APRIL _ 0 2 1982

DISTRIBUTION: Docket File NRC PDR L PDR

Docket No. 50-324

ORB#2 Rdg DEisenhut SNorris JVanVliet PO'Connor NRandall Johnson, GIB

OELD AEOD IE ACRS-10 Gray File FClemenson

1.



Mr. J. A. Jones Senior Executive Vice President Carolina Power & Light Company 336 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Dear Mr. Jones:

SUBJECT: IMPLEMENTATION OF UNRESOLVED SAFETY ISSUE A-10, BWR NOZZLE CRACKING

RE: Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2

By letter dated December 17, 1981 you provided additional information concerning your earlier request to perform dye-penetrant inspection of only the accessible portions of the nozzle blend radius on the Brunswick Unit 2 feedwater nozzles. You assert that this request is only for the 1982 refueling outage, and that adherence to the NUREG-0619 Table 2 Inspection Requirements will begin with the refueling outage presently scheduled for late 1983. Performance of the inspection at this later time would permit it to be conducted in conjunction with the scheduled feedwater sparger replacement.

We concur with your assessment that, based on: (1) the successful results of previous liquid penetrant tests; (2) the fact that the accessible area is approximately 80% of the total area; and (3) ALARA considerations, your request is valid on a one-time basis. Therefore, we consider it acceptable to perform dye-penetrant inspection of only the accessible portions of the feedwater nozzle blend radius for Brunswick 2 during the 1982 refueling outage.

We request that you provide us with your sparger replacement/nozzle inspection schedule at least 90 days prior to the start of work. We consider no other correspondence to be necessary on the subject of A-10 implementation until your submittal of the reports requested in NUREG-0619. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact your Project Manager.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

8204120464 820402 PDR ADUCK 05000324 Q PDR			Sincerely, Original signed by			3/31/82 GIB:DST RJohnson		
OFFICE .	ORB#2:DL SNOPPIS	ORB#2:Dbp JVan Vitet	ORB#5:DL	Domenic B.	Vassallo, Chi eactors Branc	Randall	DVassa	
DATE	cc: See	next page	3/30/82	Division of		3/31/82	8/1/8	32
AND DOWNARD AND			OFFICIAL	PECOPDO	OPY			

Mr. J. A. Jones

cc:

Richard E. Jones, Esquire Carolina Power & Light Company 336 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

George F. Trowbridge, Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 1057 Southport, North Carolina 28461

Southport - Brunswick County Library 109 W. Moore Street Southport, North Carolina 28461

Mr. Charles R. Dietz Plant Manager P. O. Box 458 Southport, North Carolina 28461

James P. O'Reilly Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 2, 1982

Docket No. 50-324

Mr. J. A. Jones Senior Executive Vice President Carolina Power & Light Company 336 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Dear Mr. Jones:

SUBJECT: IMPLEMENTATION OF UNRESOLVED SAFETY ISSUE A-10, BWR NOZZLE CRACKING

RE: Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2

By letter dated December 17, 1981 you provided additional information concerning your earlier request to perform dye-penetrant inspection of only the accessible portions of the nozzle blend radius on the Brunswick Unit 2 feedwater nozzles. You assert that this request is only for the 1982 refueling outage, and that adherence to the NOREG-0619 Table 2 Inspection Requirements will begin with the refueling outage presently scheduled for late 1983. Performance of the inspection at this later time would permit it to be conducted in conjunction with the scheduled feedwater sparger replacement.

We concur with your assessment that, based on: (1) the successful results of previous liquid penetrant tests; (2) the fact that the accessible area is approximately 80% of the total area; and (3) ALARA considerations, your request is valid on a one-time basis. Therefore, we consider it acceptable to perform dye-penetrant inspection of only the accessible portions of the feedwater nozzle blend radius for Brunswick 2 during the 1982 refueling outage.

We request that you provide us with your sparger replacement/nozzle inspection schedule at least 90 days prior to the start of work. We consider no other correspondence to be necessary on the subject of A-10 implementation until your submittal of the reports requested in NUREG-0619. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact your Project Manager.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

Domenic B. Vassallo, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Licensing

cc: See next page