

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

April 19, 1990

Uocket No. 50-328

Mr. Oliver D. Kingsley, Jr. Senior Vice President, Nuclear Power Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Dear Mr. Kingsley:

SUBJECT: FIRST 10-YEAR INTERVAL INSERVICE INSPECTION PROGRAM (TAC 59458) -SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 2

In the letter dated November 9, 1988, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) submitted Revision 13 of the first 10-Year Interval Inservice Inspection (ISI) Program for Sequoyah, Unit 2. The program was submitted for review and evaluacion of its compliance with the 1977 Edition with addenda through Summer 1989 of Section XI of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (Code) and the NRC-imposed augmented and accelerated field weld inspections. TVA responded to questions from the NRC staff on this program in its letter dated May 5, 1989. The NRC-imposed augmented and accelerated field weld inspection program is discussed in Section 3.5 of NUREG-1232, Volume 2 and its Supplement, which were issued in the staff's letters dated May 18, 1988 and February 3, 1989, respectively.

The staff, with technical assistance from the Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), has reviewed and evaluated the ISI Program for Unit 2 and the requests for relief from the requirements of the Code for Unit 2. Based on the information submitted, the staff agrees with and adopts the contractor's conclusions and recommendations presented in the attachment to the enclosed Safety Evaluation Report (SER). A list of all the applicable TVA submittals is given in the contractor's report.

For the Unit 2 ISI Program, TVA submitted 14 requests for relief from the requirements of the Code: ISI-1 to ISI-14. As discussed in the enclosed SER, we have determined that these requests are acceptable except for the following four requests: ISI-2, ISI-7, ISI-11, and ISI-12. Of these four requests, one was withdrawn (ISI-11), one is not needed (ISI-12), and two are postponed (ISI-2 and ISI-7).

Granting relief from Code requirements is authorized by law where (1) the proposed alternative would provide an acceptable level of quality and safety (pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i)) and (2) the Code requirement is impractical and the alternative requirement will not endanger life or property, or the common defense and security, and is in the public interest (pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i)). For two requests, ISI-9 and ISI-14, we have determined that the proposed alternatives to the Code requirements will provide an acceptable level of quality and safety at Unit 2. For the remaining eight requests,

9004300356 900419 PDR ADOCK 05000328 0

Branch

Mr. Oliver D. Kingsley, Jr.

ISI-1, ISI-3 to ISI-6, ISI-8, ISI-10, and ISI-13, we have determined that the Code requirements are impractical to perform at Unit 2 and the alternative requirements will not endanger life or property, or the common defense and security, and are in the public interest considering the burden that could result on TVA if the code requirements were imposed on Unit 2.

Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i) and 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i) of the Commission's regulations, TVA is granted the following requested relief from the Code, as documente: in the enclosed SER: requests for relief ISI-1, ISI-3 to ISI-6, ISI-8 to ISI-10, ISI-13, and ISI-14. Where the relief request status is "Granted with augmented requirements," the augmented requirements are as recommended in the SER. The granting of these relief requests is contingent upon all other requirements of Section XI being met for inservice tests and system pressure tests of the components affected by these relief requests.

Any significant program changes such as additional requests for relief should be submitted for staff review and should not be implemented prior to approval by the staff.

A summary of the requirements and the bases for granting the relief requests are contained in the enclosed SER. We concluded that the Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, Inservice Inspection Program, Revision 13, with the additional information in the May 5, 1989 letter and the reliefs granted constitute part of the basis for TVA meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a and the Unit 2 Technical Specifications at Sequoyah, Unit 2. With the reliefs granted, we also conclude that Unit 2 is in compliance with the Code of record for Unit 2, cited above in the first paragraph.

Sincerely.

Original signed by

Suzanne Black, Assistant Director for Projects TVA Projects Division Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

	Enclosure: Safety Evaluati With SAIC Tec Evaluation Re	hnical				
	cc w/enclosure: See next page Distribution Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR SQN Reading ADSP Reading DCrutchfield	TQuay BDLiaw SBlack RPierson BWilson LWatson	JBrady LA JDonohew DSmith DTerao OGC	OGC BGrimes EJordan ACRS(10) GPA/CA		
OFC :NR	UNTH : 96CAP	S :TVA:/	AD/P :	1	 1	
NAME :	ononew: as R Bal	hman SB1a				
DATE 3	2890 :4131 RECORD COPY		/90 :	i	 	

## Mr. Oliver D. Kingsley, Jr.

## cc: Mr. Marvin Runyon, Chairman Tennessee Valley Authority ET 12A 7A 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. C. H. Dean, Jr., Director Tennessee Valley Authority ET 12A 11A 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, (ennessee 37902

Mr. John B. Waters Firector Tennessee Valley Authority ET 12A 9A 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. W. F. Willis Chief Operating Officer ET 12B 16B 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

General Counsel Tennessee Valley Authority 400 West Summit Hill Drive ET 11B 33H Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. Dwight Nunn Vice President, Nuclear Engineering Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Dr. Mark O. Medford Vice President and Nuclear Technical Director Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Mr. Edward G. Wallace Manager, Nuclear Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Tennessee Valley Authority 5N 157B Lookout Place Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801 Mr. Joseph Bynum, Acting Site Director Sequoyan Nuclear Plant Tennessee Valley Authority P. O. Box 2000 Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

Mr. Mark J. Burzyn Si Site Licensing Manager Sequoyah Nuclear Plant P. O. Box 2000 Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

County Judge Hamilton County Courthouse Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Kenneth M. Jenison Senior Resident Inspector Sequoyah Nuclear Plant U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2600 Igou Ferry Road Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

Mr. Michael H. Mobley, Director Division of Radiological Health T.E.R.R.A. Building, 6th Floor 150 9th Avenue North Nashville, Tennessee 37219-5404

Dr. Henry Myers, Science Advisor Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Tennessee Valley Authority Rockville Office 11921 Rockville Pike Suite 402 Rockville, Maryland 20852

- 2 -