



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 30 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-68

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, ET AL.

DOCKET NO. 50-424

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT, UNIT 1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated July 31, 1989, Georgia Power Company, et al., (the licensee) requested a change to the operating license for Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP), Unit 1. The proposed change would add two phrases to the Unit 1 Antitrust License Conditions which were incorrectly omitted.

2.0 EVALUATION

Paragraph (1)(a) of Appendix C, "Antitrust Conditions," to the operating license defines the term "Entity" as used therein. The proposed changes would revise paragraph (1)(a) of Appendix C to Facility Operating License NPF-68 as follows: insert "owning, operating or proposing to own or operate equipment" in line 3 before the phrase "or facilities within the State..."; and insert "or rate schedule on file with and subject to the regulation" in line 10 before the phrase "of the Public...".

These phrases were contained in the Construction Permit Antitrust Conditions for both Vogtle Units 1 and 2. They are also contained in the Vogtle Unit 2 Facility Operating License No. NPF-81. The NRC staff finds that the proposed changes make the Vogtle Unit 1 Facility Operating License Antitrust Conditions consistent with Vogtle Unit 2 and in accord with the Construction Permit Antitrust Conditions. Therefore, the requested amendment is acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will have no significant effect on the quality of the human environment (55 FR 13340).

9004190243 900411
FDR ADCK 05000424
P FDC

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register on November 1, 1989 (54 FR 46150), and consulted with the State of Georgia. No public comments were received, and the State of Georgia did not have any comments.

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Jon B. Hopkins, PD11-3/DRP-1/II

Dated: April 11, 1990