LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

	CONTROL BLOCK:	(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 - 1	V A S P S 2 3 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 4 5 5 CAT 58
T 100	SOURCE LIE 0 5 0 0 0 2 8 1 DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES	0 1 1 0 8 8 1 8 1 2 0 7 8 1 9 1 2 0 7 8 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0 0	During the performance of the CLS fu	mctional Periodic Test PT 8.5A, MOV-SW-203C,
010	Recirculation Spray heat exchangers	"Service Water inlet valve, failed in an
0 4	intermediate position. This is cont	rary to T.S.3.4.A.2 and is reportable in
0 5	accordance with T.S.6.6.2.b.(2). Th	ne redundant service water inlet valve was
0 6	operable; therefore, the health and	safety of the public were not affected
0 7		
0 8		80
0 9	SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SUBCODE W A 10 X 12 Z 13 SEQUENTIAL	COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE
	17 ASPORT 8 1 -	CODE TYPE NO. 10 3 L O O 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
	ACTION FUTURE CALENT SHUTDOWN METHOD IX 18 X 19 Z 20 Z 21 37 CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27	HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT FORM SUB. PFIME COMP. COMPONENT MANUFACTURER O O O Y 23 N 24 A 25 L 2 O O 26
1 0		and electrically. The valve was subsequently
	tested satisfactorly. The cause of	failure could not be determined.
1 2		
1 3		
14		80
1 5	9 FACTURITY N POWER OTHER STATUS 30 H 28 0 0 0 29 N/A	METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
	9 10 12 13 44	B (3) Periodic Test 8.5A
7 8	CTIVITY CONTENT ELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35	
Name and Address of the Owner, where	AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 Z 33 Z 34 N/A REPSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39 PERSONNEL INJURIES 13	LOCATION OF RELEASE (16)
Name and Address of the Owner, where	ACTIVITY CONTENT ELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 Z 33 Z 34 N/A PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39	16 46 80 LOCATION OF RELEASE (18) 80 N/A 80 N/A 50 N/A
Name and Address of the Owner, where	ACTIVITY CONTENT ELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 Z 33 Z 34 N/A PERSONNEL EXPOSUREE DESCRIPTION 39 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 41 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 41	LOCATION OF RELEASE (16) N/A N/A SC
Name and Address of the Owner, where	AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 Z 33 Z 34 N/A PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 4 O 0 0 0 46 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 42 TYPE DESCRIPTION 4 DESCRIPTION 4 DESCRIPTION 4 DESCRIPTION 4 DESCRIPTION 4	N/A SC

ATTACHMENT 1

SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT 2

DOCKET NO: 50-281

REPORT NO:

81-076/03L-0

EVENT DATE:

11-08-81

TITLE OF THE EVENT: MOV-SW-203C DID NOT OPEN FULLY

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

With the unit in a refueling outage, during the performance of the CLS functional periodic test, PT 8.5A, MOV-SW-203C, service water to recirculation spray heat exchangers, failed in an intermediate position. This is contrary to T.S.3.4.A.2 and is reportable in accordance with T.S.6.6.2.b.(2).

2. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES AND STATUS OF REDUNDANT EQUIPMENT:

The recirculation spray system aids the containment spray system in reducing containment pressure rapidly following a LOCA and, in conjunction with the containment vacuum system, will maintain the containment pressure subatmospheric following a design base accident.

The RS system consist of four 50% capacity subsystems pumping water from the containment sump through heat exchangers to spray headers in the containment. Cooling water is supplied to the tube side of the heat exchangers from the service water system, through two headers. MOV-SW-203C is one of two parallel service water inlet valves to one of the two service water headers. Since the redundant inlet valve was operable, the health and safety of the public were not affected.

3. CAUSE:

Troubleshooting efforts disclosed satisfactory valve performance. Subsequent mechanical and electrical inspections and testing has revealed no definite cause of failure.

IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

A maintenance report was initiated to determine and correct the cause of failure.

5. SUBSECUENT CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The valve was removed from the system for cleaning and inspection. An inspection of the mechanical components of the valve was conducted. The valve motor was inspected, including limit and torque switches. The valve was subsequently reassembled and tested without any failures.

ACTION TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE: 6.

None deemed necessary.

7. GENERIC IMPLICATIONS:

None.