

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

AUG 2 6 1988

Ref: SA/KNS

ALL AGREEMENT STATES

PROPOSED RULE TO REASSERT NRC'S AUTHORITY FOR APPROVING ONSITE LOW-LEVEL WASTE DISPOSAL IN AGREEMENT STATES

NRC is proposing to amend its regulations to reassert NRC's jurisdiction for onsite low-level waste disposal for waste generated onsite at all reactors licensed by NRC in Agreement States. Also, for facilities licensed pursuant to Part 70 for special nuclear material activities, NRC believes it is prudent to clarify and to establish in the regulations that the onsite disposal of waste containing less than "critical mass" quantities of special nuclear material remains an NRC licensing function in order to retain control over the decommissioning process. Enclosed is a copy of the proposed rule as published in the Federal Register on August 22, 1988. The comment period expires October 21, 1988.

If you have any questions, please contact Kathleen Schneider at 301-492-0320.

Donald A. Nussbaumer Assistant Director for

State Agreements Program

State, Local and Indian Tribe Programs

Enclosure: As stated disbursements. Disbursements of these funds are subject to the same REA

approvals as loan funds.

(d) The burrewer shall request advances as needed to meet its obligations promptly. Generally, REA does not approve an advance respension more than 60 days before the obligation.

is payables.

(e) Funds should be disbursed for the item for which they were advenced. If the borrower meds to pay an invoice for which funds have not been advenced and disbursement of funds for another item has been delayed, the letter may be disbursed to pay the invoice up to the amount approved for advance for them item on the FRS. The borrower shall make erasable entries on the pext FRS showing the changes under "Total Advances to Date" and shall explain the changes in writing before REA with process the next FRS.

(f) Advances will be rounded down to the nearest thousands of dollars except

for final amounts.

(g) The certification on each of the three copies of the FRS sent to REA shall be signed by a corporate offices on manager authorized by resolutions of the board of directors to might suck statements. At the time of suck authorization, a certified copy of the resolution and one copy of REA Form. 675, Certificate of Authority, shall be submitted to REA.

(h) The documentation required for the FRS transactions are the deposit slips, the canceled construction fund checks and the supporting invoices or reimbursement schedules. These shall be kept in the barrower's files for

periodic amin by REA.

§ 175-L& Temporary excess constructions funds.

(a) When unanticipated events delay disbursement of advances, the funds other than funds lent by FFB can be returned as a refund of an advance or can be used as follows depending on their source.

(1) With REA funds, the borrower mey invest the funds in 5% Treasury
Certificates of indebtedness—R.R.A.

Series.

(2) With FFB or RTB funds, the

following apply:

(i) The borrower can invest the funds in short term securities is exact by the

United States Tressery.

(ii) If permitted by State law, the borrower may deposit the funds he savings accounts, including certification of deposit, of federally immaced savings institutions.

(3) Funds advanced by a generational at lender other time the PFB may, if so, permitted by such lender, be invested of

under the terms and conditions described above for FFB advances.

(4) Any security or investment made under this authorization shall identify the borrower by its corporate name followed by the words "Trustee, Rural Electrification Administration."

(5) All temporary investments and all income derived from them shall be considered part of the construction fund and be subject to the same controls as each in that account.

(6) Securities and other investments, shall have maturity dates or liquidating provisions that ensure the availability of funds as required for the completion of projects and the payment of obligations.

(7) Any instrument evidencing a security or other investment hereis suthorized to be purchased or made, may not be sold, discounted, or pledged as collateral for a loan or as security for the performance of an obligation or for

any other purpose.

(8) The Administrator may, at his sole discretion, require a borrower to pledge as additional security for loans to the borrower any security or other evidence of investment authorized hereby by forwarding to him all pertinent instruments and related documents than as he may reasonably require.

(9) Borrowers shall be responsible for the safekeeping of redeemable and negotiable securities and other investments.

(b) All interest and income received from investments of temporary excess funds as described in this section shall be deposited in the Construction Fund.

(c) The borrower shall account for investment proceeds on the next FRS submitted to REA. REA will make the necessary adjustments on budgetary records.

(d) The Administrator reserves the right to suspend any borrower's authorization to invest temporary excess funds contained herein.

§ 1754.8 Order and method of advances at telephone least turids.

(a) Generally, advances are made against the obtent note not builty advances. Exceptions include a special note issued in commedium with a particular application of founds such as for an acquisition or refinemating. When a bornower has received more than one loss, any mandware of fracts from price losses nermally are advanced first.

