



Commonwealth Edison
One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois
Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767
Chicago, Illinois 60690

December 14, 1981

Mr. James G. Keppler, Director
Directorate of Inspection and
Enforcement - Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Subject: LaSalle County Station Unit 1
Response to Inspection Report
No. 50-373/81-36 (CLARIFICATION)
NRC Docket No. 373

Reference (a): C. Reed letter to J. G. Keppler dated
November 3, 1981

Dear Mr. Keppler:

The purpose of this letter is to clarify information provided in Reference (a) relative to the conduct of construction tests at LaSalle County Station. In order to prevent a possible misinterpretation of the information provided in that letter, we are resubmitting the section of Reference (a) which addressed "Corrective Action Taken to Avoid Further Non-Compliance" related to the noncompliance reported in IE Report 50-373/81-36.

The clarification provided in the attachment to this letter has been reviewed with and found acceptable by the LaSalle County Resident Inspector (R. Walker).

If there should be any further questions in this regard, please direct them to this office.

Very truly yours,

L. O. DelGeorge
Director of Nuclear Licensing

cc: NRC Resident Inspector - LSCS

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to
before me this 14th
day of December, 1981

Notary Public

3038N

8201120365 820102
PDR ADOCK 05000373
PDR

DEC 21 1981

Attachment
(Clarification of Response to IE Rpt. 50-373/81-36)

CORRECTIVE ACTION TO AVOID FURTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

It is felt that the timing between the September 25 test and the October 30, 1981 response to the item of noncompliance which resulted in the performance of the proof test with a procedure that was approved by the Startup Coordinator was an isolated case. However, management has placed increased emphasis on insuring commitments are promptly relayed to the responsible test personnel.

All construction tests, as defined in the CEC Co. QA Manual Quality Requirement 11.2, will be conducted using approved procedures in accordance with Quality Procedure (Q.P) 11.1.

Any construction activities on Units 1 and 2 systems which can be construed to be "construction tests", as defined in the paragraph below, will be conducted in accordance with an approved procedure. The approved procedure will be implemented only after review and concurrence by a senior member of the Station Operating Staff, and a shift briefing by the individual in charge of conducting the test.

The construction tests requiring Station Operating Staff review are major evolutions such as Secondary Containment leak testing; and other evolutions wherein equipment is required to be operated outside the limitations of normal operating procedures and/or interlocks or other protective devices are to be defeated.