


January 22, 1982

Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Docket No. 50-289

'82 JAN 28 P2:41

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SECURITY
BRANCH



Dear NRC:

As a Pennsylvania resident who lives downwind from Three Mile Island, I strongly protest the decision by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board which recommends that Metropolitan Edison Co. be allowed to restart reactor No. 1 at TMI.

I believe there are many reasons why restart of TMI-1 should not be permitted at this time:

- A method of paying for the clean-up of TMI-2 has not even been agreed to and the clean-up itself has hardly begun. The clean-up is an entirely new process, and who knows what problems may arise. I believe the clean-up of TMI-2 should be substantially complete before another reactor -- TMI-1 -- is allowed to operate on the same site.

- The investigation of cheating on qualification tests by reactor operators at TMI has not been completed. Certainly this should be entirely cleared up before any reactor is allowed to operate at TMI.

- The courts have not had a chance to rule on the issue of the psychological stress which a restart would cause for residents in the immediate vicinity of TMI. They have a right to be heard before Met-Ed and the NRC pre-empt their case.

- An adequate evacuation plan for the ENTIRE hazard area around TMI has yet to be prepared and accepted. This must include at least a 25-mile radius.

I also reject the ASLB contention that Met-Ed and GPU Nuclear are qualified to operate a nuclear reactor. They told us the accident couldn't happen, then covered it up while it was happening. And now we're supposed to believe them when they say it won't happen again?

I urge the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to reject the ASLB recommendation. Please order that TMI-1 remain closed until ALL of the above problems are resolved.

DSO3
S
10

Sincerely,

Maynard J. Gissman
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

CEDAR CREST COLLEGE
Montoursville, Pa. 17754

DOCKET NUMBER

PROD. & UTIL. PAC.

50-289

RECEIVED

January 26, 1982

'82 JAN 28 P2:41

emp

Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

OFFICE
DOCH

Re: Docket No. 50-289



Dear NRC:

As a Pennsylvania resident who lives downwind from Three Mile Island, I strongly protest the decision by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board which recommends that Metropolitan Edison Co. be allowed to restart reactor No. 1 at TMI.

I believe there are many reasons why restart of TMI-1 should not be permitted at this time:

- A method of paying for the clean-up of TMI-2 has not even been agreed to and the clean-up itself has hardly begun. The clean-up is an entirely new process, and who knows what problems may arise. I believe the clean-up of TMI-2 should be substantially complete before another reactor -- TMI-1 -- is allowed to operate on the same site.

- The investigation of cheating on qualification tests by reactor operators at TMI has not been completed. Certainly this should be entirely cleared up before any reactor is allowed to operate at TMI.

- The courts have not had a chance to rule on the issue of the psychological stress which a restart would cause for residents in the immediate vicinity of TMI. They have a right to be heard before Met-Ed and the NRC pre-empt their case.

- An adequate evacuation plan for the ENTIRE hazard area around TMI has yet to be prepared and accepted. This must include at least a 25-mile radius.

I also reject the ASLB contention that Met-Ed and GPU Nuclear are qualified to operate a nuclear reactor. They told us the accident couldn't happen, then covered it up while it was happening. And now we're supposed to believe them when they say it won't happen again?

→ I urge the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to reject the ASLB recommendation. Please order that TMI-1 remain closed until ALL of the above problems are resolved.

D503
S
1/0

TODAY THE PAPERS REVEAL
YET ANOTHER NUCLEAR
DISASTER IN GINNA NUCLEAR
POWER PLANT - ONTARIO NY...
WHERE WILL IT END?

Sincerely,

Linda Cummings
28 S. 14th St.
Allentown, Pa. 18102

January 26, 1982

Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Docket No. 50-289

'82 JAN 28 P2:41

emp

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH



Dear NRC:

As a Pennsylvania resident who lives downwind from Three Mile Island, I strongly protest the decision by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board which recommends that Metropolitan Edison Co. be allowed to restart reactor No. 1 at TMI.

I believe there are many reasons why restart of TMI-1 should not be permitted at this time:

- A method of paying for the clean-up of TMI-2 has not even been agreed to and the clean-up itself has hardly begun. The clean-up is an entirely new process, and who knows what problems may arise. I believe the clean-up of TMI-2 should be substantially complete before another reactor -- TMI-1 -- is allowed to operate on the same site.

- The investigation of cheating on qualification tests by reactor operators at TMI has not been completed. Certainly this should be entirely cleared up before any reactor is allowed to operate at TMI.

- The courts have not had a chance to rule on the issue of the psychological stress which a restart would cause to residents in the immediate vicinity of TMI. They have a right to be heard before Met-Ed and the NRC pre-empt their case.

- An adequate evacuation plan for the ENTIRE hazard area around TMI has yet to be prepared and accepted. This must include at least a 25-mile radius.

I also reject the ASLB contention that Met-Ed and GPU Nuclear are qualified to operate a nuclear reactor. They told us the accident couldn't happen, then covered it up while it was happening. And now we're supposed to believe them when they say it won't happen again?

I urge the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to reject the ASLB recommendation. Please order that TMI-1 remain closed until ALL of the above problems are resolved.

DSO3
S
1/6

Sincerely,

HARE
Jane Hare
121 S. 14th St
Allentown, PA.
18102