When a bornower has community from the RTB made from prices from the RTB and REA, the RTB fundamer advanced first, unless upositionally are advanced for the RTB fundamer actually as a specifically and second first.

(b) When a berraver has obligetions related to interior construction, the first adverse is generally limited to the

amount required to retire those objections.

(c) Normally, only one payment is made by the Automatic Clearing House (ACH) for an advance of funds.

(d) Borrowers of REA funds may request advances by wire service only for amounts greater than \$500,000. PPB advances in any amount over \$180,000 can be sent by wire service.

(e) The following information shall be

included with the FRS:

(1) Name and address of barrower's

(2) If borrower's benk is not a member of the Federal Reserve System, the same and address of its correspondent bank that is a member of the Federal Reserve System.

(3) American Bankers Association (ABA) nine digit identifier of the receiving banks (routing number and

check digit].

information.

(4) Borrower's bank account title and number. (5) Any other necessary identifying

66 1754.16---1754.99 [Flenerved]

Dated: August 16, 1986.

lack Van Mark

Acting Administrator, Rural Electrification. Administration.

[FR Doc. 88-19018 Filed 8-19-88; 8x45 am]; SELLING COME 2009-16-86

NUCLEAR REGISLATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 150.

Reasserting NRC's Authority for Approving Onsite Low-Level Waste. Disposal in Agreement States.

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commissions:

ACTION Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission is proposing to amend its regulations to research NRC's jurisdiction for onsite low-level waste disposal for waste generated onsite at all reactors licensed by MRC iss Agreement States. For facilities becaused pursuant to Past 78 of this chapter for special mucheur meterial activities, the Commission believes it predent to clarify and to establish in the regulations that the onsite disposal of non-critical waste quantities of special proclear areterial remains an NRC licensing function in order to retain central ever the decommissioning process. The proposed rule is necessary to: (1) Provide a more centrelized and consistent regulatory review of all orisits waste menagement activities and (2)

avoid duplication of pestaletory effect by the NRC and Agreement States. The uniform review pracedures which will accrue from the proposed rule are intended to provide prester securione that onsite redicactive material will not present a bealth hazard at a later date after the site is decommissioned. DATES: Comment period expires October 21, 1988. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration can be given only for comments seceived on or before this date. ADDRESSES: Mail comments to: Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 26555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch. Deliver comments te: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville. Maryland. Copies of comments received may be examined to the NAC Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, DC FOR FURTHER BIPORILAZION GIRLFRICT: John C. Stewart, Division of Regulatery Applications. Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclean

Regulatory Commission, Washington,

DC 28555, telephone (301) 493-3618.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATIONS

Background

The Cammission believes that furisdiction for easite disposed in Agreement States of Low-level meate generated onsite at MRC-licensed neactors should be wested in the Commission. For facilities licensed pursuant to Past 70 of this chapter for special nuclear material activities, the Commision believes it prudent to clarify and to establish in the regulations that the onsite disposal of non-critical waste quantities of ansite special pecker material remains on NKC Semeine function in order to retain control over the decommissioning process. in son-Agreement States there is no furisdictional issue; the NRC beenses and regulates the onsite handling. storage and disposal of low-level radioactive waste. However, in Agreement States, the NRC licenses and regulates only onsite handling and storage of low-level radioactive waste for reactor ficensees. Chaits disposal of fow-level radioactive waste is regulated. by the state regulatory agencies in Agreement States. In Agreement States, the Atomic Energy Cosmission did not reserve jurisdiction under 10 CFR 150.15(a) for acceive have been warn't disposal at NRC ficensed facilities. The Statement of Considerations - accompanying that regulation when it awas promulgated states that "the states will have control over land byrisl of low sevel wastes," and that the Commission decided against "control over land burial of weate" is Agreement States by relinquishing jurisdiction of ansite disposal of low-level waste to the states while setaining AEC jurisdiction of high-level waste disposal [27 Fk 1351; February 14, 1962].

In 1981, in revoking 10 CFR 20,304 (which previously allowed for the disposel of certain small quantities of redionuclides without prior NRC approval), the Commission determined that case-by-case regulation of onsite low-level waste disposal was needed because these materials could potentially cause significant radiation exposures if mishandlad, improperly buried or disturbed after disposal (45 FR 71781: October 30, 1980). Under current law Agreement States have the authority to regulate the disposal of lowlevel waste products onsite. In order for the MRC to retain control over the entire decommissioning process, it is nemesasey to amend to CFR 150.15(a) to return jurisdictions over onethe disposad to the NRC.

