## NOTATION VOTE RELEASED TO THE PDR

1/15/93

## RESPONSE SHEET

TO: SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION

FROM: COMMISSIONER REMICK

SUBJECT: SECY-92-287A - FORM AND CONTENT FOR A DESIGN CERTIFICATION RULE

In part alconnent In part alcomment APPROVED X DISAPPROVED X ABSTAIN

NOT PARTICIPATING \_\_\_\_ REQUEST DISCUSSION \_\_\_

COMMENTS:

Please see attached comments

SIGNATURE

9 June 93

DATE

Dfor

Rel	EAS	SE VOT	E	$\underline{X}$	1	
WIT	тнн	DLD VO	TE /		ī	
ENTERED	ON	"AS"	Yes	1	No	
930B020 PDR CD CORRESP	MMS	NRCC		1	601	01

## Commissioner Remick's comments on SECY-92-287 and SECY-92-287A:

12

SECY-92-287 contains eight staff positions associated with its proposed "form and content for a design certification rule" for which the staff is seeking Commission approval. SECY-92-287A contains four staff positions, which are primarily elaborations of several positions of the earlier SECY-92-287. I address several of the staff positions below from the standpoint of how they should appear in the proposed rule. For those not addressed specifically, I defer expressing any opinion on the merits, until after staff's evaluation of public comments.

I approve seeking public comment on the staff's proposed general approach to the form and content for a design certification rule for a generic evolutionary light water reactor, including a recommendation to conduct a workshop or workshops to obtain detailed public discussions.

I approve issuing for comment the staff proposal to adopt certain Commission approved staff positions as "applicable regulations" via the design certification rulemaking. However, I defer commenting on the merits of the proposal until the pros and cons are better articulated through the public comment process.

I approve consolidating the approved design related information into a single master Design Control Document. I recommend that the staff consider <u>eventually</u> entering and maintaining the Design Control Document in a retrievable computer system.

I approve designating Tier 2 information as "resolved in connection with the issuance of renewal of a design certification" within the meaning of 10 CFR 52.63(a)(4).

I approve that an otherwise expired design certification remains valid for a license referencing that design certification until the license expires.

I disapprove the use of the backfit standard in 10 CFR 50.109(a)(3) for changes to Tier 2 information by the Commission and would retain the use of 10 CFR 52.63 as previously approved by the Commission.

I approve the use of the 10 CFR Part 50.59-like process for Tier 2 information for COL applicants.

I approve the addition of an exemption procedure for Tier 2 information for COL applicants.

I approve deferring the decision on whether selected requirements from the first design certification rule would apply to subsequent design certification rules.