MEMO OF CONVERSATION

#6

CONV EGAG-M1 (Rev. 1-77)

t

WILL Z

÷

| PERSON CALLING                                                                                                  | C. A. Dobbe                      | DATE _                                                                               | 8-14-79                                                                                                                      |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| REPRESENTING:                                                                                                   | EG&G (INEL)                      | TIME                                                                                 | 0930                                                                                                                         |
| PERSON CALLED:                                                                                                  | Ed Throm                         | PHONE                                                                                | NUMBER                                                                                                                       |
| REPRESENTING                                                                                                    | NRC-DSS                          | <b>用于把我们的</b> 中心                                                                     |                                                                                                                              |
| CITY.                                                                                                           |                                  |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
| SUBJECT:B 8                                                                                                     | & W Audit Calculations           | S. R. Behling<br>W. W. Bixby, DOE-<br>T. R. Charlton<br>C. B. Davis<br>J. A. Dearien | DISTRIBUTION<br>P. E. Litteneker, DOE-II<br>ID W. Lyon, NRC-RSR<br>C. F. Obenchain<br>E. Throm, NRC-DSS<br>P. H. Vander Hyde |
| I asked                                                                                                         | Ed what level the steam generat  | or secondary side was                                                                | s maintained at during                                                                                                       |
| auxilia                                                                                                         | ry feedwater delivery.           |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
| Ed calle                                                                                                        | ed back with the following infor | mation.                                                                              |                                                                                                                              |
| (a                                                                                                              | ) The old guidlines (pre-TMI) s  | tate that after the                                                                  | reactor coolant pumps                                                                                                        |
|                                                                                                                 | were tripped, the level was m    | aintained at 50% of                                                                  | the steady state (operat-                                                                                                    |
| La contra da contra d | ing) level.                      |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                 | If the RC pumps are still run    | ning, the level is ma                                                                | aintained at 3' above                                                                                                        |
|                                                                                                                 | the bottom of the tube sheet.    |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
| (b                                                                                                              | ) New guidlines (from Bulletins  | and Jrders), state                                                                   | that the level will be                                                                                                       |
|                                                                                                                 | maintained at 95% of initial     | operating level after                                                                | r RC pumps are tripped.                                                                                                      |
| I told E                                                                                                        | d that I would rerun the origina | 1 calculation (.01 f                                                                 | t <sup>2</sup> cold leg break with                                                                                           |
| no auxil                                                                                                        | iary feedwater delay) with the 5 | 0% level to compare                                                                  | with the original B & W                                                                                                      |
| submitta                                                                                                        | 1 and with the new 95% level to  | compare with the for                                                                 | theoming B & W analysis.                                                                                                     |
| Inis will                                                                                                       | i give us a nandle on the effect | of this new guideli                                                                  | ne by comparing calcula-                                                                                                     |
| tional s                                                                                                        | ensitivity to this level differe | nce.                                                                                 |                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                 |                                  |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                 |                                  |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                 |                                  |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                 |                                  |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                 |                                  |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                 |                                  |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                 |                                  |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
| 201190356 8<br>DR FDIA<br>ADDEN80-51                                                                            | B10403                           |                                                                                      |                                                                                                                              |
| SIGNATURE                                                                                                       | Charles Dobt                     | re                                                                                   |                                                                                                                              |
| and the second second                                                                                           | (CONTINUE OF                     | REVERSE SIDE                                                                         | Sector States - Sector - Sector                                                                                              |

P O Box 16.2' Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Allow K. A. Pt. November 30,00515 Marie

Marchan R. E. Tiller, Director Reactor Operations and Programs Division Idaho Operations Office - DOE Idaho Falls, ID 83401

TRANSMITTAL OF "BABCOCK AND WILCOX AUDIT CALCULATIONS - SMALL BREAK", EGAG IDAHO, INC. TECHNICAL REPORT EGG-CAAP-5061, NOVEMBER 1979 -JAD-241-79

Ref: Status Summary Report, WRSR, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, October 15, 1979

Dear Mr. Tiller:

Enclosed is the subject Preliminary Assessment Report. This transmittal represents formal documentation of information hand delivered to the NRC on October 16, 1979. The enclosed PAR completes the Node on line 6, page 3-15, Task A6047, LOCA Analyses Assessment and Application, of the referenced Buff Book.

