

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED  
USNR

'82 MAY -4 P5:05

In the Matter of )

HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER )  
COMPANY, et al. )


(South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2) )

TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING )  
COMPANY, et al. )

(Comanche Peak Steam Electric )  
Station, Units 1 and 2) )

Docket Nos. 50-498A  
50-499A

Docket Nos. 50-445A  
50-446A



RESPONSE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TO  
BROWNSVILLE AND REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE APPROVAL OF  
THE SETTLEMENT LICENSE CONDITIONS

Pursuant to the order of the Administrative Law Judge dated April 15, 1982, the Department of Justice ("Department") hereby submits its response to the filing of the Public Utilities Board of the City of Brownsville, Texas ("Brownsville"). The Department further requests that the Administrative Law Judge immediately approve and order the attachment of the settlement license conditions for both the Comanche Peak units and the South Texas Project. Finally, the Department requests that the Administrative Law Judge order Brownsville to inform him and the parties whether it will seek further relief, either by evidentiary hearing or otherwise. Unless Brownsville can support the need for further proceedings, both the Comanche Peak and South Texas proceedings should be terminated.

DS08  
3  
11

On April 15, 1982 the Administrative Law Judge ordered Brownsville to submit responses to four questions to determine its position with regard to the proposed settlement of the South Texas case. Brownsville was not required to set forth its position concerning the Comanche Peak settlement license conditions. Brownsville did not intervene in the Comanche Peak proceeding and is not a party to that proceeding. All parties to the Comanche Peak proceeding have withdrawn or agreed to the proposed settlement. That settlement is now uncontested and the proposed license conditions should now be immediately approved and ordered attached without further showing by the parties. The Department submits that the record already contains adequate information to find that the proposed Comanche Peak settlement license conditions are in the public interest. See pp. 3-4, infra.

Brownsville has responded to the four questions set forth in the order of April 15, 1982 concerning its position in South Texas. Brownsville now takes the position that it does not oppose approval of the proposed settlement in the South Texas case and that it does not request rejection of that settlement. It has not stated that approval of that settlement will either affirmatively harm it or create or maintain a situation inconsistent with the antitrust laws. Given these responses, it would appear that Brownsville no longer contests the approval and attachment of the proposed settlement license conditions in South Texas.

In cases where not all parties have entered into a settlement or agreed to withdraw, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has approved settlement license conditions where it has found that they are a fair and reasonable settlement in the public interest and that their immediate attachment would not prejudice any party. 1/ Brownsville's response does not state that it will be prejudiced by immediate attachment. Consequently, the Administrative Law Judge need only determine that the settlement is a fair and reasonable settlement within the public interest in order to approve these conditions. The Department submits that this settlement meets this test and that there currently exists an adequate record for such a determination.

On December 3, 1980, the NRC staff filed its Comments and Motion For Approval of Settlement License Conditions. 2/ That pleading contains a detailed analysis of the reasons the proposed settlement license conditions in both the Comanche Peak and South Texas proceedings are in the public interest. The Department also filed on that date a pleading setting forth

---

1/ See, e.g., Duke Power Company (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 & 2), LBP-74-47, 7 A.E.C. 1158, 1159 (1974); Louisiana Power & Light Co. (Waterford Steam Generating Station, Unit No. 3), LBP-74-78, 8 A.E.C. 718 (1974); Florida Power & Light Co. (St. Lucie Plant, Unit No. 2), "Memorandum and Order" (April 24, 1981), unpublished, at 3-6, 12.

2/ NRC Staff Comments On and Motion For Approval of Settlement License Conditions, dated December 3, 1980. The Motion For Approval of the Settlement License Conditions has not yet been ruled upon and is currently pending.

its support for the settlement license conditions as being in the public interest. 3/ On the basis of this record, the Administrative Law Judge should find the settlement license conditions to be in the public interest and order their attachment.

In the view of the Department, only one unresolved matter remains. While this matter does not prevent the Administrative Law Judge from immediately approving the settlement license conditions and ordering their attachment, it could delay termination of the South Texas proceeding unless resolved now. It is unclear to the Department whether Brownsville may yet seek an evidentiary hearing to obtain relief in addition to the settlement license conditions in the South Texas proceeding. Although Brownsville's responses and statements of its counsel (Tr. at 1315, 1323) can be read to indicate that it will not do so, the matter may not be free from doubt.

