NOV 1 8 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR:

David L. Meyer, Chief

Regulatory Publications Branch

Division of Freedom of Information and

Publications Services Office of Administration

FROM:

Paul Lohaus, Acting Branch Chief Regulation Development Branch Division of Regulatory Applications Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

SUBJECT:

IMPLEMENTATION OF COMMISSION ACTION: PROPOSED REVISION OF

10 CFR PARTS 31 AND 32

By the SRM dated September 25, 1992, the Secretary of the Commission indicated that the Commission (with all Commissioners agreeing) has approved publication of the proposed amendments to 10 CFR Parts 31 and 32 concerning the accessible air gap for generally-licensed devices.

Please implement the Commission's action by arranging for publication of the enclosed proposed rule in the <u>Federal Register</u> allowing 120 days for public comment.

Enclosed is a marked-up copy of the Federal Register Notice showing Commission-requested changes for transmittal to the Office of the Secretary. You should particularly note, on page 14 of the FRN, under the heading of Invitation to Comment, that we have added a request for comment regarding the proposed Agreement State Division II Level of Compatibility, what impacts it may incur in the distribution of gauges, and whether this involves matters of interstate commerce. I suggest you bring this request, which we believe is responsive to the SRM, to the Secretary's attention. If considered sensitive enough, the Secretary may wish to bring this matter to the attention of the Commission.

Also enclosed is a Congressional letter package for transmittal to OCA and two copies of the public announcement for transmittal to OPA.

In addition, enclosed are copies of the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact and the draft regulatory analysis for transmittal to the PDR.

PLohaus

Paul Lohaus, Acting Branch Chief Regulation Development Branch Division of Regulatory Applications Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

### Enclosures:

- Federal Register Notice (2 copies)
   Marked-up Federal Register Notice
- 3. Congressional Letters
- 4. Public Announcement (2 copies)
- Environmental Assessment
   Draft Regulatory Analysis

Concurrence:[hopkins.mey]

Offc: RDB:DRA RDB:DRA Name: DHopkins RAuluck

Date: 11/17/92 11/17/92

\*see previous concurrence

## Distribution:

- B. Morris w/encl
- F. Costanzi
- P. Lohaus/RDB/r/f
- R. Auluck
- S. Baggett w/encl
- D. Hopkins w/encl

RDB/Rdg/Subj

In addition, enclosed are copies of the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact and the draft regulatory analysis for transmittal to the PDR.

> Paul Lohaus, Acting Branch Chief Regulation Development Branch Division of Regulatory Applications Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

### Enclosures:

- 1. Federal Register Notice (2 copies) 2. Marked-up Federal Register Notice
- 3. Congressional Letters
- 4. Public Announcement (2 copies)
- 5. Environmental Assessment 6. Draft Regulatory Analysis

Offc: RDB:DRA RABB:DRA
Name: DHopkins RAuluck RDB: DRA PLohaus Date: 11/17/92 11/17/92 11/ /92

#### Distribution:

- B. Morris w/encl
- F. Costanzi
- P. Lohaus/RDB/r/f
- R. Auluck
- S. Baggett w/encl
- D. Hopkins w/encl

RDB/Rdg/Subj



# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON D.C. 20555

August 13, 1990

ACTION - Beckjord, RES/ Bernero, NMSS

> Cys: Taylor Sniezek Thompson Blaha Jordan, AEOD Scroggins, OC SBaggett, NMSS

> > SMoore, NMSS

MEMORANDUM FOR:

James M. Taylor

Executive Director for Operations

FROM:

Samuel J. Chilk, Secretar

SUBJECT:

SECY-90-175 - STAFF REQUIREMENTS - OCTOBER 3,

1989, FOLLOWING A BRIEFING ON STUDY OF ADEQUACY OF REGULATORY OVERSIGHT OF MATERIALS

UNDER A GENERAL LICENSE

This is to advise you that the Commission (with all Commissioners agreeing) has concurred in the staff's recommendations. The staff should proceed with the rulemaking to modify the general license in 10 CFR 31.5 and to establish a registration and response system for general licensees through the proposed rulemaking. The periodic verification letters provided for in the rule should be accompanied by a copy of the regulations from time to time. These actions should promote better tracking, improved communications, and enhanced licensee understanding of the requirements and compliance with them. Staff should prepare and submit a proposed rule for Commission review.

