

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DEC 14 1992

Jerrold M. Stock, M.D.
101 Madison Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07960

Dear Dr. Stock:

We have received a copy of your letter to Senator Lautenberg dated October 22, 1992, concerning license and annual fees assessed for License 29-17252-01. I note in that letter you state that because of the increase in license fees you decided to drop the license.

Please note that NRC has no record of a request to terminate License No. 29-17252-01. Under current regulations if you have a valid license on October 1, 1992, you will be subject to the FY 1993 annual fee. Therefore, even though the license will expire on November 30, 1992, you will still be assessed an annual fee for FY 1993 because your license was active as of October 1, 1992.

Sincerely,

H. Lee Hiller

H. Lee Hiller, Director
Division of Accounting and Finance
Office of the Controller

Enclosure: As stated

Felix E. Schletter, M.D.
Jerrold M. Stock, M.D.
Keith S. Usiskin, M.D.
Endocrinology-Internal Medicine
101 Madison Avenue
Morristown, N.J. 07960
201-267-9099
FAX 201-605-5960

December 20, 1992

Senator Frank Lautenberg
One Gateway Center Suite 1001
Newark, NJ 07102-5311

Dear Senator Lautenberg,


I appreciate your latest correspondence regarding my comments about the fee schedule at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Enclosed is a copy of a letter I received from H. Lee Hiller of the NRC which I believe continues to demonstrate the bureaucratic hassle to which we are subjected. I have sent several letters to the NRC and retrieved copies of both from our computer tapes. The first letter, dated October 4, is apparently a few days after the time that the license must be cancelled and I am sure that these few days could not make a real difference in determining the need for additional fees. In fact, one of the frustrations I had in sending the letter of October 4, is that the license fee for our revenues should have been \$1,800.00 and still the NRC failed to acknowledge my letter about the fee structure when they continued to bill me at the higher rate and even charged administrative costs. I sent a subsequent letter on November 10 to the NRC which apparently has escaped Mr. Hiller's attention as well as the October 4 letter.

I greatly appreciate your help in our extricating ourselves from the NRC and in the refunding of the \$1,950.00 fee which we paid in August which we feel should be returned to us considering that we have not continued the license. Enclosed are copies of correspondence and information which will help explain this letter.

Again, thank you for your interest in this matter.

Sincerely yours,



Jerrold M. Stock, M.D.

JMS/lk