AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO.	50-313
UNIT	_ANO-1
DATE	_11/14/80
COMPLETED BY	L. S. Bramlett
TELEPHONE	(501)968-2519

MON	TH _October 1980		
DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
1	723	17	563
2	723	18	720
3	727	19	741
4	722	20	740
5	726	21	740
6	723	22	740
7	326	23	739
8	277	24	737
9	374	25	737
10	683	26	737
11	731	27	735
12	723	28	734
13	731	29	732
14	736	30	734
15	735	31	736
16	485		

INSTRUCTIONS

. .

1.00

.

1

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

(9/77)

DOCKET NO.	_50-313
UNIT	_ANO-1
DATE	_11/14/80
COMPLETED BY	L. S. Bramlett
TELEPHONE	(501)968-2519

DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
i	723	17	563
2	723	18	720
3	727	19	741
4	722	20	740
5	726	21	740
6	723	22	740
7	326	23	739
8	277	24	737
9	374	25	737
10	683	26	737
11	731	27	735
12	723	28	734
13	731	29	732
14	736	30	734
15	735	31	736
16	485		

INSTRUCTIONS

1

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO. <u>50-313</u> DATE <u>11/14/80</u> COMPLETED BY <u>L. S. Bramlett</u> TELEPHONE <u>(501)968</u>-2519

OPERATING STATUS

1. Unit Name: Arkansas Nuclear One-Unit 1	Notes
2. Reporting Period: October 1-31, 1980	
3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2568	
4. Nameplate Rating (Gress MWe):	
5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 850 6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Cross MWe): 883	
6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross Mille).	
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe):OOO	

 If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report. Give Reasons: None

- 9. Power Level To Which Restricted. If Any (Net MWe): ____ None____
- 10. Reasons For Restrictions. If Any: N/A

	This Month	Yrto-Date	Cumulative
1. Hours In Reporting Period	745.0	7,320.0	51,451.0
2. Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical	742.0	4,199,1	34,337.6
3. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	647.6	4.895.0
 4. Hours Generator On-Line	738.9	4,130.7	33,601.2
5. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	20.8	817.5
 6. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	1,734,256.0	9,825,000.0	81,086,044.0
7. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	531,799.0	3,025,419.0	26,746,575.0
8. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	504,847.0	2,876,814.0	25,510,851.0
9. Unit Service Factor	99.2	56.4	65.3
 0. Unit Availability Factor	(99.2)	56.7	66.9
1. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	781.1	47.0	(59.3)
2. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	79.7	46.2	.58.3
3. Unit Forced Outage Rate	(0.8)	31.1	(17.9)
Charles Charles New Marth (T	Data and Duration	(Fach)	

24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):

January 2, 1981 to March 15, 1981, Refueling

5. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup:		
6. Units In Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation):	Forecast	Achieved
INITIAL CRITICALITY		
INITIAL ELECTRICITY	and the second	
COMMERCIAL OPERATION		

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

DOCKET NO UNIT NAMI DATI COMPLETED BY TELEPHONI

ET NO.	50-313 .
NAME	ANO Unit I
DATE	ANO Unit I 11-14-80
TED BY	L. S. Bramlett
PHONE	(501) 968-2519

REPORT MONTH Oclober

		and the second se			and the second se	and the local data and the second	and the second se	the second se	
No.	Date	Type1	Duration (Hours)	Reason 2	Method of Shutting Down Reactor ³	Licensee Event Report #	Sy stem Code ⁴	Component Cade ^S	Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
80-10	801007	F	6.08	G	3	None	ZZ	222222	The "A" main feed pump was accident- ally tripped when a mechanic, who was cleaning up oil around the pump, slipped and hit the trip mechanism.
80-11	301007 .	F	0.0	В	4	None	СН	MECFUN	Power was limited because of maint- enance on the "A" main feed pump gov- ernor control mechanism. The cause was determined to be trash in the control oil system.
80-12	801916		0.0	В	4	None	СН	MECFUN	Power was limited because of maint- enance on the "A" main feed pump gov- ernor control mechanism. The cause wa determined to be trash in the control oil system.
F: For S. Sch		B-Ma C-Rel D-Re L-Op F-Ad G Op	uipment Fa intenance o fueling gulatory Re	f Test striction ing & Li rot (F sp	cense Exam	ination	3-Auto		4 Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG- 0161) 5 Exhibit 1 - Same Source

	Name of facility. Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 1
	Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown. 1/2/81
	Scheduled date for restart following refueling. 3/15/81
	Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment? If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be? If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 CFR Section 50.59)?
	Yes. Reload report and associated proposed Technical Specification
	changes. Also, the safety analysis of four demonstration high
	burn-up assemblies will be provided.
	Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information. Partial submittal 10/31/80. Complete submitta
	Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or
	Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.
	new of different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.
T	The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent
Tff	<pre>Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures. </pre>
If	<pre>Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.</pre> Will reload 68 fresh fuel assemblies and operate for approximately 16 months. Four of which will be high burn-up test assemblies. The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent uel storage pool. a) 177 b) 176 The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested r is planned, in number of fuel assemblies.
T ff T OO P T	The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent incu by the present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size the present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies.

NRC MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT OPERATING SUMMARY - OCTOBER 1980 UNIT I

The unit began the month at 100% power with normal operation. On October 7 the unit tripped on high RCS pressure following a main feed pump trip. The unit was returned to power later that day and power was held to about 45% for maintenance on main feed pump control problems. The unit returned to 93% power on October 11. On October 16 power was reduced to about 50% for more maintenance on "A" main feed pump. The unit was returned to 98% power on October 19 and continued operation there through the end of the month.

ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE - UNIT 1

PERIODIC CORE POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON

The radial power distribution comparison was performed at 259.9 EFPD. The RMS (root mean square) value of the difference between measured and predicted power at the 52 instrumented fuel assembly location was calculated. The RMS value was 0.026 at 259.9 EFPD. The value was within the acceptance criteria of 0.073.