Very truly yours,

J. A. Dearien, Manager Code Assessment and Applications Program

CAD:tn

Enclosure: As stated

cc: C. Lyon, NRC-RSR R. W. Kiehn, EG&G Idaho w/o enc.



### INTERIM REPORT

Accession No. \_\_\_\_\_ Report No. EGG-CAAP-5061

### Contract Program or Project Title:

Code Assessment and Applications Program

### Subject of this Document:

Babcock and Wilcox Audit Calculations - Small Break

### Type of Document:

Preliminary Assessment Report (PAR)

### Author(s):

C. A. Dobbe

### Date of Document:

November 1979

### Responsible NRC Individual and NRC Office or Division:

W. C. Lyon, NRC-RSR

This document was prepared primarily for preliminary or internal use. It has not received full review and approval. Since there may be substantive changes, this document should not be considered final.

EG&G Idaho, Inc. Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

Prepared for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the U.S. Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office Under contract No. DE-AC07-76ID01570 NRC FIN No.

A6047

Sopra 5

## BABCOCK & WILCOX AUDIT CALCULATIONS -SMALL BREAK

.....

. 0 by

C. A. Dobbe

November 1979

## ABSTRACT

Audit calculations were performed for a Babcock & Wilcox pressurized water reactor at the request of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The analysis involved breaks of  $0.00093 \text{ m}^2$  and  $0.0065 \text{ m}^2$  in a cold leg pipe. The transients were evaluated using an experimental version of the RELAP4 computer code.

i

### SUMMARY

Two cold leg break transients were calculated for a Babcock & Wilcox pressurized water reactor. The calculations were performed with an experimental version of the RELAP4 computer code. Break sizes of 0.00093 m<sup>2</sup> and 0.0065 m<sup>2</sup> were analyzed.

Results of the analysis indicate a potential for core uncovery in the 0.00093 m<sup>2</sup> case due to absence of sustained natural circulation. A combination of reduced secondary side mixture level during auxiliary feedwater delivery and a single degraded HPI pump contributed to primary loop flow stagnation. The 0.0065 m<sup>2</sup> analysis showed initiation of accumulator flow reestablishing adequate core cooling.

C

# CONTENTS

• \* •

C

| ABSTR | ACT | • •  | •   | • •              | • •              | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | ÷  | •  | •  | •   | • | •  | •   | •  | •  | •   | • | • | • | • | ł | • | · | • | i  |
|-------|-----|------|-----|------------------|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|-----|---|----|-----|----|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| SUMMA | RY. |      | •   |                  | • •              |     |     |     |     |     |    | •  |    |     |   | •  | •   |    | •  |     |   | • |   | • | • | • | • |   | ii |
| Ι.    | INT | RODI | лст | ION              |                  | •   |     |     |     |     |    |    |    |     |   | •  |     | •  |    |     |   | • |   |   | • |   | • | • | 1  |
| п.    | СОМ | PUT  | ER  | CODE             | DE               | SC  | RIF | נדי | 101 | ۷.  |    |    |    | •   |   |    |     | •  | •  |     |   | • |   |   | • |   | • | • | 2  |
| III.  | MOD | EL ( | DES | CRIP             | TIC              | N.  |     |     |     |     |    |    |    | •   | • |    |     |    | •  |     |   | • |   |   |   |   |   | • | 3  |
| IV.   | CAL | CUL  | ATI | ONAL             | . SP             | EC  | IFI | CA  | TI  | ION | IS |    |    | •   |   |    |     |    | •  |     |   |   | • |   | • |   |   | • | 5  |
| ۷.    | RES | ULTS | s.  |                  |                  |     |     |     | •   |     |    |    |    |     |   | •  |     |    | •  |     |   | • |   | • |   |   |   |   | 6  |
|       | 1.  | 0.0  | 000 | 93 п             | n <sup>2</sup> B | RE  | AK  |     |     |     |    |    |    | •   |   |    |     |    | •  |     |   |   | • |   |   |   |   | · | 6  |
|       | 2.  | 0.0  | 006 | 5 m <sup>2</sup> | BR               | EAN | κ.  | •   | •   |     |    |    |    |     | • | •  | •   |    | •  | •   |   |   | • | • |   | • | • |   | 11 |
| VI.   | CON | CLUS | 510 | N°.              | • •              | •   | ·   | ł   |     |     | •  |    |    |     | • | •  |     |    | •  | •   |   | • |   | • |   |   |   |   | 17 |
| VII.  | REF | EREM | NCE | s .              |                  | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •  | •  |    |     |   |    | •   |    | •  |     |   |   | • | • | • | • |   |   | 18 |
| APPEN | DIX | A. 1 | JPD | ATES             | US               | ED  | WI  | TH  |     | REL | AP | 4/ | MC | 007 | v | ER | ISI | ON | 10 | 392 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | -1 |

