DUKE POWER COMPANYRC REGION AT ANTA GEORGIA

POWER BUILDING

422 SOUTH CHURCH STREET, CHARLOTTE, N. C. 28242

31 NOV 13 A 8 . 2'

WILLIAM O. PARKER, JR. VICE PRESIDENT LAM PRODUCTION

November 11, 1981

TELEPHONE: AREA 704 373-4083

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re: RII:WPK 50-413/81-20 50-414/81-20

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Please find attached a response to Infraction No. 413-414/81-20-01 as identified in the above referenced Inspection Report. Duke Power Company does not consider any information contained in this inspection report to be proprietary.

I declare under penalty of perjury, that the statements set forth herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Very truly yours,

Cheen - W.1a William O. Parker, Jr.

RWO/php Attachment

cc: NRC Resident Inspector Catawba Nuclear Station

## Duke Power Company Catawba Nuclear Station

Responce to Violation 414/81-20-01:

## Violation:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion IX as implemented by Duke Power Company (DPC) Topical Report "DI *E*-1-A" Section 17.1.9 requires measures be established to assure that special processes, including welding are controlled.

Contrary to the above, on September 23, 1981, adequate measures were not established to assure that special processes including welding were controlled in that the welding filler material issue station issued type E-10018 electrodes in lieu of type E-7018 electrodes to three welders and documented that type E-7018 electrodes had been issued. The type E-10018 electrodes went undetected by the issue station personnel and the three welders.

## Response:

Duke admits the violation. An issue station clerk placed the E10018 electrodes in an oven designated to hold E7018. Another clerk then issued the E10018 under the assumption they were E7018 electrodes. The material was issued to three welders, and E7018 was listed on the accompanying issue slips. Neither the clerk nor the welders double-checked to assure the issue slip information and material corresponded. All five individuals have received disciplinary action.

This incident was documented on NCIR 12,893, not NCIR 12,892 as stated in the inspector's report. This NCIR has been resolved and action to revise Construction Procedure 39 stated. This construction procedure was revised to give specific instructions in the proper loading of electrode ovens. A log form has been included in the revision to allow the loader to document his actions and assure that another issue station clerk reviews his work for correctness.

As the NCIR has been resolved and the construction procedure revised, full compliance with 10 CFR 50 Appendix B has now been met.