LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

	CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1 7 8	M D C C N 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 4 5 6 LICENSE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58
0 1 7 8	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 0 3 1 7 7 1 1 0 2 8 1 8 1 2 0 2 8 1 9 SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80
0 2	At 1545, during normal operation, Primary Control Element Assembly
0 3	(CEA) position indication lights and analog system were malfunctioning.
0 4	The computer was shut down rendering the incore detection system in-
0 5	operable (T.S. 3.3.3.2). The computer was returned to service at 2040.
0 6	The excore detection system and secondary CEA position indicators
0 7	remained operable throughout this event. Similar Events: None.
0 8 7 8	9 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMP. VALVE
0 9	CODE CODE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBCO
	LERURO LERURO LEVENT YEAR SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 17 REPORT NUMBER 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 31 32 ACTION FUTURE ACTION ON PLANT METHOD HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT MANUFACTURER X 18 Z 19 Z 20 Z 21 0 0 0 5 N 23 Y 24 N 25 Z 9 9 9 9 26
	30 30 30 40 41 42 43 44 47
	CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The
10	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The
1 0	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA
110	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA limit indications were checked and found satisfactory. No symptoms
1 0	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA limit indications were checked and found satisfactory. No symptoms have recurred since the event date, therefore, no preventive action
112	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA limit indications were checked and found satisfactory. No symptoms have recurred since the event date, therefore, no preventive action is deemed necessary. 9 FACILITY STATUS POWER OTHER STATUS OTHER
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA limit indications were checked and found satisfactory. No symptoms have recurred since the event date, therefore, no preventive action is deemed necessary. SPOWER OTHER STATUS SPOWER OTHER STATUS OPERATOR OF BUSCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NA NA NA NA NA LOCATION OF RELEASE 36
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA limit indications were checked and found satisfactory. No symptoms have recurred since the event date, therefore, no preventive action is deemed necessary. pacinity status E 28 1 0 0 29 NA ACTIVITY CONTENT ELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NA AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA limit indications were checked and found satisfactory. No symptoms have recurred since the event date, therefore, no preventive action is deemed necessary. 3 FACILITY STATUS
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA limit indications were checked and found satisfactory. No symptoms have recurred since the event date, therefore, no preventive action is deemed necessary. pactivity syrower activity conient releaseD of Palelase NA Adjunt of Activity personnet exposures NUMBER Type O 10 0 0 37 Z 38 NA PERSCNNET INJURIES NUMBER O 10 0 0 0 0 NA So Rougher O 12 13 NA So Rougher O 10 0 0 0 0 NA So Description (4) O 11 12 13 NA So Rougher O 10 0 0 0 0 NA So Description (4) O 11 12 13 NA So Rougher O 10 0 0 0 0 NA So Description (4) O 11 12 13 NA So Rougher O 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 NA So Description (4) O 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 NA So Description (4) O 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1 1 2 1 3 1 4 7 8 1 5 7 8 1 6	Troubleshooting identified no hardware nor software failures. The system was reinitialized. Selected computed data outputs and CEA limit indications were checked and found satisfactory. No symptoms have recurred since the event date, therefore, no preventive action is deemed necessary. status spower other status ot

LER NO. 81-81/3L
DOCKET NO. 50-317
LICENSE NO. DPR-53
EVENT DATE 11-02-81
REPORT DATE 12-02-81
ATTACHMENT

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (CONT'D)

At 1545 during normal plant operation it was determined that the Control Element Assembly (CEA) rod limit indication lights were indicating incorrectly. Investigation revealed a computer malfunction. The computer was shut down to reinitialize the computer system and the incore detection system was declared inoperable (T.S. 3.3.3.2). Power was reduced to 755 MW at 1605. Reinitialization of the computer corrected the problem and restored the incore detection system and the CEA pulse counting position indicator channels to full operability at 2040. The excore detection system and the CEA Primary and Secondary position indication channels remained operable throughout the event. Similar events: none.