# FIGURES

0

Ò

| 1.  | RELAP4/MOD7 nodalization for B&W analysis      |
|-----|------------------------------------------------|
| 2.  | Primary and secondary system pressure response |
| 3.  | Upper plenum and core mixture levels           |
| 4.  | Hot leg flows                                  |
| 5.  | Break flow                                     |
| 6.  | Primary and secondary system pressure response |
| 7.  | Upper plenum and upper core mixture levels     |
| 8.  | Hot leg flows                                  |
| 9.  | Accumulator flow                               |
| 10. | Cladding surface temperature - 3.4 m elevation |

## I. INTRODUCTION

Two cold-leg small break audit calculations were performed for a Babcock and Wilcox pressurized water reactor as requested by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Break sizes of 0.00093 m<sup>2</sup> and 0.0065 m<sup>2</sup> were analyzed using an experimental version of the RELAP4 computer code.<sup>1</sup> The following report contains a brief description of the computer code (Section II), the input model used and assumptions made (Section III), calculational scenario (Section IV), results (Section V), and conclusions (Section VI).

### II. COMPUTER CODE DESCRIPION

The computer code used for the analyses described in this report is an experimental version of the RELAP4 computer code (internally designated as RELAP4/MOD7, Version G92). Modifications made to the code for these analyses are:

- Addition of a mixture level dependent fill model to allow control of steam generator auxiliary feedwater flow as a function of secondary side mixture level.
- 2. Modification to the heat transfer logic to set local heat slab surface qualities, representing the outside surface of the steam generator tubes below the auxiliary feedwater nozzle, to zero during auxiliary feedwater flow. This approximated the heat transfer coefficient for a falling film of water.
- Deletion of the time step control due to zero flow crossings at a junction. This change improved the problem running time without adversely affecting calculational stability.

Version G92 of RELAP4/MOD7 is stored at INEL under Code Configuration Control Numbers H007184B and H009982B (steam tables). A listing of the updates used for the analyses is supplied in Appendix A.

### III. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The RELAP4 nodalization used for these analyses was that developed for the TMI-2 calculations.<sup>2</sup> A nodalization diagram is shown in Figure 1. Unlike the TMI-2 analyses, however, a single volume pressurizer with associated surge line volume and a three volume core were used. Bubble rise was used in the cold legs between the steam generators and pumps, steam generator secondaries, pressurizer, accumulator, and all vessel volumes except the upper plenum exit annulus. Slip was used in the downcomer, lower plenum, vertical hot leg junctions, between the steam generator inlet annulus and active tubes, and between the pressurizer surge line and hot leg.

The break was assumed to occur in the B loop cold leg and critical flow was calculated with the Henry Fauske - HEM model using a multiplier of 1.0 and transition guality of 0.02.



Fig. 1 RELAP4/MOD7 nodalization for B&W analysis.

) .

