



Commonwealth Edison
1400 Opus Place
Downers Grove, Illinois 60515

DOCKET NUMBER

ON RULE PRM 50-58
(58 FR 12339)

May 5, 1993

USNRC

29

'93 MAY 13 P12:08

Mr. Samuel J. Chilk
Secretary of the Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
ATTN: Docketing and Service Branch

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Re: Virginia Electric and Power Company; Filing of a
Petition for Rulemaking, 58 Fed. Reg. 12339 (1993)

Dear Mr. Chilk:

These comments are submitted by Commonwealth Edison Company in response to the above-referenced notice and invitation to comment on Virginia Electric and Power Company's petition for rulemaking to amend 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix E, section IV, F.2. We support the petition and believe that the proposed clarification to Appendix E is warranted.

Power reactor licensee effectiveness in emergency planning has improved steadily to the point where annual exercises no longer provide a significant benefit, let alone a benefit commensurate with their cost in dollars and diverted resources. For the nuclear power industry, over the past few years, emergency planning exercise deficiencies largely have been absent or narrowly focused. Observations during periodic exercises have been generally minor, and there is no indication that such observations would interfere with the overall implementation of the emergency plan.

Adequate safeguards exist to assure that no deterioration of emergency planning effectiveness on the part of any licensee occurs during the proposed two-year interval for exercises. As stated, the proposed change requires licensees to take appropriate action to maintain emergency response capabilities during this interval. Periodic drills which maintain emergency plan effectiveness would also continue to be conducted.

We also agree that the estimated cost savings would amount to at least \$200,000 and that hundreds of man-hours of effort could be redirected from exercise scenario development and implementation to substantive improvements of overall plant safety. This redirection of resources could provide a net safety benefit.

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis L. Farrar

Dennis L. Farrar
Nuclear Regulatory Services Manager

9305240146 930505
PDR PRM
50-58 PDR

510