Proguosed Rule

The Commission to proposing to amend 10 CFR 150.15 to research MRC jurisdiction over onsite low-level waste-disposal generated easite in Agreement States at MRC-licensed reactors and 10 CFR Part 70 facilities. The two new paragraphs below would be added to 10 CFR 150.15(a):

(8) The chappearl, within the protected and exclusion assess of a nuclear reacter harmond by the Commissen, of participative weater generated at the meacher site.

(9) The disposal, within reskisted areas and contiguous property established for activities carried out under licenses issued pensuant to Part 70 of this Chapter, of special ancient material waste generated at the Monnee's facility.

The terms restricted areas, protected areas, and exclusion areas have the same meanings as defined in § 20.3(a)(14), 73.2(g), and 100.3(a), respectively.

Environmental Impact: Categorica)

Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Part 51, this proposed rule is within the categorical exclusions is \$31.22(c)(1) and therefore neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

Paparorusk Badaction Aut Statement

This proposed rule does not content new or amended inflormation coffeedings requires subject to the Paperweek Reduction Act of 1980 [44 U.S.C. 350] et seq.). Existing requirements were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approved transfer \$150-0832.

Regulatory Analysis

The Commission has prepared a draft regulatory awalysis on this proposed regulation. The analysis examines the costs and banefits of the alternative considered by the Commission. The draft analysis is available for inspection in the NRC Public Decement Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, DC. Single copies of the analysis may be obtained from John C. Stewart, Division of Regulatory Applications, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, [301] 492–3618.

The NAC requests comment on the draft res, datery semlysis. Comments on the draft analysis may be submitted as indicated under the ADDRESSES heading.

Regulatory Plexibility Certification

in accordance with the Regulatory Prexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 1805(b). the Commission kereby certifies that this proposed rule will not, if promulgeted, have a significant economic impac, on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed rule clarifies partachetica for disposal of radioactive waste at nuclear reactors and Part 70 facilities operating under licerses is sued passement to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and Title II of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974. Generally, the operators of nuclear reactors and Part 70 facilities do not fall within the definition of a small business adopted by the NRC (50 FR 50241: December 8, 1985). Accordingly, there is no significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities ander the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980.

Black St. Amalysis

The NRC has determined that the backfit rule, 10 CFR 56.109, does not apply to this proposed rule, and therefore, that a backfit analysis is not required for this proposed rule because these amendments do not involve any provisions which would impose backfits as seemed in 10 CFR 50.199(a)(1).

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 150

Hazardous materials—transportation, Antergovernmental relations, Nuclear materials, Penalty, Reporting and recombinering requirements, Security saccasures, Source material, Special suclear material.

PART 150-EXEMPTIONS AND CONTINUED REGULATORY AUTHORITY IN AGREEMENT STATES AND IN OFFSHORE WATERS UNDER SECTION 274

1. The authority citation for Part 150 continues to read as follows

Authority: Sec. 161, 68 Stat. 948, as amended, sec. 274, 73 Stat. 888 (42 U.S.Cf" 2201, 2021); sec. 201, 86 Stat. 1242, 88" -- 120 amended (42 U.S.C. 5841).

Sections 150.3 150.15, 150.15a, 150.31, 150.32 also issued under secs. 11e(2), 61, 68 Stat. 923, 935, as amended, secs. 83, 84, 92 Stat. 3033. 2039 (42 U.S.C. 2014e(2), 2111, 2113, 2114).

Section 150.14 also issued under sec. 53, 68 Stat. 930, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2073). Section 150.17s also issued under sec. 122, 68 Stat. 939 (42 U.S.C. 2152), Section 150.30 also issued under sec. 234, 83 Stat. 444 (42 U.S.C.

For the purposes of sec. 223, 68 Stat. 956, asamended (42 U.S.C. 2273); §§ 156.20(b)(2)-(4) and 150.21 are issued under sec. 161b, 66 Stat. 948, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2201(b)); \$ 150.14 is issued under sec. 161i, 68 Stat. 948, se amended (42 U.S.C. 2201(i)); and \$\$ 150.18-150.19 and 150.20(b)(1) are issued under sec. 1810. 88 Stat. 950, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2201(0)).