\*

C

### IV. CALCULATIONAL SPECIFICATIONS

Boundary and initial conditions were as follows:

- 1. Initial power was 2689.0 Mw
- 2. Decay heat was ANS + 20%
- Reactor scrammed on a 13.1 MPa pressure in hot leg plus a 0.5 s delay
- Turbine trip and primary coolant pumps were tripped concurrent with scram
- Steam generator auxiliary feedwater flow was initiated at 36 s after scram
- 6. HPI began when the hot leg pressure reached 9.41 MPa plus a 35 s delay. 50% of the flow was injected in the broken cold leg with the remainder split evenly to the other loops. Flow was based on a single operating HPI pump, as shown in Table 1
- Auxiliary feedwater flow was controlled to maintain secondary side mixture level at 6.16 m. The flow was maintained at full rated flow (45.51 kg/s) between 0. m and 6.10 m and then ramped to 0.0 kg/s between 6.10 m and 6.16 m
- Steam generator secondary side relief valves were ramped from closed at 7340 kPa to completely open at 7480 kPa

| Pressure (MPa) | Total Flowrate (1/s) |
|----------------|----------------------|
| 0.10           | 32.5                 |
| 4.24           | 28.4                 |
| 7.00           | 25.6                 |
| 10.45          | 21.6                 |
| 13.89          | 17.4                 |
| 16.65          | 13.2                 |
| 18.62          | 0.                   |

TABLE 1. HIGH PRESSURE INJECTION FLOW

### V. RESULTS

# 1. 0.00093 m<sup>2</sup> BREAK

The analysis has been carried out to 6100 s transient time and has required 11 hours of CDC 176 cp time. Figure 2 shows the pressure in the upper plenum (AP4) and the steam generator secondaries (AP20 and AP21). At 59.5 s, scram and turbine trip occurred, and the steam generator secondary pressure reached the relief valve setting of 7410 kPa. Auxiliary feedwater was initiated at 96 s and began reducing secondary side pressure at 400 s. Secondary side depressurization continued until 650 s when the secondary side mixture levels reached 6.16 m. Formation of voids below this mixture level maintained the level above the auxiliary feedwater shutoff setpoint and resulted in a repressurization of both primary and secondary systems. At 1000 s, the mixture level dropped below 6.16 m and auxiliary feedwater flow resumed, which depressurized the primary system to 8300 kPa where it essentially remained for the duration of the calculation. The secondary side pressures remained at approximately the relief valve set point pressure when an essentially "equilibrium" condition was established between auxiliary feedwater flow and relief valve flow.

Figure 3 shows the upper plenum mixture level (ML4) and the upper core volume mixture level (ML45). After the upper head voided at 400 s, the mixture level in the upper plenum dropped rapidly until it reached the hot leg elevation and the loop flows dropped (Figure 4). The mixture level dropped gradually over the remainder of the transient due to steam production in the core, no sustained natural circulation, and the fact that the total HPI flow was less than the break flow. The break flow, shown in Figure 5, was observed to be 23 kg/s, with oscillations due to condensation induced quality fluctuations. HPI flow from one pump at 8300 kPa was only 16 kg/s.



Fig. 2 Primary and secondary system pressure response

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

-



0. .

Fig. 3 Upper plenum and upper core mixture levels

()

1.1

( )



C

0

O

1

ġ



.

A 52

10.00

Fig. 5 Break flow

10

C

The calculation exhibits the potential for core uncovery due to the lack of sustained natural circulation, the time of the beginning of core uncovery estimated to be 18,000 - 20,000 s. Further analysis is required to ascertain if uncovery does indeed occur and what the consequences would be.

# 2. 0.0065 m<sup>2</sup> BREAK

The calculation has been carried out to 1886 s and has required 11 hours of CDC 176 cp time. Figure 6 shows the system pressure response in the upper plenum (AP4) and the steam generator secondaries (AP20 and AP21). After scram and turbine trip at 9.6 s, the secondary side pressure reached the relief valve setpoint very rapidly and remained there until auxiliary feedwater flow began refilling the secondaries. The primary side pressure dropped rapidly until it approaches secondary side pressure at 100s. The system continued depressurizing steadily until 600-700 sec, at which time both steam generator secondaries fill to 6.16 m and auxiliary feedwater flow was terminated. The primary and secondary sides equilibrated at 950 s and remained approximately equal for the remainder of the transient. Primary removal of core decay power was through the break.

