

RELEASED TO THE PDR

NOTATION VOTE

4/13/93

Date

Initials

RESPONSE SHEET

TO: SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION

FROM: COMMISSIONER CURTISS

SUBJECT: SECY-93-044 - RESOLUTION OF GENERIC SAFETY  
ISSUE B-56, "DIESEL GENERATOR RELIABILITY"

APPROVED <sup>X</sup> w/comment DISAPPROVED \_\_\_\_\_ ABSTAIN \_\_\_\_\_

NOT PARTICIPATING \_\_\_\_\_ REQUEST DISCUSSION \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

See attached comment.

9304190190 930308  
PDR COMMS NRCC  
CORRESPONDENCE PDR

RELEASE VOTE ☒

WITHHOLD VOTE ☐

ENTERED ON "AS" YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO ☒

*Sam R. Curtis*  
SIGNATURE

March 8, 1993

DATE

*DF02*

Commissioner Curtiss' comments on SECY-93-044:

I commend the staff for the thoughtful analysis of the regulatory options for the resolution of Generic Safety Issue B-56, "Diesel Generator Reliability", in the subject SECY paper. I believe the staff's recommendation to adopt Option 4 is a sound approach that gives due consideration to: (1) the industry's current level of performance; (2) the industry's resolve to implement the diesel generator reliability guidance developed under NUMARC Initiative 5A; and (3) the NRC's promulgation of 10 CFR 50.65, the maintenance rule, subsequent to the issuance of the proposed revision to 10 CFR 50.63. Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, I support Option 4. If, however, industry is unable to accomodate this approach through the integration of the diesel generator reliability guidance developed under NUMARC Initiative 5A with the NUMARC Maintenance Guideline for maintenance rule implementation, I would direct the staff to pursue Option 1.