reid upslad

your reference

Rotork Controls Inc. 19 Jet View Drive Rochester New York 14624 telephone (716) 328-1550 teletax (716) 328-5848 telex 6854116

rotork actuation

A Rotork contro! and instrumentation company

our reference

date

April 18,1990

Vendor Inspection Branch
Division of Reactor Inspection and Safeguards
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attn: E. William Brach, Chief

Dear Mr. Brach.

In response to your mudit report number 99900839/89-01, listing five nonconformanties and one unresolved item, I would like to address each one as they appear on the report.

B-1 (89-01-01)

A training seminar was conducted on April 2nd. 1990. At this seminar the relationship of our Q.C. Program, your audit report and 10 CFR 50, appendix B was discussed. The need to adhere to our documented program was also addressed along with a review of the routing system for nonstandard product request forms.

Completion Date: Done

B-2 (89-01-02)

Refer to attached cover letter.

B-3 (89-01-03)

The following statement will be added to all nuclear purchase orders:

"If lower tier procurement is required than applicable Quality Assurance requirements must be invoked on lower tier subcontactors and vendors".

Completion Date: Done

9004270220 900418 PDR 0A999 EMVROTOR 99900839 PDC

rotork actuation

Continuation

B-3 (89-D1-D3) (continued)

In addition, a subparagraph will be added to section 7, appendix A of the Q.C. Manual addressing lower tier procurement.

Completion Date: Aug. 1990

B-4 (89-01-04)

This has been addressed in the response to (89-01-01).

B-5 (89-01-05)

A procedure will be written to cover all return items. This procedure will be listed as a Quality Control procedure and will be controlled as such.

Completion Date: April 1990

Unresolved Item (89-01-06)

Please refer to attached 10 CFR, Part 21 review form which has been updated to include the customer when Rotork cannot determine if the deviation is reportable.

Completion Date: Done

Please contact me if any further actions are needed.

Yours Truly,

Rotork Controls, Inc.

Doug Matla Q.A. Manager

Word Matla

DM/wh

doc: dm49Dcfr

rotork actuation

Revision 1

ATTACHMENT A

10CFR PART 21 REVIEW

| DEFECT: | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| REPORTED BY: | TITLE: |
| ENGINEERING REVIEW: | |
| • 10CFR PART 21 REPORTABLE: | YES NO ENGINEERING MANAGER |
| QA REVIEW: | |
| * 10CFR PART 21 REPORTABLE: | YES NO QA MANAGER: DATE: |
| IF REPORTABLE, NRC WASHINGTO NOTIFIED ON | ON/REGION I (KING OF PRUSSIA) WAS |
| CORRECTIVE ACTION INITIATED: 1. NOTIFIED AFFECT C 2. ANALYSIS IS BEING | USTOMERS |
| TO PROVIDE SOLUTION TO THE A | FFECTED CUSTOMERS. |

• In cases where Rotork does not have all the information needed for the review and the 1DCFR21 committee cannot determine that the deviation may be reportable, then the customer must be consulted.