Docket No. 50-368

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File
NRC PDR
L PDR
NSIC
TERA
ORB#3 Rdg
DEisenhut

PM-RMartin RAClark Gray File BMann, ASB

Mr. William Cavanaugh III
Senior Vice President
Energy Supply
Arkansas Power & Light Company

P. O. Box 551 Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Dear Mr. Cavanaugh:

OELD I&E-3 ACRS-10 JHE1temes PMKreutzer-3

Subject: ANO-2 Emergency Feedwater System Evaluation.

Our letter to you dated November 24, 1980 identified open areas in this review and requested additional information. Since then, AP&L Co. has submitted letters dated March 16, May 8, and July 1, 1981. These letters, in addition to the AP&L Co. letter dated September 17, 1981 on TS 4.7.1.2(b), have provided information which supports resolution of a number of the items addressed in our November 24, 1980 letter. However certain matters remain unresolved. Some of these unresolved items have been addressed innconference calls and appear near resolution pending the documentation of information. These items as well as those which continue to be unresolved are discussed in the enclosure. We request that responses to these items be provided within 30 days of your receipt of this letter.

The information requested in this letter affects fewer than ten respondents; therefore OMB Clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely, Original signed by Robert A. Clark

Robert A. Clark, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #3 Division of Licensing

Enclosure

cc: See next page

8202080059 820115 PDR ADDCK 05000368 P PDR

	Ą.	
		-

With the second section of the second						
OFFICE	ORB#3:DL	ORB#3:DL	ASB ODA	ORB#3:DL RAClark		
SURNAME		KEMATEIN/O		RACIATK	***************	 *****************
DATE	1//2/82	1//482	1/14/82	1/.5/82	*********	

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND POSITIONS

A. Short Term Recommendations

3. Recommendation- "The Surveillance Requirements section of the Technical Specifications should add pressure and flow acceptance criteria for the periodic (31-day) testing of the motor driven pumps." ANO-2 Surveillance Requirement 4.7.1.1 presently requires a 31 day test for the turbine driven pump to demonstrate its operability by verification of proper discharge pressure and flow. There is not presently an equivalent requirement for the motor driven pump. The licensee, in its response of March 16, 1981, stated:

"The ANO-2 motor driven EFW pump is an ASME Code Class 3 pump. It is tested, as are all safety-related pumps, in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code as required by 10 CFR 50.55a(g). To address your concern of the frequency of testing, ANO-2 is committed to the 1974 Edition thru the Summer of 1975 Addenda of the Code which requires the testing of the motor driven pump driven every 31 days. AP&L will continue to test the motor driven pump in accordance with Section XI."

The licensee's response is unacceptable. While we agree that performance of a flow test of the motor driven pump every 31 days is an acceptable means for verifying flow capability, our position remains that a Surveillance Requirement be included in the Technical Specifications for testing the motor driven pump every 31 days, similar to the existing Surveillance Requirement for the turbine driven pump.

The licensee is requested to propose an amendment to the ANO-2 Technical Specifications incorporating the following Surveillance requirement.

"Verify that each motor driven pump develops a discharge pressure of greater than or equal to 1200 psig at a flow of greater than or equal to 560 gpm."

C. Long Term Recommendations 1. Recommendation GL-2

The licensee's response in its July 1, 1981 letter needs to be supplemented by the provision of the response time of the system in switching EFW pump suction from the condensate storage and the adequacy of this response time in protecting the pumps from the effects of suction flow termination.

D. New Questions

1. The licensee's response in its May 8, 1981 letter indicated that the problem of recurrence of overspeed trips during startups of the turbine driven EFW pump had been resolved and that a rest report would be forthcoming.

The licensee is requested to provide the subject report.

3. The staff had previously understood that the EFW steam turbine admission valves are locked open with power removed during normal power operations. The licensee is requested to confirm the present status of these valves and to identify the procedures and/or controls governing the status of these valves. cc:

Mr. David C. Trimble
Manager, Licensing
Arkansas Power & Light Company
P. O. Box 551
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Mr. James P. O'Hanlon General Manager Arkansas Nuclear One P. O. Box 608 Russellville, Arkansas 72801

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation Division
Suite 420
7735 Old Georgetown Road
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Nicholas S. Reynolds, Esq. c/o DeBevoise & Liberman 1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Arkansas Polytechnic College Russellville, Arkansas 72801

Mr. Charles B. Brinkman
Manager - Washington Nuclear
Operations
C-E Power Systems
4853 Cordell Avenue, Suite A-1
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Regional Administrator
Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region IV
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, Texas 76011

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region VI Office ATTN: Regional Radiation Representative 1201 Elm Street Dallas, Texas 75270

S. L. Smith, Operations Officer Arkansas Nuclear Planning & Response Program P. O. Box 1749 Russellville, Arkansas 72801