

J. D. Woodard Vice President Farley Project

Southern Nuclear Operating Company

the southern electric system

April 29, 1993

Docket Nos. 50-348

50-364

009604

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

> Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant 1992 Annual Environmental Operating Report (Nonradiological)

Gentlemen:

Enclosed in accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Environmental Protection Plan (Units 1 & 2), Appendix B to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, is the report summarizing implementation of the Environmental Protection Plan during the calendar year 1992.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

Woodard

JDW/TCM:sls ENV-93-159

Enclosure: 1992 Annual Environmental Operating Report (Konradiological)

cc: (See next page.)

040118

IE25

cc: <u>Southern Nuclear Operating Company</u> R. D. Hill, Plant Manager

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. T. A. Reed, Licensing Project Manager, NRR

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II S. D. Ebneter, Regional Administrator G. F. Maxwell, Senior Resident Inspector

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT - UNITS 1 AND 2

NRC DOCKET NOS. 50-348 AND 50-364

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-2 AND NPF-8

ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 1992 (NONRADIOLOGICAL)

JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT - UNITS 1 AND 2 ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 1992 (NONRADIOLOGICAL)

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Environmental Protection Plan (Units 1 and 2), Appendix B to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, this report is submitted summarizing implementation of the Environmental Protection Plan for the calendar year 1992.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following is submitted in accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Environmental Protection Plan.

- A. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN (EPP) NONCOMPLIANCES AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

 There were no EPP noncompliances during 1992.
- B. CHANGES IN STATION DESIGN OR OPERATION, TESTS, AND EXPERIMENTS MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPP SUBSECTION 3.1 WHICH INVOLVED A POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNREVIEWED ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE

There were no changes in station design or operation, tests, or experiments which involved a potentially significant unreviewed environmental issue.

C. NONROUTINE REPORTS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPP SUBSECTION 5.4.2 There were no nonroutine reports submitted during 1992.