2. Section 150.15 is amended by adding paragraphs (a) (5) and (9) to read. as follows:

§ 150.15 Persons set ecomple. when the street

(a) * * *

- (8) The disposal, within the protected " and exclusion areas of a nuclear reactor licensed by the Commission, of radioactive wastes generated at the reactor site. The terms protected areas and exclusion areas have the same meanings as defined in § 73.2(g) and § 100.3(a), respectively.
- (9) The disposal, within restricted was areas and contiguous property established for activities carried out - ", under licenses issued pursuant to Part 70. of this chapter, of special nuclear meterial waste generated at the licensee's facility. The term restricted areas has the same meaning as defined. in § 20.3(a)(14)

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of August, 198%.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Samuel J. Chilk,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 88-18985 Filed 8-19-88; 8:45 am] -----

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission of horizontal a lower of

18 CFR Parts 35, 38, 292, 293 and 382

Docket Nos. RM88-4-000, RM88-5-000 and RM88-6-000)

Regulations Governing Independent Power Producers and Bidding Programs; Administrative Determination of Full Avoided Costs, Sales of Power to Qualifying Facilities, and interconnection Facilities:

Issued August 10, 1985 AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; order granting requests for extension of time and denying other related requests.

SUMMARY: On March 18, 1988, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commissions (Commission) issued three notices of proposed rulemaking in Docket Nose RM88-4-009, "Regulations Governing Independent Power Producers" (53 FR 9327 (Marcin 22, 1988)), RM88-5-009/** "Regulations Governing Bidding-Programs" (53 FR 9324 (March 22, 1986)). and RM88-6-000 "Administrative" Determination of Pull Avoided Costs Sales of Power To Qualifying Facilities and Interconnection Facilities" (53 ERJ 7 9331 (March 22, 1988)].

In this order, the Commission is .extending the time for filing replycomments in Docket Nos. RM86-4-000. RM88-5-000, and RM88-8-000 (includings reply comments on the Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. issue) to September 14, 1988. Related requests to remove the page limitation established for reply comments in these dockets and to provide opportunity for oral presentations of comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) are denied. The dates for filing responses to the Commission's questions raised at the public hearing and for filing written comments on the DEIS are also extended to September 14 1988.

DATES: The date of this order in August 10, 1968.

Reply comments should be filed on or; before September 14, 1988.

Responses to the Commission's questions raised at the public hearing and written comments on the DEIS should be filed on or before September 1

ADDRESS: All filings should refer to Docket Nos. RM88-4-000, RM88-5-000

and RMss-s-oos, and should be addressed to: Office of the Secretary! - 1 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE. Washington, DC 20426

FOR FURTHER BIFORMATION CONTACT: Gilda Rodriguez, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington DC 20426, (202) 357-

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATIONS In addition to publishing the full text of this document in the Federal Register, the Commission also provides all interested persons an opportunity to inspect or copy the contents of this document during normal business hours in Room 1000 at the Commission's Headquarters. 825 North Capitol Street, NE. Washington, DC 20428.

The Commission Issuance Posting System (CIPS), an electronic bulletin board service, provides access to the texts of formal documents issued by the. Commission. CIPS to available at nocharge to the user and may be accessed using a personal computer with a modem by dailing (202) 357-8997. To access CIPS, set your communications 33 software to use 300, 1200 or 2400 baud. full duplex, no parity, 8 data bits, and 1 stop bit. The full text of this order granting extension of time will be available on CIPS for 10 days from the date of issuance. The complete text on diskette in WordPerfect format may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, La Dorn Systems Corporation, also located in Room 1008, 825 North Capitol Street, NE. Washington, DC 20428.

Order Granting Requests for Extension of Time and Denying Other Related Requests -

Issued August 10, 1986 Before Commissioners: Martha O. Hesse, Chairman; Charles G. Stalon and Charles A.

Trabandt

In response to certain requests for extension of time in these proceedings.1,

Request of Meine Public Utilities Commissions .: for Extension of Deadline for Filing Supplemental Comments, filed July 18, 1988; Request for Extension. of Time to Submit Written Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and for Opportunity to make an Oral Presentation, on behalf of the Operating Companies of the American, Electric Power System, filed July 28, 1986; and Joint Motion of American Public Power Association Edison Electric Institute. National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners and the Consumer Owned Systems for Enlargement of Time and Removal of Page Limitation. Siled August Z. 1966. THE SOLL OF THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE