

Docket No. 50-341

The Detroit Edison Company
ATTN: Donald A. Wells
Manager, Quality Assurance
2000 Second Avenue
Detroit, MI 48226

Gentlemen

This refers to the management meeting held by Mr. A. Bert Davis and NRC representatives with you and other representatives of Detroit Edison Company on March 16, 1982 at your corporate office to review the results of the NRC's evaluation of the utility's regulatory performance in connection with NRC Manual Chapter 0516 - Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) and covers the period July 1, 1980 through September 30, 1981.

A preliminary copy of the SALP Report was provided to you in advance of our meeting. This report is enclosed, along with the written comments you provided subsequent to the meeting.

In addition to the evaluations and recommendations made by the SALP Board and contained in the enclosed report, I wish to give you my overall observations and assessment relative to the utility's regulatory performance during the appraisal period:

- With respect to the SALP ratings, the Regional Board views the Category 2 rating as the rating which it anticipates most licensees will achieve. A Category 1 rating is given only for superior performance and a Category 3 rating is given when the licensee's performance is minimally acceptable and increased licensee management and NRC attention is warranted.
- 2. It is my view that the overall regulatory performance of the Detroit Edison Company continued to be satisfactory for the appraisal period. It is evident that management has been responsive to NRC concerns, that the utility has a low threshold for identifying and reporting

8205110617 820503 PDR ADDCK 05000341 deficiencies, and that the utility top management as well as other managers are playing a strong role in the control of system completion and turnover activities. I share the concerns identified by the Board and was pleased to receive your letter of April 2, 1982, describing the actions being taken by the utility to alleviate these concerns. I would particularly note the importance of your program to carefully assess the need to refurbish equipment which may have degraded due to lack of preventive maintenance. It was reassuring to note the management attention being directed to this potential problem. We will follow these matters during future inspections.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter, the SALP Report, and your April 2 letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room.

No reply to this letter is required; however, should you have any questions concerning these matters, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

Original signed by James G. Keppler

James G. Keppler Regional Administrator

Enclosure: Report No. 50-341/82-03

cc w/encl:
DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS)
Resident Inspector, RIII
Ronald Callen, Michigan
Public Service Commission
Harry H. Voigt, Esq.

Tambling/jp
4/29/82

Hama Hama Norelius

Spassard 5/2/82 Davis 5)3/82

Keppler 5/3/87

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III

Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance

Detroit Edison Company

Enrico Fermi Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2

Docket No. 50-341 Report No. 50-341/82-03

Assessment Period
July 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981

CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|------|--|------|
| 1. | SALP Board Chairman Letter to Licensee | iii |
| 2. | Licensee Comments | vi |
| I. | Introduction | 1 |
| II. | Criteria | 2 |
| III. | Summary of Results | 3 |
| IV. | Performance Analyses | 4 |
| V. | Supporting Data and Summaries | 11 |
| | A. Noncompliance Data | 11 |
| | B. Licensee Report Data | 12 |
| | C. Licensee Activities | 13 |
| | D. Inspection Activities | 13 |
| | E. Investigations and Allegations | 14 |
| | F. Escalated Enforcement Actions | 15 |
| | G. Management Conferences | 15 |

Docket No. 50-341

The Detroit Edison Company
ATTN: Mr. Donald A. Wells
Manager, Quality Assurance
2000 Second Avenue
Detroit, MI 48226

Gentlemen:

This is to confirm the conversation between yourself and Mr. Bruce Little of the Region III staff scheduling March 16, 1982, at 1:00 p.m., as the date and time to discuss the Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) for the Enrico Fermi Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2. This meeting is to held at the Detroit Edison offices in Detroit, Michigan.

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator and members of the NRC staff will present the observations and findings of the SALP Board. Since this meeting is intended to be a forum for the mutual understanding of the issues and findings, you are encouraged to have appropriate representation at the meeting. As a minimum we would suggest that the senior corporate representatives for the facility, site manager, and managers for the various functional areas where problems have been identified.

The enclosed SALP Report which documents the findings of the SALP Board is for your review prior to the meeting. Subsequent to the meeting the SALP Report will be issued by the Regional Administrator.

Enclosure 1 to this letter summarizes the more significant findings identified in the SALP Board's evaluation of Fermi 2.

If you desire to make comments concerning our evaluation of your facility, they should be submitted to this office within twenty days after the meeting date. Otherwise it will be assumed that you have no comments.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter, the SALP Report, and your comments, if any, will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room when the SALP Report is issued.

The Detroit Edison Company

2

If you have any questions concerning the SALP Report, we will be happy to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

J. A. Hind, Director Division of Emergency Preparedness and Operational Support Chairman, Region III SALP Board

Enclosure: Significant Findings

ENCLOSURE 1

Significant SALP Report findings for the Enrico Fermi Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2.

General Observations:

The results of the inspection conducted during July 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981 indicate that most activities at the site have been conducted in an acceptable manner. Four areas of concern were identified and the SALP Board recommends that the licensee focus additional attention in these areas.

Functional Area: Radiation Protection, Radioactive Waste Management, and Transportation

Safety Evaluation Report commitments regarding staffing and radiation protection training and FSAR updating in this functional area need additional attention.

Functional Area: Maintenance

Deficiencies noted in this area indicate weaknesses in the maintenance program which require additional attention.

Functional Area: Preparations for Preoperational Testing

Problems associated with early turned over non-safety related systems indicate procedural and training deficiencies that require additional attention. In addition, the merging of construction and preoperational testing has significantly increased the number of punchlist open items, with an attendant increased opportunity for errors.

Functional Area: Licensee Tracking of Licensing Commitments

The licensee's system for the tracking of licensing commitments does not contain adequate feedback and verification provisions. Increased attention should be given to the development of feedback and verification capabilities.