## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

*	EIGENGEE EVENT HEFORT
•	CONTROL BLOCK:
0 1 / B	MIIDICICII 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
CON'T	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 0 3 1 5 7 0 4 1 2 8 2 8 0 5 1 2 8 2 9  EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10
0 2	[DURING NORMAL OPERATION, WHILE CONDUCTING A SURVEILLANCE TEST ON THE CONTROL ROOM
0 3	EMERGENCY VENTILATION SYSTEM, AIR FLOWS WERE FOUND TO BE 7320 CFM FOR 1-ACRF-1 AND
0 4	[7400 CFM FOR 1-ACRF-2. T.S. 4.7.5.1.C.4 DESIGNATES A MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE FLOW OF 6500 ]
0 5	CFM. DAMPERS WERE REPOSITIONED SUCH THAT AIR FLOWS WERE OBTAINED WITHIN THE T.S.
0 6	LIMITS.
07	
7 8	9 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMP. VALVE
0 9	S G 11 X 12 Z 13 F I L T E R 14 Z 15 Z 16
	TO REPORT NUMBER 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 31 32
	ACTION FUTURE COMPONENT NORTHOD HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED FORM SUB SUPPLIER SUPP
	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)    INVESTIGATION REVEALED THAT THE SYSTEM PREFILTERS WERE CHANGED IN AUG-1981. THE NEW
	THE COLLEGE THE CO
ULU	
1 2	DURAL REQUIREMENT TO VERIFY THE AIR FLOW AFTER PREFILTER CHANGES. TO PREVENT
1 3	REOCCURRENCE, A LETTER HAS BEEN SENT TO ALL DEPARTMENTS AS A REMINDER THAT FLOW  (SEE SUPPLEMENT)
7 8	
1 5	STATUS OF POWER OTHER STATUS OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)  LE 28 10 10 12 13 OTHER STATUS OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)  BOOM STATUS OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
	ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NA LOCATION OF RELEASE 36 NA N
17	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION (39) NA
7 8	PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 41
7 8	0 0 0 0 0 NA NA
III a	LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 43  TYPE DESCRIPTION NA
1 8	9 10 80
20	ISSUED DESCRIPTION (45)  NA  NA
82	05 1080 J. L. RISCHLING PHONE 616-465-5901

## SUPPLEMENT TO CAUSE DESCRIPTION

THE INVESTIGATION DID NOT REVEAL THAT ANY MAJOR REPAIR WORK SUCH AS FAN MAINTENANCE, DAMPER ADJUSTMENT, ETC., WAS PERFORMED ON THE CONT. JL ROOM EMERGENCY VENTILATION SYSTEM. HOWEVER, IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT ON AUGUST 10, 1981, A PERFORMANCE ENGINEER EXAMINED THE PREFILTERS FOR THE CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY VENTILATION SYSTEM AS HE WAS REVISING THE APPLICABLE SURVEILLANCE TEST PROCEDURE. NOTICING THAT THE PREFILTERS WERE VERY DIRTY, HE INITIATED A JOB ORDER TO CHANGE THE PREFILTERS. THE PREFILTERS WERE CHANGED ON AUGUST 13, 1981. NO RETEST WAS CONDUCTED AT THAT TIME SINCE THERE WAS NO PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENT FOR A FLOW VERIFICATION FOLLOWING PREFILTER REPLACEMENT. A FLOW TEST WAS CONDUCTED ON APRIL 12, 1982, AS PART OF THE REQUIRED 18-MONTH SURVEILLANCE. TO PREVENT REOCCURRENCE: A LETTER HAS BEEN SENT TO ALL DEPARTMENTS TO INFORM THEM THAT A FLOW VERIFICATION MUST BE PERFORMED EACH TIME A MAJOR CHANGE IS MADE ON ANY T.S. REQUIRED VENTILATION SYSTEM; THE APPROPRIATE SURVEILLANCE TEST PROCEDURE HAS ALSO BEEN MODIFIED TO INCLUDE A STATEMENT TO PERFORM A FLOW VERIFICATION WHEN THE PREFILTERS ARE CHANGED.