The upper plenum and upper core volume mixture levels are shown in Figure 7 (ML7 and ML45, respectively). The upper plenum mixture level was observed to drop rapidly until the level reached the top of the hot legs (Figure 7). The level dropped slowly until the steam generator heat transfer became negligible at which time core boiloff rapidly emptied the upper plenum and began uncovering the upper core volume at 1500 s. The loop flows (Figure 8) were essentially zero from 250 s on. Accumulator injection was initiated at 1730 s (Figure 9) and injected intermittently. The upper core volume began refilling at this time and the fuel rod surface temperature (Figure 10) did not exhibit any significant heatup over saturation temperature at the 3.4 m elevation. C



2 C

I C

Fig. 6 Primary and secondary system pressure response



Fig. 7 Upper plenum and upper core mixture levels

13

.

 $\bigcirc$ 



.

.

0

0

Ċ

C

à

 $\bigcirc$  · ·





Fig. 9 Accumulator flow

15

. . .



0. .

4 ×

()

Fig. 10 Cladding surface temperature - 3.4 m elevation

16

0.

### VI. CONCLUSIONS

1. The 0.00093 m<sup>2</sup> break size exhibits the potential for core uncovery.

The analysis shows that a potential for core uncovery existed for a 0.00093 m<sup>2</sup> break in the cold leg of a Babcock and Wilcox pressurized reactor. It should be noted, however, that the analysis was based on (1) mixture level in the steam generator secondaries maintained at 50% of operating level during auxiliary feedwater delivery and (2) single degraded HPI pump delivery with 50% of the flow injected at the break for the entire transient. Present administrative controls require maintenance of the secondary side level at 95% of initial operating level. This additional heat sink may be sufficient to initiate and sustain natural circulation. Secondly, the use of undegraded HPI with both HPI pumps operating and/or injecting more HPI liquid into the intact loops and less to the broken loop may also initiate and maintain stable cooling.

2. The 0.0065 m<sup>2</sup> break size results in adequate core cooling.

The analysis of the 0.0065 m<sup>2</sup> break shows that a partial core uncovery does occur. However, initiation of accumulator flow refilled the core prior to any significant cladding surface temperature increase over primary system saturation temperature.

## VII. REFERENCES

- G. W. Johnsen et al, <u>RELAP4/MOD7 (Version 2) User's Manual</u>, INEL, CDAP-TR-78-036 (August 1978).
- C. E. Hendrix and S. R. Behling, <u>An Analysis of the Accident at</u> <u>Three Mile Island Using RELAP4/MOD7</u>, attachment to letter "Three Mile Island Transient Analysis Completion," from P. North to R. E. Tiller, PN-143-79, August 30, 1979.