---

3/ Reply of the Department of Justice to the Comments of the Public Utilities Board of the City of Brownsville Opposing Proposed Settlement License Conditions, dated December 3, 1980 at 514. The Department also set forth its position in support of the settlement license conditions at the prehearing conference held before the Licensing Board on October 24, 1980. The Department also addressed the manner in which the licensing conditions would remedy the situation set forth in its advice letters. (Tr. at 1215-1222). The Department does not believe that the Administrative Law Judge need find that the settlement license conditions remedy the situation inconsistent with the antitrust laws alleged in the Attorney General's advise letter before approving the license conditions. However, the Administrative Law Judge may find these discussions useful. The Department's position with regard to the relevance of the AC and DC interconnection issue to these proceedings was also set forth there. (Tr. at 1222-1236).


In order to resolve this last issue, the Department requests that the Administrative Law Judge order Brownsville to state for the record, within ten days of that order, whether it intends to request an evidentiary hearing or otherwise seek relief in addition to the settlement license conditions in the South Texas case. If Brownsville indicates it will not seek further relief, both the Comanche Peak and South Texas proceedings should be terminated. In the event Brownsville indicates that it does intend to request further relief in South Texas, Brownsville should be required to state at that time and with specificity the issues that will require further resolution and whether it believes an evidentiary hearing will be required to resolve each such issue.


In conclusion, the Department of Justice requests that the settlement license conditions for both the Comanche Peak units and the South Texas Project be approved and made effective in their entirety. The Department further requests that the Administrative Law Judge order Brownsville to state for the record whether it will seek any further relief in the South

Texas case and whether it believes an evidentiary hearing will  
be required.

Dated: May 4, 1982  
Washington, D.C.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Nancy H. McMillen

  
David A. Dopsovic

Attorneys  
Energy Section  
Antitrust Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
(Telephone No: (202) 724-6316)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED  
MAY 4 1982

'82 MAY -4 P5:05

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of	)	
HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER	)	
COMPANY, et al. (South	)	Docket Nos. 50-498A
Texas Project, Units 1 and	)	50-499A
2)	)	
	)	
TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING	)	
COMPANY, et al. (Comanche	)	Docket Nos. 50-445A
Peak Steam Electric	)	50-446A
Station, Units 1 and 2)	)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of the foregoing Status Report of the Department of Justice has been made on the following parties listed hereto this 4th day of May 1982, by depositing copies thereof in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid.

James A. Laurenson, Esquire  
Administrative Law Judge  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Samuel J. Chilk, Secretary  
Office of the Secretary of  
the Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Jerome E. Sharfman, Esquire  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Stephen H. Lewis, Esquire  
Ann P. Hodgdon  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Office of the Executive Legal  
Director  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Alan S. Rosenthal, Esquire  
Chairman  
Michael C. Farrar, Esquire  
Thomas S. Moore, Esquire  
Atomic Safety and Licensing  
Appeal Board Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Chase R. Stephens, Secretary  
Docketing and Service Branch  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555



Thomas S. Moore, Esq.  
Atomic Safety and Licensing  
Appeal Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C.

Atomic Safety and Licensing  
Appeal Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C.

Atomic Safety and Licensing  
Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

G.W. Oprea, Jr.  
Executive Vice President  
Houston Lighting and Power  
Company  
P.O. Box 1700  
Houston, Texas 77001

R. Gordon Gooch, Esq.  
Baker and Botts  
1701 Penn. Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006

J. Michael Baldwin, Esq.  
J. Gregory Copeland, Esq.  
Baker and Botts  
3000 One Shell Plaza  
Houston, Texas 770022

J.A. Bouknight, Jr., Esq.  
Douglas G. Green, Esq.  
Lowenstein, Newman, Reis &  
Axelrad  
1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Joseph B. Knotts, Jr., Esq.  
Debevoise & Liberman  
1200 Seventeenth St., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

David M. Stahl, Esq.  
Isham, Lincoln & Beale  
1120 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 325  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael I. Miller, Esq.  
Peter Thornton, Esq.  
Isham, Lincoln & Beale  
4200 One First National Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Richard C. Balough, Assistant  
City of Attorney  
City of Austin  
P.O. Box 1088  
Austin, Texas 78767

