

APR 27 1982

Docket No. 50-333

MEMORANDUM FOR: Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director
Division of Licensing

THRU: T. M. Novak, Assistant Director for
Operating Reactors, DL

D. B. Vassallo, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #2, DL

FROM: Philip J. Polk, Project Manager
Operating Reactors Branch #2, DL

SUBJECT: SALP Input - James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear
Power Plant



Please find attached the NRR SALP input for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. The appraisal covers the period of March 1, 1981 through February 28, 1982 and is forwarded in anticipation of the Region I meeting on May 3, 1982.

The attached evaluation was prepared on an expedited basis in order to meet the current FitzPatrick SALP schedule as orally confirmed by the Resident Inspector. Input was received from the Operator Licensing Branch. However, no input from DSI and DE is included since there was no significant participation from these groups in the review of the FitzPatrick amendments processed by DL for the period.

If I can be of further assistance, please advise.

Original signed by

Philip J. Polk, Project Manager
Operating Reactors Branch #2, DL

Attachment
As Stated

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
ORB#2 Reading
R. Purple
J. Heltemes

P. Polk
S. Norris
Memo File

8205050552 820427
PDR ADDCK 05000333
P PDR

OFFICE	ORB#2	ORB#2	AD:OR	NA	NA		
SURNAME	PPolk:pbe	DVassallo	TNovak	RMartin	S. NORRIS		
DATE	4/1/82	4/20/82	4/1/82	4/1/82	4/1/82		

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Region I

Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance

Power Authority of the State of New York

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

April 12, 1982

I. INTRODUCTION

(I&E)

II. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

(After Board Meeting)

III. CRITERIA

(I&E)

IV. PERFORMANCE ANALYSES

043 Functional Area Analysis

a. Operating Reactors

1 through 8 (I&E)

9. Licensing Activities

1. Management Involvement and Control in Assuring Quality

Since most engineering work is vended out, a significant amount of managerial talent is devoted to contract administration. There is significant planning and prioritization as evidenced by PASNY's involvement in integrated scheduling. Although reviews are not always timely or thorough, significant improvement has been achieved over the reporting period. As a result, there has been a significant reduction in backlog, with the FitzPatrick license being amended 17 times over the past year.

Due to a large number of personnel assigned to the FitzPatrick site, the operational capabilities are above average. In fact, the licensee's technical strength is most apparent at the site.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 27, 1982

Docket No. 50-333

MEMORANDUM FOR: Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director
Division of Licensing

THRU: T. M. Novak, Assistant Director for
Operating Reactors, DL

D. B. Vassallo, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #2, DL

FROM: Philip J. Polk, Project Manager
Operating Reactors Branch #2, DL

SUBJECT: SALP Input - James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear
Power Plant

Please find attached the NRR SALP input for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. The appraisal covers the period of March 1, 1981 through February 28, 1982 and is forwarded in anticipation of the Region I meeting on May 3, 1982.

The attached evaluation was prepared on an expedited basis in order to meet the current FitzPatrick SALP schedule as orally confirmed by the Resident Inspector. Input was received from the Operator Licensing Branch. However, no input from DSI and DE is included since there was no significant participation from these groups in the review of the FitzPatrick amendments processed by DL for the period.

If I can be of further assistance, please advise.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Philip J. Polk", is written over the typed name and title.

Philip J. Polk, Project Manager
Operating Reactors Branch #2, DL

Attachment
As Stated

2. Approach to Resolution of Technical Issues from a Safety Standpoint

The licensee often demonstrates an awareness of existing as well as pending requirements. However, the licensee on several occasions has appeared unadvised.

There are very few items outstanding for significant periods of time. Problems of this type have been restricted to situations where higher priority NRR work has displaced work on other items.

Due to excessive personnel turnover, average technical competence is usually demonstrated. However, it is felt that this problem is being addressed and the situation appears to have improved over the past year.

3. Responsiveness to NRC initiatives

The licensee takes schedules seriously, usually makes a best effort to be responsive, and most of the time is prompt in identifying schedular problems.

The licensee is slow to become involved. Although an attempt is made to remain abreast of NRC needs, more often than not responses are reactionary in nature. Once NRC clarification has been received the licensee usually pursues the solution.

The licensee requires more time and effort due to the fact that a significant amount of work is vended out to their architect engineer or consultants.

4. Enforcement History

(Comments withheld due to lack of involvement)

5. Reporting and Analysis of Reportable Events

(Comments withheld due to lack of involvement)

6. Staffing

With respect to the engineering office, there has been high personnel turnover. However, key positions have been filled within a reasonable period of time.



7. Training and Qualification Effectiveness

During the evaluation period one SRO test was administered resulting in a passing grade.

8. Summary of Previous Year's Performance

Over the past year the licensee's performance has improved. This is, in part, due to the fact that the same personnel have been assigned to the FitzPatrick plant and the large post-TMI backlog has been significantly reduced.

b. Conclusion

The licensee is rated Category 2 in this functional area.

c. Board Recommendations

(Later)

V. SUPPORTING DATA AND SUMMARIES

(I&E)