

MAY 24 1990

DCD

03616655

Advanced Medical Systems, Inc.
ATTN: Ms. Sherry Stein, Director
of Regulatory Affairs
1020 London Road
Cleveland, OH 44110

License No.: 34-19089-01

Dear Ms. Stein:

In response to your February 16 and March 1, 1990 letters, enclosed is a copy of portions of the transcript from the Commission Briefing held on February 15, 1990, pertaining to Advanced Medical Systems, Inc. I am not aware of any handouts that were provided to the public at the briefing.

Sincerely,

George M. McCann (for)

Bruce S. Mallett, Ph.D., Chief
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/ltrs dtd 02/16/90
& 03/01/90, w/enclosure:
S. S. Stein, AMS
DCD/DCB (RIDS)

bcc w/ltrs dtd 02/16/90
& 03/01/90, w/enclosure:
J. Lieberman, OE
J. Goldberg, OGC
S. Lewis, OGC
R. Bernero, NMSS
J. Glenn, NMSS
C. E. Norelius, RIII
A. B. Davis, RIII

IE-7
11

RIII *des*
for BSM
Mallett/jl
5/24/90

RIII
AB
Berson
5/24

9006040336 900524
REG3 LIC30
34-19089-01 FDC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: PERIODIC BRIEFING ON OPERATING REACTORS AND
FUEL FACILITIES

Location: ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

Date: FEBRUARY 15, 1990

Pages: 77 PAGES

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

9003020296 8 pp

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PERIODIC BRIEFING ON OPERATING REACTORS
AND FUEL FACILITIES

PUBLIC MEETING

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
One White Flint North
Rockville, Maryland

Thursday, February 15, 1990

The Commission met in open session, pursuant to notice, at 9:00 a.m., Kenneth M. Carr, Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

KENNETH M. CARR, Chairman of the Commission
THOMAS M. ROBERTS, Commissioner
KENNETH C. ROGERS, Commissioner
JAMES R. CURTISS, Commissioner
FORREST J. REMICK, Commissioner

(-P)

STAFF SEATED AT THE COMMISSION TABLE:

SAMUEL J. CHILK, Secretary

WILLIAM C. PARLER, General Counsel

JAMES TAYLOR, Executive Director for Operations

ROBERT BERNERO, Director of Operations, NMSS

WILLIAM RUSSELL, Regional Administrator, Region I

STEWART EBNETER, Regional Administrator, Region II

A. BERT DAVIS, Regional Administrator, Region III

ROBERT MARTIN, Regional Administrator, Region IV

JOHN MARTIN, Regional Administrator, Region V

JAMES SNIEZEK, Deputy Director, NRR

DENNIS CRUTCHFIELD, Associate Director for Special Projects

1 ones. The present status of Surry warrants the
2 continuation of increased NRC inspection. We have
3 three resident inspectors on site and the additional
4 headquarters and the regional attention to their weak
5 areas.

6 That's all I have on Surry, if you have some
7 questions.

8 CHAIRMAN CARR: All right. Let's proceed.

9 MR. EBNETER: That's all I have.

10 Bert?

11 MR. DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, I
12 have no reactors to discuss today. I do have three
13 materials facilities, the WESF capsules primarily
14 oriented toward the Radiation Sterilizers Company, the
15 Advanced Medical Systems facility, and 3M.

16 First, the WESF capsules. Concerns
17 regarding these WESF capsules arose when a capsule
18 leaked at the Radiation Sterilizers' facility in
19 Decatur, Georgia. At the May 1989 senior management
20 meeting, we concluded that RSI should stay on the list
21 of facilities requiring increased NRC attention until
22 the capsules at Decatur and Westerville, Ohio had been
23 transferred to DOE in Richland, Washington. Shipments
24 were delayed in 1989 due to defective casks. The
25 defects have now been corrected and shipping casks are

1 available.

2 DOE currently estimates that capsules will
3 be removed from Decatur by August of this year and
4 from Westerville by October of this year. There are
5 some 180 capsules involved.