APPENDIX A

0

C

UPDATES USED WITH RELAP4/MOD7 VERSION G92

CICXD: 120000, EC+CU, P3, Pt1. ACCTIOCHEE INELCXDINI#1154582224C443314C003 ATTACH, OL CPL, RELAP4 MODS26SE, ID . CIDLC, MA = 1. UPDATE . RETURN OL CPL . KFL, 10COCC. FTN.I.A.OPT=Z.L=0.8=NEHCEJ.STATIC. RECUCE . RETURN, CCMPILE. ATTACH, OL CODJ, RELAP 4 MCC92GCB, IC=CIELC, MR=1. ATTACH, PRELOADGX, IC=CIGLS, MR=1, CY=29C. ATTACH, TAPE17, GVENLAYG, IC=CIGLS, MK=1, CY=29C. ATTACH, ENVEL, RELAPSENVEL, ID=CICLS, PR=1. ATTACH, TAPE15, STH2XT, IC=CICLS, PR=1. VSN, PRTAPE=A509327A509337A50934. RECUEST . PRTAPE .NT . NOR ING . LABEL , PRTAPE , R , L=DCABE . CGPY, PRTAPE, TAPE 3. UNLGAD, PRTAPE. REWIND, TAPES. FILE, TAPE 3, SEF=NU. FILE, TAPE4, SOF=NO. COPYBR. INPUT. TAPE5. REWIND, TAPES, TAPE17. PRELOAC. RETURN, TAPES, PRELUAD, TAPE17. EDITLIE, T=TAPE19,L=CIBLST. RETURN.LIELST. TAPE 19. OLGCBJ. KFL . CM = 70C00 . EC = 200 . LESET, ERR =NONE , PRESETA =NGINGEF , LIB = NENLIB/ENVAL. SEGLOAD, I=TAPEI8, B=RELAP4. NOGO. RETURN, NEWLIB, TAPE18, OLGOBJ, ENVRL. RFL.CM=21COOC,EC=400. REWINJ,CLTPUT. RELAPS, TAPEZI. EXIT.U. RECUCE . RETURN, RELAP4, TAPEZI, TAPE15. REWIND . TAPE4. RECUEST, PRTAPE, NT. PE.N. RING, VSN=SCRINL. LABEL , PRTAPE , N . L = UCBEE . TAPELBL , PRTAPE . USN= CUBBE, CESC= PAW RESTART TAPE . 10=1NL. CCPY, TAPE4, PRTAPE. UNLCAD . PRTAPE . KENIND . TAPE4. COPY. TAPE4. RELAP. RETURN . TAPE .. REWING . RELAP. FILE, RELAP, SBF = NO. ATTACH, PCHI 18, ID=CIMRB, MR=1. LIBRARY . COMLIN. ZCCM. CATALOG, CGMI, B.PI, TC=CICXD, RP=C50, FR=1, AC=443314000. PIC HNCV----.0 HNCV.77 CALL FAILED (999C) .D HNCV.EE CALL FAILED (9990) .C HNCV.111 CALL FAILED (999C) +D HNCV.119 CALL FAILED (9990) .D HACAG64TD.2 PREPIL'I-PRESISIPR CALL STH2X (LFAILA) ,PRUF, 144)

A-2

.0 HAC VGE410.3 -611 FERMATEIX, "FAILURE IN CALL TE SIM2X2 FRUP HELV AITH PRES ="+E12.61 .D HNCYGEATU.7 PREPIZIEPRESOSIPR CALL STH2X21LFALLAJ.PRUP.ERFJ .IO THCH---KN +1 TAUx . 245 IFIXLFRAC.LT.O. 1 XLFRAC=C. IF (XLFRAC. GT.1.) XLFRAC=1. . . IC SLIPIMICH •1 SLIP. 549 ECTTON = RVAP & RHEL NTOT . GNETPAJLNT(J) WLIG = IRHEL/BOTTOPJ \* (\*TET + VSL \*RVAP \* A JUNTIJ) IFIALIC .GT. O.C .ANC. YSL .CT. C.C .ANC. XTLP .LG. 1.C) RHOL-C.C IFIALIC .LT. O.O .ANC. YSL .LT. O.C .ANC. XTUP .LG. 1.0) FFOL-O.C +1D SVEL---CH .D SVEL . 112 IF(18++2.0 - 4.0+A+C) .LT. C.C) GO TC 35 •1 SVEL.113 IF IVSLIP4 .LT. C.C) VSLIP4 = C.O GO TC 36 35 VSL 1P4 = 0.0 .C SVEL.114 35 IF (MAP .EG. 6) GO TO 30 \*D SVEL.110, SVEL.119 .ID REST ---- SB #D RESTGE7JT.5 IDENT INFIGXXMH INFI.400 .0. IWP = IT1 + NPTS .0. INF1.487 142=IAH+ILEN [NF1.489 .0. Int Ina .0 INF1.500 Inn=1nn+1 1 .0 INF1.526 INA=ITI+NPTS INF1.527 .0 IW2=INW+ILEN .0 INF1.528 THI=INH+NPTS INF1.530 = In=Ina INF1.540 .0 I'nk\*Ink+I 00 INF1-254 50 IF(ITYPE.GT.O .AND. ITYPE.LT. 6) GO TO 60 •0 INF1.397 400-IFIITYPE. GT. 4 7 GO TC 450 IDX=10xSCK \* [ INF1.427 60 TU 600 CC 1000 ITYPE 5 . FLOW AND ENTHELPY ARE FUNCTIONS OF MIXTURE LEVEL DATA IN THIPLETS LEVELID.FLUMIID.HII) ú ICX=IDXSCR 450 ILEN=ILEN+NPTS IF (NPTS-LE-33) GC TU 1410 IL=KADDR+4 CALL FIBSFILFILLID. IL. J.FILIEXI TEPPIDENEXTICIOI CALL RESERVITE "PIC.ILEN.I.ICA) LEN=LCONTG(1) CALL FTESFIIFILLIC.LLN. 3.FILICX)

