



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION II
101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

APR 14 1982

INQUIRY REPORT NOS. 50-250/82-18 and 50-251/82-17

SUBJECT: Florida Power and Light Company
Turkey Point Nuclear Station
Homestead, Florida

Review of FP&L Investigation of Onsite Drug Use by Contractor
Employees

DATES OF INQUIRY: March 30 - April 1, 1982

INVESTIGATOR: William J. Tobin
W. J. Tobin, Regional Investigator
Enforcement and Investigations Staff

4/13/82
Date Signed

REVIEWED BY: Carl E. Alderson
Carl E. Alderson, Director
Enforcement and Investigations Staff

4-13-82
Date Signed

SUMMARY OF INQUIRY

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR STATION

MARCH 30 - APRIL 1, 1982

A. BACKGROUND

On January 26, 1982, an individual (hereafter referred to as the alleged) provided preliminary information to his supervisor that drugs, in the form of marijuana and cocaine, were being used and sold onsite by employees of three contractors. The alleged also implicated a food concessionaire as the supplier of the cocaine. The alleged provided no information to his supervisor or the licensee of drug use onsite or offsite by any members of the operational staff of any other licensee employees, nor was there information that the alleged drug use occurred in any operational vital areas of the plant.

Later in the day, January 26, the licensee notified the Region II Resident Inspector of this initial information and of its intent to polygraph the alleged to determine his credibility. On January 27, the alleged successfully completed a polygraph test furnishing specific information implicating seven members of the contract guard service, three contract employees, and one food concessionaire as being involved in use of drugs onsite.

On the basis of the information provided by FP&L officials an inquiry was initiated under the authority provided by Section 161.c of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 as amended.

B. SCOPE OF INQUIRY

From March 30 to April 1, 1982, a review of the licensee's investigation was conducted to determine if FP&L and its contractors had verified the information against the eleven individuals and had taken timely action to deny them access to the site. The inquiry also reviewed the depth and scope of the licensee's investigation.

During this inquiry the Investigator interviewed the FP&L Security Supervisor at the Turkey Point Nuclear Station, the FP&L Corporate Security Manager, the major of the Wackenhut contract guard service, a member of the Dade County Public Safety Department, and the Region II Resident Inspector. The Investigator attempted to interview the alleged, but was unsuccessful, as the alleged declined a personal face-to-face meeting. The Investigator did, however, arrange to receive a telephone call from an individual identifying himself as the alleged who volunteered information in both summary and detail to establish his identity and veracity. Results of polygraphs, the licensee's investigation report, and individual screening files and records were reviewed by the Investigator.

C. FINDINGS

1. No violations of NRC requirements nor FP&L commitments were identified.
2. All information furnished by the alleged was investigated by the licensee, timely corrective measures were taken, and results furnished to the NRC.
3. The FP&L investigation resulted in the following actions:
 - a. Two guards indicated deception when polygraphed about the use of marijuana onsite and they were subsequently terminated.
 - b. One guard indicated deception when polygraphed about the purchase and use of cocaine onsite and this guard was subsequently terminated.
 - c. Two guards indicated deception when polygraphed about their knowledge of other guards use of marijuana onsite and these guards were subsequently terminated.
 - d. One guard successfully passed the polygraph but was transferred to a non-FP&L location at the request of the licensee.
 - e. One guard successfully passed the polygraph and was reinstated at the station.
 - f. One Bechtel Power Corporation employee indicated deception when polygraphed about the purchase and use of cocaine onsite and was terminated.
 - g. One Daniels Construction Company employee indicated deception when polygraphed about the use of marijuana onsite and was terminated.
 - h. One Bechtel Power Corporation employee refused to be polygraphed about bringing marijuana onsite and resigned without notice.
 - i. One food concessionaire indicated deception when polygraphed about the sale of cocaine onsite and was banned from future access to any licensee site.
4. Although the licensee notified the Miami Office of the Drug Enforcement Administration and the security contractor notified the Dade County Public Safety Department, neither law enforcement agency considered the alleged drug quantities to be of sufficient size to warrant investigation. There was no physical evidence seized during the licensee's investigation.