6 The destructive testing of the failed
7 capsule, the one that failed at Decatur, has been
8 delayed as a result of DOE concerns with the facility
9 in which it was to be tested at Oak Ridge. A decision
10 has been made by DOE to conduct this testing at
11 Pacific Northwest Laboratories. Testing is expected
12 to begin in about three months and preliminary results
13 are expected in the summer of 1990.

14 There are also WESF capsules in use at two
15 other facilities. One of these is in Virginia and the
16 other in Colorado. A Commission paper discussing the
17 use of these capsules will be sent to you shortly from
18 NMSS.

19 Regarding the Radiation Sterilizers
20 facility, we plan to continue to provide increased
21 headquarters and region attention until all capsules
22 have been returned to DOE.

23 That concludes what I intended to say about
24 that. Any questions?

25 Advanced Medical Systems. As you will

NEAL R. GROSS
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

1 recall, Advanced Medical Systems concerns related to
2 the contamination of the facility, the need to make
3 some facility modifications, and the need to determine
4 if proposed staff changes were adequate for future
5 operation. There has been some progress made since
6 the May 1989 senior management meeting. The licensee
7 has a contamination control program. We will continue
8 to inspect this program as they increase the
9 fabrication of sources. The program looks all right
10 to us, but we have to continue to monitor it as it is
11 implemented.

12 The hot cell contamination levels have been
13 reduced. We have done an evaluation of the level in
14 the hot cell now and have determined that further work
15 to decontaminate it more is not justifiable.

16 A temporary hot cell ventilation system has
17 been installed at the facility. The license was
18 renewed in December of 1989. As part of this renewal,
19 a schedule for designing and installing the permanent
20 hot cell ventilation system has been tied down as a
21 license condition.

22 The new radiation safety officer appears
23 capable and technically competent according to my
24 inspectors. He still needs, however, to gain
25 knowledge and apply attention to the commitments and

NEAL R. GROSS
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 234-4433

1 requirements in the tie down condition of its
2 licenses.

3 The fire protection modifications still need
4 to be resolved between NRC and the licensee and we are
5 working on that now.

6 We performed an inspection in late January
7 and some concerns were identified and we plan to work
8 with the licensee. In fact, we've already started to
9 do that by telephone, but we will be meeting with the
10 licensee to pursue those findings.

11 Based on the progress which has been made,
12 we've concluded that the current level of enhanced
13 regional attention is appropriate for this licensee.

14 Any questions on Advanced Medical Systems?

15 COMMISSIONER REMICK: Where are they
16 shipping waste? Do they have any problem shipping the
17 waste from the decontamination?

18 MR. DAVIS: One of our findings is that they
19 haven't shipped enough waste yet and we have a
20 commitment from them by telephone the other day that
21 they would ship some remaining waste by the end of
22 this year. They did, however, ship some during the
23 decontamination to one of the burial sites. I think
24 one of the problems with some of the waste that they
25 still have is that the radiation levels in the drums

1 are higher than they can ship. So, they would have to
2 repackage or something.

3 COMMISSIONER REMICK: Thank you.

4 CHAIRMAN CARR: All right. Region IV?

5 MR. DAVIS: I have 3M yet, sir.

6 CHAIRMAN CARR: Oh, excuse me.

7 MR. DAVIS: As you will recall, the concerns
8 with 3M were related to their distribution of static
9 eliminators which released polonium 210 microspheres
10 at various general licensees' and some specific
11 licensees' facilities. At the May '89 senior
12 management meeting, we concluded that 3M should stay
13 on the list of facilities requiring enhanced
14 headquarters and region attention until the devices
15 were retrieved and until proper management oversight
16 was applied to the activities at 3M.

17 The license has been modified, distribution
18 of the devices continues to be suspended and 3M is now
19 permitted to manufacture these devices but to only use
20 them for R&D purposes at their own facilities.

21 We performed an inspection of all 3M source
22 productions in November of 1989. In that inspection,
23 we found that their performance was acceptable, their
24 quality control had improved, it is aggressive and
25 competently done. Their performance could further be