# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF Docket No. 50-142

CALIFORNIA (Proposed Renewal of Facility License)

NRC STAFF MOTION FOR REVOCATION OF BOARD
ORDER SUSPENDING CONSIDERATION OF STAFF'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF CONTENTION XX

#### I. THE MOTION

NRC Staff moves the Board to order that responses be filed to the Staff's Motion for Summary Disposition of Contention XX, filed April 13, 1981 within twenty (20) days of the Order and for Board decision on the summary disposition motion.

## II. BACKGROUND

By Order of April 30, 1981 the Board ruled that Staff's April 13, 1981 Motion for Summary Disposition of Contention XX was premature, and that Intervenor need not respond. The Board affirmed its ruling after granting Staff's motion for reconsideration of its order. The reason given by the Board for ruling that the Staff's motion was premature was a discussion among the parties concerning scheduling which the Intervenor

<sup>1/</sup> Order Relative to Intervenor's Motion to Strike, April 30, 1981.

Order Relative to NRC Staff's Motion for Reconsideration of Board Order of April 30, 1981; June 9, 1981.

understood to prohibit summary disposition motions until thirty days after close of discovery (contemplated then to be July 30, 1981).  $\frac{3}{}$  Since this order, the original discovery schedule has been suspended,  $\frac{4}{}$  but is now nearing completion, and discovery efforts are not now burdensome.

By Memorandum and Order of April 16, 1982, the Board ruled on certain motions regarding discovery and ordered the Intervenor to file the names and qualifications of its proposed experts in security matters and others for whom Intervenor wished access to UCLA's security materials and a proposed affidavit of nondisclosure and protective order. The Board did not include a requirement to show relevancy of the security plan to the contention concerning security matters.

Subsequently, Intervenor filed a proposed order and affidavit along with a motion to defer identification of proposed counsel, representatives and witnesses, although Intervenor provided the names in a sealed envelope to the Board Chairman.  $\frac{5}{}$  Because the Intervenor wishes to defer procedures to allow access to security information and because discovery is near completion, the Staff believes that it is now appropriate for the Board to consider the Staff's motion for summary

<sup>3/</sup> Order Relative to Intervenor's Motion to Strike, at 2.

Order Relative to Applicant's Motion for a Protective Order, Other Requests and an Adjusted Discovery Schedule, July 1, 1981.

<sup>5/</sup> Intervenor's Motion for Deferral of Identification of Proposed Counsel, Representatives, and Witnesses as to the Physical Security Plan, April 23, 1981.

disposition of Contention XX (concerning safeguards at UCLA) since the major burden of discovery no longer lies on Intervenor and because a ruling on the summary disposition motion could forstall the difficulties explained by Intervenor in its motion in obtaining experts and counsel who would agree to a protective order and nondisclosure obligations. If the Board should grant Staff's pending summary disposition motion, there would be no need to proceed with qualification and protected access procedures.

## III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons explained above, the Staff believes the Board should now consider Staff's motion for summary disposition of Contention XX after allowing responses to the motion within twenty days from the date of the order granting this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Colleen P. Woodhead Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 13th day of May, 1982.

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## BEFURE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF . CALIFORNIA

(UCLA Research Reactor)

Docket No. 50-142

Proposed Renewal of Facility License)

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO INTERVENOR'S MOTION FOR DEFERRAL OF IDENTIFICATION OF PROPOSED COUNSEL, REPRESENTATIVES AND WITNESSES AS TO PHYSICAL SECURITY MATTER", "NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INTENT TO PARTICIPATE BY THE CITY OF SANTA MONICA" and "NRC STAFF MOTION FOR REVOCATION OF BOARD ORDER SUSPENDING CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF CONTENTION XX" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 13th day of May, 1982:

John H. Frye, III, Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke\*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Uscar H. Paris\*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Committee to Bridge the Gap 1637 Butler Avenue, #203 Los Angeles, CA 90025

William H. Cormier, Esq.
Office of Administrative Vice
Chancellor
University of California at
Los Angeles
405 Hilgard Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Mr. John Bay 3755 Divisadero #203 San Francisco, CA 94123

Christine Helwick, Esq. Glenn R. Woods, Esq. Office of General Counsel 2200 University Avenue 590 University Hall Berkeley, CA 94720

Roger Holt, Esq. Office of City Attorney 200 North Main Street City Hall East, Room 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Daniel Hirsch Box 1186 Ben Lomond, CA 95005

Nuclear Law Center c/o Dorothy Thompson 6300 Wilshire #1200 Los Angeles, CA 90048

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel (5)\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Docketing and Service Section ()\*
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Robert M. Meyers City Attorney Sarah J. Shirley Deputy City Attorney 1685 Main Street, Room 310 Santa Monica, CA 90401

Stuart A. Treby

Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel