DOCKETED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION NOV 18 P5:08 BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.,

(Seabrook Station Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos.

50-443 OL 50-444 OL RECEIVED
NOV1 9 1981

PETITION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE BY THE NEW ENGLAND COALITION ON NUCLEAR POLLUTION

- 1. The New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution,
 Petitioner, on behalf of its approximately 500 individual
 members, hereby petitions the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 (NRC) for leave to intervene in proceedings for the issuance
 of an operating license in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to Section 2.714 of the NRC's Rules of Practice and
 Procedure, 10 C.F.R. 2.714, and the Commission's Order of
 October 19, 1981 (46 Fed. Reg. 51330).
- 2. Petitioner is an incorporated non-profit organization with members, trustees, science advisors, and supporting groups in the New England states and New York. The Coalition is concerned about the dangers of nuclear energy and advocates the development of safe energy alternatives. Its major activities and goals are education of the public concerning nuclear hazards, energy conservation, and alternative energy

0503

sources. The Coalition has been an active intervenor in the construction permit proceeding concerning the Seabrook plant.

3. Section 189(a) of the Atomic Energy Act, 42 U.S.C. §2239(a), requires that the Commission admit as a party "any person whose interest may be affected by the proceeding." The Coalition requests leave to intervene in order to protect its own interests as an organization and the interests of its members. Many members of the Coalition live, work, shop, and pursue leisure activities in the area of the Seabrook nuclear facility and would be adversely affected by any accident or serious problems in its operation. The members of the Coalition are concerned that the quality of their lives, including their personal health and safety, property and leasehold values, and business and employment interests may be jeopardized by a mishap at the facility. For these reasons the following individuals have authorized the Coalition to intervene on their behalf and request a hearing on the issuance of an operating license for Seabrook Units 1 and 2:

> Laura C. Crooks 6 Parker Street Newbury, Massachusetts

James F. Fallon 131 Ocean Boulevard Hampton, New Hampshire 03842

Eugene D. Finch South Road East Kingston, New Hampshire 03827

Stuart Meiklejohn 563 Exeter Road Hampton, New Hampshire Elizabeth Meiklejohn 563 Exeter Road Hampton, New Hampshire

John W. Parker South Road Rt. 84 Hampton Falls, New Hampshire

Sara A. Parker South Road Rt. 84 Hampton Falls, New Hampshire

All of the above-mentioned individuals live within 8 miles of the Seabrook facility. Their affidavits are attached.

Petitioner and the members named above believe that their ability to litigate the issues raised below is critical to the health, safety and property interests of the Coalition and its members.

- 4. As required by 10 C.F.R. 2.714(a)(2), the aspects of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which NECNP wishes to intervene are set out below:
 - 1. Risks caused by potentially adverse interactions between safety and non-safety systems.
 - 2. The effects of a hydrogen burn or explosion on equipment important to safety.
 - All other aspects of the issue of hydrogen control.
 - 4. The requirement for consideration of accidents that are beyond the design basis of the plant (Class 9 accidents) in the Environmental Impact Statement, including the need for specific consideration of the consequences of such accidents without reference to probabilities, and the fact that the consequences of a Class 9 accident are so severe that the plant should not be licensed for operation. Depending upon what is addressed in the EIS, NECNP may challenge the projected consequences as well.

- 5. Seismic hazards at Seabrook which render the plant unsafe in its current design (particularly since this issue has not been resolved at the CP stage).
- 6. Emergency Planning.
- 7. Safety problems that arise as a result of the aging of the plant and equipment, including particularly steam generator tube degradation, pressure vessel rupture, and the failure to adequately consider aging in qualifying safetyrelated equipment.
- The inadequacy of shutdown heat removal capability.
- 9. The reliability of onsite and offsite electrical power to operate heat removal equipment and other equipment important to safety.
- The environmental, seismic, and dynamic qualification of electrical and mechanical components important to safety.
- 11. Reactor vessel embrittlement (pressurized thermal shock).
- 12. Steam generator rupture.
- Failures in Quality Assurance/Quality Control during construction.
- 14. Faulty construction work, including poor workmanship and the use of unqualified workers, particularly in the area of concrete work.
- 15. Inadequacy of Quality Assurance for the operation of the facility.
- 16. Welding failures and inadequacies.
- 17. Hazards of excessive pressures in the reactor vessel.
- 18. The Licensee's lack of managerial competence and character to operate the reactors.

- 19. The Licensee's lack of technical competence to operate the reactors.
- 20. The Licensee's lack of financial qualifications to operate a nuclear power plant.
- 5. For these reasons, the Coalition respectf lly seeks leave to intervene in the above-captioned proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

William S. Jordan, III Ellyn R. Weiss

HARMON & WEISS 1725 I Street, N.W. Suite 506 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 833-9070

Dated: November 18, 1981

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the	Matter c	of	
	SERVICE APSHIRE,	COMPANY et al.	OF

j

Docket Nos. 50-443 OL

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

AFFIDAVIT OF

1. My name is -acros Cottots. I live at Carter Machines Machines Machines Machines Machines My home is down-wind of the plant much of the time, particularly during the

- 2. My family and I have lived at this address for 19 years and in the general area of the Seabrook plant for 65 years.
- 3. My family and I use the beaches near the Seabrook plant for recreational activities from time to time during the year, and we engage in other leisure pursuits of various types within a few miles of the plant approximately () days each year.

4. I work at	retir		which ismiles
	of the plant,	and I am required	to travel near the
plant on my way	to work and	at other times for	business purposes.

There are many times we travel by a near to the plaint on occasion to visit our daughter a family who live intetter D. The we dole to Shop.

- 5. I am a member of the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution because I am concerned about the hazards posed by nuclear power, and particularly by the Seabrook nuclear power plant, to my own health and safety and that of my family.
- 6. I authorize the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution to represent my interests in operating license hearings before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concerning the Seabrook plant and in related proceedings.

	- Laure Cotacts
Sworn and subscribed to before me	this 12 day of www. 1981. Reday (1 Pair, Notary Public
	My commission expires 111-21,19+3

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE et al

Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444 OL

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES F. FALLON

- 1. My names is James F. Fallon. I live at 131 Ocean Boulevard, Hampton Beach (within the Town of Hampton), New Hampshire. My home is about five miles northeast of the Seabrook nuclear power plant under construction, and thus downwind of this plant much of the time, particularly on hot days during the Spring, Summer and Fall.
- 2. I am the President and General Manager of Donovan & Fallon Corporation, a New Hampshire business corporation wholly owned by my mother, who lives at the same address, which operates a retail store at the same address catering to Summer vacationers and tourists from May to September every year.
- 3. My family and I have lived at this address and carried on our business at this address for fifty-five years.
- 4. I have been a Selectman of the Town of Hampton, Chairman of

the Hampton Municipal Budget Committee, Chairman of the Republican Town Committee of Hampton, President of the Hampton Beach Chamber of Commerce, and President of the Kiwanis Club of the Hamptons.

I have many friends in my neighborhood and throughout Hampton about whose safety I care.

- 5. I am a member of the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution because I am concerned about the hazards posed by nuclear power generation, and particularly by the Seabrook nuclear power plant, to my own health and safety and those of my family, friends and customers, and to my family's business and property.
- 6. I authorize the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution to represent my interests in operating license hearings before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concerning the Seabrook plant and in related proceedings.

) - 1- 1all

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE ROCKINGHAM, ss.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this thirteenth day of November, 1981.

Notary Public

- 5. Seismic hazards at Seabrook which render the plant unsafe in its current design (particularly since this issue has not been resolved at the CP stage).
- 6. Emergency Planning.
- 7. Safety problems that arise as a result of the aging of the plant and equipment, including particularly steam generator tube degradation, pressure vessel rupture, and the failure to adequately consider aging in qualifying safetyrelated equipment.
- The inadequacy of shutdown heat removal capability.
- The reliability of onsite and offsite electrical power to operate heat removal equipment and other equipment important to safety.
- The environmental, seismic, and dynamic qualification of electrical and mechanical components important to safety.
- 11. Reactor vessel embrittlement (pressurized thermal shock).
- 12. Steam generator rupture.
- Failures in Quality Assurance/Quality Control during construction.
- 14. Faulty construction work, including poor workmanship and the use of unqualified workers, particularly in the area of concrete work.
- 15. Inadequacy of Quality Assurance for the operation of the facility.
- 16. Welding failures and inadequacies.
- 17. Hazards of excessive ressures in the reactor vessel.
- 18. The Licensee's lack of managerial competence and character to operate the reactors.

- 5. Seismic hazards at Seabrook which render the plant unsafe in its current design (particularly since this issue has not been resolved at the CP stage).
- 6. Emergency Planning.
- 7. Safety problems that arise as a result of the aging of the plant and equipment, including particularly steam generator tube degradation, pressure vessel rupture, and the failure to adequately consider aging in qualifying safetyrelated equipment.
- The inadequacy of shutdown heat removal capability.
- The reliability of onsite and offsite electrical power to operate heat removal equipment and other equipment important to safety.
- The environmental, seismic, and dynamic qualification of electrical and mechanical components important to safety.
- 11. Reactor vessel embrittlement (pressurized thermal shock).
- 12. Steam generator rupture.
- Failures in Quality Assurance/Quality Control during construction,
- 14. Faulty construction work, including poor workmanship and the use of unqualified workers, particularly in the area of concrete work.
- 15. Inadequacy of Quality Assurance for the operation of the facility.
- 16. Welding failures and inadequacies.
- 17. Hazards of excessive pressures in the reactor vessel.
- 18. The Licensee's lack of managerial competence and character to operate the reactors.

- 5. Seismic hazards at Seabrook which render the plant unsafe in its current design (particularly since this issue has not been resolved at the CP stage).
- 6. Emergency Planning.
- 7. Safety problems that arise as a result of the aging of the plant and equipment, including particularly steam generator tube degradation, pressure vessel rupture, and the failure to adequately consider aging in qualifying safetyrelated equipment.
- 8. The inadequacy of shutdown heat removal capability.
- The reliability of onsite and offsite electrical power to operate heat removal equipment and other equipment important to safety.
- The environmental, seismic, and dynamic qualification of electrical and mechanical components important to safety.
- 11. Reactor vessel embrittlement (pressurized thermal shock).
- 12. Steam generator rupture.
- Failures in Quality Assurance/Quality Control during construction.
- 14. Faulty construction work, including poor workmanship and the use of unqualified workers, particularly in the area of concrete work.
- Inadequacy of Quality Assurance for the operation of the facility.
- 16. Welding failures and inadequacies.
- 17. Hazards of excessive pressures in the reactor vessel.
- 18. The Licensee's lack of managerial competence and character to operate the reactors.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFURE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.

Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444, OL

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

AFFIDAVIT OF EUGENE D. FINCH

- 1. My name is Eugene Davis Finch. I live on South Road, East Kingston, about eight miles west of the proposed Seabrook nuclear power plant. My home is downwind of the plant much of the time.
- 2. My family and I have lived at this address for thirteen years and in the general area of the Seabrook plant since 1933.
- 3. My family and I use the beaches near the Seabrook plant for recreational activities from time to time during the year, and we shop and drive in Seabrook within a few miles of the plant approximately fifty days each year.
- 4. I am a member of the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution because I am concerned about the hazards posed by nuclear power, and particularly by the Seabrook nuclear power plant, to my own health and Safety and that of my family.
- 5. I authorize the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution to represent my interests in operating license hearings before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concerning the Seabrook plant and in related proceedings.

							Cores	Diese 17	Saito	Buch
Sworn	and	subscribed	to befor	е те	this	<u> 25 </u>	day of			1981
							"y cor	mmission	expires	

United States of America Telear Tegal tory Commission Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the matter of Public Service Company of New Lampshire, et al

Doc'et Nos. 50-443 0 50-444 OL

Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2

Affadavit of Stuart Heiklejohn

ly name is Stuart Heiklejohn. I live at 563 Exeter Yoad, Hanton New Hampshire, which is 7-3 miles from the proposed nuclear power plant. By home is often downwind of the plant. By wife and I have lived at this address for thirteen years.

Members of our family use the beaches near the Seabrook plant for recreationa activities from time to time, and nearly all our daily outdoor activities, of which there are many, are within a few miles of the plant. I am retired.

I am a member of the New England Coalition on Nuclear ellution because I am concerned about the hazards posed by the Scabrook nuclear power plant to my own health and safety and that of my family.

l authorize the New England Coalition on Muclear Pollution to represent my interests in operating license hearings before the Muclear Pegulatory Commission concerning the Seabrook plant and in related matters.

