



Commonwealth Edison

Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station
22710 20th Avenue North
Cordova, Illinois 61242-9740
Telephone 309/654-2241

RLB-93-068

April 28, 1993

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

Reference: Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station
Docket Number 50-265, DPR-30, Unit Two

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 93-008, Revision 00, for Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station.

This report is submitted in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, part 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B). Any event or condition that resulted in the condition of the nuclear power plant, including its principal safety barriers being seriously degraded, or that resulted in the nuclear plant being in a condition that was outside the design basis of the plant.

Respectfully,

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY
QUAD CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION

R. L. Bax
Station Manager

RLB/TB/plm

Enclosure

cc: J. Schrage
T. Taylor
INPO Records Center
NRC Region III

050734

STMGR\06893.RLB

9305060217 930428
PDR ADOCK 05000265
S PDR

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Form Rev 2.0

Facility Name (1) Quad-Cities Unit Two
 Docket Number (2) 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5
 Page (3) 1 | of | 0 | 4
 Title (4) Recirc Pipe 2-0209B" Has Through Wall Crack Due To Probable Weld Defect

Event Date (5) 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 3
 LER Number (6) 0 | 0 | 8
 Report Date (7) 0 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 3
 Other Facilities Involved (8)
 Facility Names
 Docket Number(s) 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

OPERATING MODE (9) 1
 POWER LEVEL (10) 0 | 0 | 0
 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10CFR (Check one or more of the following) (11)
 20.402(b) 20.405(c) 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.71(b)
 20.405(a)(1)(i) 50.36(c)(1) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 73.71(c)
 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 50.36(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2)(vii) Other (Specify
 20.405(a)(1)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) in Abstract
 20.405(a)(1)(iv) X 50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) below and in
 20.405(a)(1)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(x) Text)

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)
 Name Thomas Kuksuk, ISI Coordinator
 TELEPHONE NUMBER
 AREA CODE 3 | 0 | 9
 6 | 5 | 4 | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC-TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC-TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
X	A D	P S X 1	D 2 4 0	Y							

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)
 Expected Submission Date (15) _____
 [Yes (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)] X | NO

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e. approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

ABSTRACT:

On March 6, 1993, Quad Cities Unit Two was shut down to begin the twelfth refueling and maintenance outage. On March 31, 1993, with the reactor mode switch in SHUTDOWN, a visual inspection revealed a Recirculation weld area (ISI Weld 02-F2B) with water seeping from a small crack. The exact cause of the crack indication has not been determined but it is being postulated to come from either a weld defect, a crevice assisted Intergranular Stress Corrosion Crack (IGSCC) or from high cycle vibration fatigue. Corrective actions for this situation will be to apply a weld overlay, to allow continued operation for one fuel cycle. If an inspection technique cannot be developed to reliably examine the weld overlay, the area will be repaired next outage. This report is being submitted to comply with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii), which requires the reporting of any event or condition that resulted in the condition of a nuclear power plant, including its principal safety barriers, being seriously degraded.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Form Rev 2.0

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)						Page (3)		
		Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number						
Quad Cities Unit Two	0 5 0 0 0 2 6 5	9 3	- 0 0 8	- 0 0				0 3	OF	0 4

TEXT Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX]

C. APPARENT CAUSE OF EVENT:

This report is being submitted to comply with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii), which requires the reporting of any event or condition that resulted in the condition of a nuclear power plant, including its principal safety barriers, being seriously degraded. The exact cause of the crack indication has not been determined but it is being postulated, due to the crack orientation, to have come from one of following causes. The first, which is the most probable, is a weld defect. The crack would have been initiated at the weld defect and had propagated by service induced stresses. The second cause, due to configuration of the socket, is a crevice assisted Intergranular Stress Corrosion Crack (IGSCC). Even though weld O2-F2B is not a full penetration weld, a heat affected zone (HAZ) still exists adjacent to the legs of the fillet weld. Through wall propagation of an IGSCC crack along the HAZ is still possible within a weldment of this type. The third cause could have been from high cycle vibration fatigue. But, as indicated by the UT inspection, there were no flaws detected in the planes perpendicular to the pipe axis. Therefore, CECO has determined that high cycle fatigue was not a probable contributor to the initiation and propagation of the crack.

D. SAFETY ANALYSIS OF EVENT:

The probable consequences of this event were minimal. Crack indications in this type of material, type 304 stainless steel, have been demonstrated to propagate at a very slow rate. Therefore, a 100 percent through-wall crack would be easily detected using existing Primary Containment leakage monitoring system and temperature monitoring before complete failure would occur (leak before break). Safe operation of the Reactor was not jeopardized as a result of this occurrence.

E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

The weld will be repaired with a "full structural" design weld overlay. This repair has been designed using the requirements of NUREG-0313 (rev. 2) and the ASME Boiler and Pressure Code Section XI as guidance. This is not an approved code repair and approval has been granted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for operation for one fuel cycle. At the next refueling outage, the repaired weld will be removed and an acceptable code repair performed, unless a reliable method for examining the weld overlay can be developed. During the application of the overlay, each layer will be liquid penetrant examined to verify that the overlay is free of cracks. After the overlay is finished, a hydrostatic test at 1110 psig will be conducted prior to the unit startup (NTS#2652009302501).

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Form Rev 2.0

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				Page (3)	
		Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number			
Quad Cities Unit Two	0 5 0 0 0 2 6 5	9 3	- 0 0 8	- 0 0	0 4	OF 0 4	

TEXT Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX]

F. PREVIOUS EVENTS:

There have been two previous events at Quad Cities, since 1988 that are similar to this. Incidents similar to this involving weld crack indications on stainless steel piping systems are documented in the following Event Reports:

Unit Two:

- DVR 04-02-88-022/ RWCU pipe 2-1202-6" has through wall crack
- LER 04-02-88-008 Indications due to Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking
- DVR 04-02-88-32 U-2 Recirc system crack indications due to Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking

A search was done on The Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System (NPRDS) and several incidents of IGSCC and fatigue cracking of welds were reported.

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA:

It was determined by the (NPRDS) coordinator that this event was not reportable. Even though the flaw was through wall, no catastrophic failure occurred and the valve still performed its intended function. Relevant component data is discussed in the Description the Event Section (of this report).