P

ŵ

0

```
1410 LIF(1)*L3(1)*1
       138(2)=-13111-41
       LJB(J)=ILLN
       IF (LENFIL(NELL). W. C) Lie(3)=0
       L38141=111.
       L3E(61=1
       CALL INPRIDATA, FALICAD, LBC. 141
       STORE FIXTURE LEVEL . F
                                     . FLOA RATE AND ENIMALPY
C
       JACER= JACEA+9
       NU=10x+1LEN-1
       KACDR = KACDR + ILEN +9
       IF (KACOR .GT. LEN) LO TO 7CC
       IF (LENFILINFLL) .NE. C) GD TE 1425
       0C 1420 J=1CX+NL+3
       FAIJADER)=FAIJ)
       FAIJACOR + NPTS )= FA(J+1)
       FALJADOR+2+NPTS1=FALJ+21
       JADER = JADER + 1
1420
       CONTINUE
       IFITEMPIC .GT. 0.01 CALL DELETEITEMPICI
1425
*C INF1.514
625
       1F(11YPE.GT.4) GC TG 1625
1LEN=(NPTS+11/2
+1 TNF1.545
       60 10 645
       ILEN= (NPTS+1)/2
1625
        11 - G
       Cu
            1026 IJK=IJ3.IJE.IJSKP
       11=11+1
        IFI IF.EG.IPUMP(1.IJK).ANC.IN1(1.IJK).LJ. 0) 66 TO 1627
       CCNTINUE
1626
1627
       KKK=KKK+1
       IFLJKT (KKK) = 11
HRITE 16,12006) ITYPE, ITPIP, ARFRAC, ISATFL
12006 FORMAT("0",10x,"FILL TYPE THIP 10 AIR"/ 33x,"FRACTION" //
1 14x, 13, 7x, 13, 4x, EIZ, 5//ICX, "NCN EQUILIBRIUM FILL FLAG = ",13)
       #RITE(6.12003)
       ##ITE16,12C07)
12007 FORMAT(100,2(2x,""",7x,"".L.",10x,"FLCH FATE",7x,"ENTHALPY",10>1/
* 1x,2(10x,"",9x,"[L9/SEC-FT2]",5x,"(PTU/L6)",10x)/)
IF (LENFIL(IFA) .NE. G) GO TC 645
       IT1=IADDR+9
       IT2=IT1+ILEN
        INN=ITI+NPTS
       INC=IAN+ILEN
        1H1=INH+NPIS
        1+2=1H1+1LEN
       In=InH
       J=ILEN+1
       CO 1640 N=1,1LEN
            IN.EC. 21 GO TC 82000
       16 10 830CC
82000 2MCRIT(KKK)=FA(IT1)
       *PMAXF(KKK)=FA(IWA)
BUNITION CONTINUE
       IF (1172 .LT. IN) GO TC 163C
       WRITE(6,120C8) N.FALITII.FALINW),FALIHI)
       60 TU 1035
1630
       NR ITE (6, 12008)N, FA(ITL), FA(INN), FA(1+1), J, FA(1+2), FA(IN2), FA(1+2)
1035
       111-111+1
       112=112+1
       Inh=INH+1
        1 m2=1 m2+
       [H]=[H]+]
       1+2=1+2:1
        := ]+1
```