-(EDO)- (RES)

(SECY Suspense:

9/1/901

The staff should also proceed with a rulemaking to modify 10 CFR 32.51 to restrict the maximum air gap between the device and the product for generally licensed devices. A proposed rule should be prepared and submitted for Commission review.

-(EĐO)- (RES)

(SECY Suspense: 3/29/91)

9000192

As a separate but related matter, staff should proceed with Jintentions to establish through rulemaking separate exemptions of for certain devices. Staff should ensure that proposed exemptions of certain devices that are currently used under general and specific licenses are analyzed and exempted in accordance with the Below Regulatory Concern policy. The staff should integrate its proposal to consider exempting these devices into the BRC implementation program.

-(EDQ) (NMSS)

(SECY Suspense: 9/14/90)

9000193

SECY NOTE: THIS SRM, THE SUBJECT SECY PAPER, AND THE VOTE SHEETS OF COMMISSIONERS ROGERS, CURTISS, AND REMICK WILL BE MADE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE IN 10 WORKING DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS SRM.

The staff should conduct reviews and analyses, as described below, and report findings to the Commission.

- devices are underreported, it is likely that some kinds of accidents and misuses might also be underreported. The staff's recommendation for periodic verification letters itself indicates a concern that some general licensees might not know what problems they are required to report, or even that they are required to report. The staff should present the information obtained through these periodic surveys to the Commission, with an evaluation of the need for further regulatory action. This evaluation should consider the need to require a specific license for additional types of devices or applications, to provide additional guidance to general licensees, for changes in the verification letters, and for other changes to Part 31, such as a requirement for additional training.
- 2. The April 1987 report by Oak Ridge Associated Universities entitled "Improper Transfer/Disposal Scenarios for Generally Licensed Devices" suggests a potential for significant doses from several types of devices. Although the staff has informally determined that this document is based on unrealistic assumptions that produce dose estimates that are too conservative, the staff currently has no documented analysis supporting its conclusions.

The staff should explain why the doses estimated in the Oak Ridge report are unlikely to be experienced in practice or otherwise insufficient as a basis for rulemaking. To support its conclusions, the staff should obtain a peer review of the Oak Ridge report and analyze the potential doses associated with radioactive materials under a general license.

Staff should use its analysis as a major part of the basis for making future improvements in regulatory oversight of general licenses and for making decisions on whether to recommend specific licensing for other generally-licensed devices. The staff's analysis could also provide a basis for gathering additional information on categories of general licensees where survey responses are sparse. This analysis should be independent of the proposed rule on the registration and response system, however, so that the rulemaking will not be delayed.

The staff should assess the design dose criteria established for generally licensed devices in 10 CFR Part 32 to ensure that members of the public are adequately protected. In the recent Commission deliberations on final revisions to 10 CFR Part 20, Commissioner Curtiss raised a concern about adoption of 10% of the occupational limit (i.e. 500 mrem/yr) as the design criterion for generally licensed devices in 10 CFR 32.51(a)(2)(ii) and 32.51(c). Rather than delay promulgation of the final revisions to 10 CFR Part 20 and the conforming changes, this issue should be resolved as part of an integrated program to improve regulatory oversight of generally licensed material and devices. Staff should carefully consider what the design criteria should be, given that the people receiving the exposures are members of the general public rather than radiation workers, and should provide recommendations for the Commission's consideration on whether revision of the design criteria should be initiated.

The staff should submit a plan with milestones for the accomplishment of these reviews and analyses.

-(EĐO)- (NMSS)

(SECY Suspense: 2/1/91)

cc: Chairman Carr Commissioner Rogers Commissioner Curtiss Commissioner Remick OGC GPA