

**From:** [Emily Hall](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Thursday, January 30, 2020 3:56:50 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Emily Hall  
Birmingham, AL 35209

**From:** [Marcie Rosen](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Monday, January 27, 2020 12:53:33 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Marcie Rosen  
Bellaire, TX 77401

**From:** [Quinten Cook](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 11:26:43 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Quinten Cook  
Midland, TX 79707

**From:** [Christopher Payne'](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 12:53:26 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Payne'  
Austin, TX 78751

**From:** [D'Arcy Goodrich](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 08, 2020 1:34:23 AM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
D'Arcy Goodrich  
Omaha, NE 68164

**From:** [Richard Reese](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Saturday, January 25, 2020 7:35:42 AM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Richard Reese  
Midland, TX 79701

**From:** [Jole Lheureux](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Thursday, January 23, 2020 3:57:52 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jole Lheureux  
Macomb, MI 48042

**From:** [Annalee Pineda](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 22, 2020 6:18:21 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Annalee Pineda  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [Brittany Chinigo](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Sunday, January 19, 2020 10:10:50 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Brittany Chinigo  
Norwich, CT 06360

**From:** [Juanita Dawson-Rhodes](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 14, 2020 8:55:32 AM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Juanita Dawson-Rhodes  
South Salem, NY 10590

**From:** [Anja Osmon](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Thursday, January 09, 2020 1:30:00 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Anja Osmon  
Denison, TX 75021

**From:** [U-Ter Jia](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Monday, January 06, 2020 2:58:19 AM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
U-Ter Jia  
San Antonio, TX 78250

**From:** [Michael Tamarack](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Sunday, January 05, 2020 5:07:08 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Michael Tamarack  
Tucson, AZ 85705

**From:** [Hannah Foley](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Sunday, January 05, 2020 3:21:07 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Hannah Foley  
Odessa, TX 79762

**From:** [Kori Wooten](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Sunday, January 05, 2020 11:09:51 AM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Kori Wooten  
Midland, TX 79707

**From:** [Jill Snelson](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments.Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Sunday, January 05, 2020 10:57:13 AM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jill Snelson  
Midland, TX 79703

**From:** [Jeanne Mackay](#)  
**To:** [RulemakingComments Resource](#)  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] Docket ID No. NRC-2017-0081 - don't allow unsafe disposal of radioactive waste  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 01, 2020 9:22:10 PM

---

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission rulemaking,

I strongly oppose the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) proposal to allow near-surface disposal of Greater-than-Class-C (GTCC) waste.

An existing Environmental Impact Statement for GTCC waste determined that it is "not generally acceptable for near surface disposal." This proposed rulemaking would upend established principles regarding the safe handling and disposal of radioactive waste. GTCC waste includes waste produced in nuclear reactors, transuranic wastes (which take much longer to decay and can be dangerous for 1,000 years) and other types of nuclear waste. For this reason, only deep geologic disposal will ensure that this waste is not a threat to humanity. The NRC's proposal would reclassify that waste and allow it to be disposed of via shallow burial.

I am also concerned that this proposal is paving the way for GTCC waste to be dumped at the Waste Control Specialists site in Andrews County, Texas. A study by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality showed that this site is not ideal for disposal of radioactive waste because of risk of water contamination. This rule change seems designed to allow inadequate disposal of this waste through shallow burial.

Furthermore, the risk of transport of GTCC waste across the country has not been adequately accounted for. Numerous communities would be put at risk as this dangerous waste passes through them.

I urge you not to proceed with this rulemaking and to develop a safe solution for the handling and disposal of Greater-than-Class C waste.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jeanne Mackay  
Marysville, MI 48040