
Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
5503 N. State Route 2
Oak Harbor, Ohio 43449

Mrs. Julia Baldwin, Librarian
Government Documents Collection
William Carlison Library
University of Toledo
2801 W. Bancroft Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43606

Regional Radiation Representative
EPA Region V
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Ohio Department of Health
ATTN: Radiological Health
Program Director
P. O. Box 118
Columbus, Ohio 43216