Nicholas M. Meiszer, City Manager  
City of Austin  
P.O. Box 1088  
Austin, Texas 78767

R.L. Hancock, Director  
City of Austin Electric Utility  
P.O. Box 1088  
Austin, Texas 78767

Don R. Butler, Esq.  
1225 South West Tower  
Austin, Texas 78701

Wheatley and Wollesen  
1112 Watergate Office Building  
2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037

Jay M. Galt, Esq.  
Jack P. Fite, Esq.  
Looney, Nichols, Johnson & Hayes  
219 Couch Drive  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102



Merlyn D. Sampels, Esq.  
J. Irion Worsham, Esq.  
Worsham, Forsyth & Sampels  
2001 Bryan Tower  
Dallas, Texas 75201

Robert A. Jablon, Esq.  
George Spiegel, Esq.  
Spiegel & McDiarmid  
2600 Virginia Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037

W. Roger Wilson, Esq.  
Jon C. Wood, Esq.  
1500 Alamo National Building  
San Antonio, Texas 78205

Douglas F. John, Esq.  
McDermott, Will and Emery  
1850 "K" St., N.W.  
Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert M. Rader, Esq.  
Conner, Moore and Corber  
1747 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006

Linda L. Asker, Esq.  
Leon J. Barish, Esq.  
Attorney General's Office  
P.O. Box 12548  
Austin, Texas 78711

Ms. Nancy Holdam Jacobson  
Coordinator, Citizens for Fair  
Utility Regulatory  
1400 Hemphill Street  
Forth Worth, Texas 76104

Frederick H. Ritts, Esq.  
Law Offices of Northcutt Ely  
Watergate 600 Building  
Washington, D.C. 20037

Robert E. Bathen, Esq.  
R.W. Beck & Associates  
P.O. Box 6817  
Orlando, Florida 32803

Ms. Evelyn H. Smith  
Route 6, Box 298  
Gaffney, South Carolina 29340

Morgan Hunter, Esq.  
McGinnis, Lochridge & Kilgore  
Texas State Bank Building  
Fifth Floor  
900 Congress Avenue  
Austin, Texas 78701

John W. Davidson, Esq.  
Sawtelle, Goode, Davidson & Troilo  
1100 San Antonio Savings Building  
San Antonio, Texas 78205

James E. Monahan  
Executive Vice President and  
General Manager  
Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.  
P.O. Box 6296  
Waco, Texas 76706

Maynard Human, General Manager  
Western Framers Electric Cooperative  
P.O. Box 429  
Anadarko, Oklahoma 73005

Donald M. Clements, Esq.  
Gulf State Utilities Company  
P.O. Box 2951  
Beaumont, Texas 77704

Mr. G. Holman King  
West Texas Utilities Co.  
P.O. Box 841  
Abilene, Texas 79604

Robert A. O'Neil, Esq.  
Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C.  
776 Executive Building  
1030 15th St., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005

Robert E. Cohn, Esq.  
Richard J. Leidi, Esq.  
Butler, Binion, Rice, Cook & Knapp  
818 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

William H. Burchette, Esq.  
Heron, Burchette & Ruckert  
1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.  
Suite 420  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Perry G. Brittain, President  
Texas Utilities Generating Co.  
2001 Bryan Tower  
Dallas, Texas 75201

J.K. Spruce, General Manager  
City Public Service Board  
P.O. Box 1771  
San Antonio, Texas 78296

W.N. Woolsey, Esq.  
Kleberg, Dyer, Redford & Weil  
1030 Petroleum Tower  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78474

Dick Terrell Brown, Esq.  
800 Milam Building  
San Antonio, Texas 78205

Somervell County Public Library  
P.O. Box 417  
Glen Rose, Texas 76043

Mr. William C. Price  
Central Power & Light Co.  
P.O. Box 2121  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78403

Antitrust and Economic  
Analysis Branch  
Division of Engineering  
Office of Nuclear Reaction  
Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20535

---

NANCY H. MCMILLEN, Attorney  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Energy Section, Antitrust  
Division, Department of Justice