1.00

\*\*

A-4

1

1040

CUNTINUE

. .

```
1NF1.47>
 . 1
 12003 FERPATILEURSZA,"44 FILL TALLE 44"
 +1
     INF1.507
 12003 FERFAT(1x,2(1),1P)C1t.0.7x))
 •1 INF1.113
 C
         INTEGER
                  17(1.1)
       INTEGER :2(2.1)
ELLIVALENCE(12(1).FA(:))
         CSHE
 *CALL
         IPPP
. OCALL
 +CALL
         1+1×
 +CALL
         VELP
    INF1.436
 +1
        KKK=Q
   INF1.447
 .0.
        WHITELE, 20051 N.FALITLI, FALLWAL
    INF1.499
 • 0
   605 #RITE(6+2005) N.FALITI),FALIMA), J.FALIT2),FALIA2)
 .0 INF1.5C1
   610 #KITEL6,2005) N.FALITII.FALIMA), J.FALIT21.FALIM2),
 +0 INF1-534
        ##11E(6+2005) N.FA(111).FA(1++).FA(1+1)
   INF1.536
 .0.
   630 #RITE(6.2008) N.FALITI).FA(IFA),FA(IF1), J.FA(112),FA(1H2),
 . IDENT FILLGXXMH
 .0
     FILL . d
        FUNCTION FILLIIFILL, J, AJUNT, PRES, HP, AREKAC, SMXLV)
 •0
     FILL .124
                                      ITYPE
        60 10 130,40,50,30,651,
 25
     FILL.131
 .1
        X=SMXLV
 65
      FILL .175 -60
 .0
                             #RITE(6.28CC)
        IF (ITYPE.EU. 5)
 •1
     FILL.193
       FURMAT(1H0,214HM.L., 16X, SHELC. RATE, 11X, EMENIHALPY, 12X)/
14 ,2(5H ,15X, 12HTLE7SEC-FT27, 8X, 8H(ETU/L8), 12X)/1H )
 2800 FURMAT(1HC
1 1F ,2(5H
+ICENT ENTHOXX
         ENTHEXXMH
 .C ENTH. 471
        *P(J)=FILL(IPUMP(1.J),J,LJUNT(J),P(K),HP(J),AKFRAC,2M(K))
        CC 81000 115=1.4
        IF(JJ.EQ. IFLJKT(IIS)) GC TO 100
 BLOOD CONTINUE
        60 10 190
        IFTZM(K).GT.ZMCRITTIIST.AKD. PTJJ.NE.O. ) CO TC 195
 160
        60 10 190
        "P(J)="P(J)+"NETF(IIS)
 195
        *LIMIT *WPMAXFILLSI *AJUNT(J)
                                 AP(J)=+LIMIT
 -
        IF (MPTJJ.GT. WLIPIT
 190
        CONTINUE
 •0
     ENTH-518
        *P(J)=F.LL(IPUMP(1,J),J,AJUNT(J),P(K), DLM4,DUM5,ZM(K))
 AICENT PALOCXXMH
 .1
       BALC.500
        CC 99995 16=1.4
        IJINCX = IFLJKT(IG)
        IF (TJINCX.EC. 0) CC TC 99995
INLETY=IW2(1,IJEP+IJINCX41JSKP)
        ANET=0.
        11=C
CC 99996 IS=TJB,TJE,TJSKP
11=11+1
        IF (In2(1,15) .EG. INLETV. ANC. II. NL. IJINCX) NNET-WNET+ P(15)
         FILMLIL, IST. EC. INLETVI ANET ANET-APILST
 99996 CENTINUE
        IF LANET. OF WAET . G.
        ANET - HALT
        aNLIFILGJ==*.11
```

P

in

ε