

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | W I K N P 1 | 2 | 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 | 3 | 4 1 1 1 1 | 4 | _____ | 5

8 9 | LICENSEE CODE 14 15 | LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 | LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58 80

0 1 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 5 | 0 0 | 0 3 | 0 5 | 7 | 0 5 1 0 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 1 1 6 | 8 | 1 | 9

8 | 60 61 | DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 | EVENT DATE 74 75 | REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | Surveillance testing during refueling shutdown indicated that one steam flow transmitter

0 3 | was out of calibration resulting in Hi Steam Flow and Hi-Hi Steam Flow trip settings

0 4 | less conservative than T.S. requirements. TS 3.5.a. The other channels of steam flow

0 5 | instrumentation were operable within T.S. limits and would have initiated steam line

0 6 | isolation as required. There was no effect on plant operation or public safety.

0 7 | Occurrences of instrument drift have been previously reported.

0 9 | SYSTEM CODE | CAUSE CODE | CAUSE SUBCODE | COMPONENT CODE | COMP. SUBCODE | VALVE SUBCODE

I B 11 | X 12 | Z 13 | I N S T R U 14 | T 15 | Z 16

9 10 | 11 12 | 13 18 | 19 20

17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | EVENT YEAR | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | OCCURRENCE CODE | REPORT TYPE | REVISION NO.

8 1 | 8 1 | 0 1 5 | 0 3 | X | 2

21 22 | 23 24 26 27 | 28 29 | 30 31 | 32

ACTION TAKEN | FUTURE ACTION | EFFECT ON PLANT | SHUTDOWN METHOD | HOURS | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | NPRD-4 FORM SUB. | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER

E 18 | X 19 | Z 20 | Z 21 | 0 0 0 0 | Y 23 | N 24 | N 25 | B 0 8 0 | 26

33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | _____

1 1 | _____

1 2 | See Attachment

1 3 | _____

1 4 | _____

5 | FACILITY STATUS | % POWER | OTHER STATUS | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION

H 28 | 0 0 0 | NA | B 31 | Surveillance Testing

8 9 | 10 12 | 13 44 | 45 46 | 80

6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT | RELEASED OF RELEASE | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | LOCATION OF RELEASE

Z 33 | Z 34 | NA | NA | NA

8 9 | 10 11 | 44 45 | 80

7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES | NUMBER | TYPE | DESCRIPTION

0 0 0 | 37 | Z | NA

8 9 | 11 12 | 13 | 80

8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION

0 0 0 | 40 | NA

8 9 | 11 12 | 80

9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY | TYPE | DESCRIPTION

Z 42 | NA

8 9 | 10 | 80

0 | PUBLICATION ISSUED | DESCRIPTION | PDR ADOCK 05000305 S | PDR

N 44 | NA | 8112040426 811125 | 80

NAME OF PREPARER: D. J. Molzahn

PHONE: (414) 388-2560

Instrumentation drift of the Barton Steam Flow Transmitter caused it to be in an out of calibration condition. The transmitter was recalibrated and returned to service.

A records review of the safety related Barton transmitters was conducted to identify calibration drift characteristics. Where operational restrictions allow additional conservatism, the setpoints had previously been changed. The drift cannot be accurately predicted. These transmitters are presently within the scope of the equipment qualification program (IEB 79-01B) and will be changed out as part of the long term upgrade of transmitters. Because of redundancy of instrumentation for ESF activation no further action is required at this time.