

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 MDC CN 1 2 00 - 000000 - 000 3 4 1 1 1 1 4 5
7 8 9 LICENSE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58

01 REPORT SOURCE L 6 0 5 0 0 0 3 1 7 7 1 0 0 5 8 1 8 1 1 1 0 8 1 9
7 8 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 During normal operation at 0125, received a Group One Control Ele-
03 ment (CEA) Primary Deviation Alarm. During attempts to regain pro-
04 per indication, CEA #57 was inserted >15" further than all other
05 Group 1 CEA's. In accordance with T.S. 2.1.3.1, power was decreased
06 to 70%. CEA #57 was withdrawn and leveled with its Group at 0230.
07 All other CEA's remained fully withdrawn during the event. Similar
08 events: none.

09 SYSTEM CODE RB 11 CAUSE CODE E 12 CAUSE SUBCODE E 13 COMPONENT CODE I N S T R U 14 COMP. SUBCODE C 15 VALVE SUBCODE Z 16
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

17 LER RO REPORT NUMBER 8 1 21 22 EVENT YEAR 23 24 SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 0 7 1 25 26 OCCURRENCE CODE 0 1 27 28 REPORT TYPE X 29 30 REVISION NO. 1 31 32

ACTION TAKEN C 18 X 19 EFFECT ON PLANT Z 20 SHUTDOWN METHOD Z 21 HOURS 0 0 0 0 22 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED Y 23 NPD-4 FORM SUB. N 24 PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER N 25 COMPONENT MANUFACTURER E 1 4 6 26
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 Electronic failure of CEA #57 Individual Control Module such that an in-
11 sertion signal was generated continuously caused the event. The Control
12 Element Drive System Panel was maintained deenergized to prohibit
13 CEA movement until the module was replaced with a spare. Pending the
14 supplier's repair and analysis, no preventive action is planned.

15 FACILITY STATUS E 28 % POWER 1 0 0 29 OTHER STATUS NA 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY A 31 DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION Operator Observation 32
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

16 ACTIVITY RELEASED OF RELEASE Z 33 Z 34 AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY NA 35 LOCATION OF RELEASE NA 36
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

17 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER 0 0 0 37 TYPE Z 38 DESCRIPTION NA 39
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

18 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER 0 0 0 40 DESCRIPTION NA 41
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

19 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE Z 42 DESCRIPTION NA 43
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

20 PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION N 44 NA 45
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

8111250508 811110
PDR ADOCK 05000317
S PDR

NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER G. Pavis/P. G. Rizzo

PHONE: 301-4742/4786

LER NO. 81-71/IX
DOCKET NO. 50-317
LICENSE NO. DPR-53
EVENT DATE 10-05-81
REPORT DATE 11-10-81
ATTACHMENT _____

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (CONTD)

Electronic failure of Control Element (CEA) #57 Individual Control Module (ICM) (Electro-Mechanics #23210) such that a continuous CEA insertion signal was generated caused the event. Initially, with the Control Element Drive System (CEDS) Control Panel power switch in the "off" position malfunction of the ICM caused no CEA movement.

The plant computer, however, responded to the false insertion signal and all Primary CEA Position Indication alarms for Group One and CEA deviations and Power Dependent Insertion Limits were actuated in proper sequence. Upon receipt of the Primary indication alarms, operators verified that no Secondary (originated by Reed Switch Position Transmitter) CEA Position Indication System alarms had occurred, and concluded that the plant computer CEA Position circuitry was at fault. Attempting to regain normal indications, the CEDS Control Panel was energized in Manual Group control mode. CEA #57 immediately began to move in, as indicated by the Secondary Position Indication System. This system initiated a CEA Motion Inhibit signal to stop the CEA motion at the appropriate CEA height. At this time, aware of the CEA channel at fault, operators selected CEA #57 for Primary System Group One indication. The computer indicated a false CEA #57 height of 70 inches. Operators then attempted, in Manual Individual control mode, to drive CEA #57 back out. CEA #57 continued its inward motion as soon as the CEA Motion Inhibit was bypassed for this purpose. CEA #57 inserted to 117 inches, by Secondary CEA Position Indication. Movement of the CEA had begun from its fully withdrawn height of 134 inches.

The CEDS Control Panel was deenergized until CEA #57 ICM was replaced with a spare. The malfunctioning module will be sent to its supplier for analysis and repair. Pending analysis by the supplier, no preventive action is planned.

A copy of this report will be routed to licensed operators for information.