Sworn to and subscribe to before me t is / day of Ww

1001

My commission expires

Potent Policy

for They say

1.3. 85

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

			100
-	A ha	Matter	04
1.13	1 114-5	Pick L. L. C. L.	OL

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.

Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444 OL

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

AFFIDAVIT OF

	H. Meiklejohn I live at 563 Exeter Ro
	nuclear power plant. My home is down-
wind of the plant much of t	the time, particularly during the

- 2. My family and I have lived at this address for 13 years and in the general area of the Seabrook plant for _____years.
- 3. My family and I use the beaches near the Seabrook plant for recreational activities from time to time during the year, and we engage in other leisure pursuits of various types within a few miles of the plant approximately _____days each year.

of the plant, and I am required to travel near the plant on my way to work and at other times for business purposes. I activities. We are in This area all year long and feel strongly that in case of any form of accident at the plant, would affect us and circle any profess means of a recuation is maintained in the plant, would affect us and

- 5. I am a member of the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution because I am concerned about the hazards posed by nuclear power, and particularly by the Seabrook nuclear power plant, to my own health and safety and that of my family.
- 6. I authorize the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution to represent my interests in operating license hearings before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concerning the Seabrook plant and in related proceedings.

				Clizabith Il Mickleyor	2
Sworn and	subscribed	to befor	e me this <u>" day of Ky/</u>	1981.	
			Notary Public		
				My commission expires	
				1.30 85	

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Tm	the	Mad		+0+	n+
111	LINE	I LCL	-	rer	0.1

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444 OL

AFFIDAVIT OF JOIN W PARKER

HAMPTON	FALLS	, whic	h is 3.6 miles	* south
from the p	roposed Seab	rook nuclea	r power plant	. My home is down-
	seas.			ely during the erow, 1.75 miles

- 2. My family and I have lived at this address for 22 years and in the general area of the Seabrook plant for 22 years.
- 3. My family and I use the beaches near the Seabrook plant for recreational activities from time to time during the year, and we engage in other leisure pursuits of various types within a few miles of the plant approximately 300 days each year. mostly 4.5
- 4. I work at (not applicable) , which is __miles

 of the plant, and [I am required to travel near the

 plant on my way to work and at other times for business purposes. 7

[this 13 epplicable]

- 5. I am a member of the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution because I am concerned about the hazards posed by nuclear power, and particularly by the Seabrook nuclear power plant, to my own health and safety and that of my family.
- 6. I authorize the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution to represent my interests in operating license hearings before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concerning the Seabrook plant and in related proceedings.

- John W Parker

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 16Thday of Wwember 1981.

Skilley Jupilic Tann

My commission expires

april 21, 1982.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.	Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444 OL
(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)	
AFFIDAVIT OF	JAMA CHARLES
	air
14 94 - Jansto Freis, which	ch is miles
from the proposed Seabrook nuclea	ar power plant. My home is down-
wind of the plant much of the tir	me, particularly during the
anitew :	
ti mie o	
2. My family and I have lived at	this address for 2.7 years and in
the general area of the Seabrook	plant for 22 years.
3. My family and I use the beach	es near the Seabrook plant for
recreational activities from time	to time during the year, and we
engage in other leisure pursuits	of various types within a few
miles of the plant approximately	days each year. 1/5 an action
4. I work at	, which ismiles
	I am required to travel near the
plant on my way to work and at of	ther times for business purposes.

- 5. I am a member of the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution because I am concerned about the hazards posed by nuclear power, and particularly by the Seabrook nuclear power plant, to my own health and safety and that of my family.
- 6. I authorize the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution to represent my interests in operating license hearings before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concerning the Seabrook plant and in related proceedings.

Sworn and subscribed to before me this lote day of hovember 1981.

Skilly frestann

· · · inc · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

My commission expires

april 21, 1982.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.,

(Seabrook Station Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos.

50-443 OL 50-444 OL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of PETITION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE BY THE NEW ENGLAND COALITION ON NUCLEAR POLLUTION have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid this 18th day of November, 1981.

Executive Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Thomas G. Dignan, Esq. Ropes & Gray 225 Franklin Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110

William S. Jordan, III

HARMON & WEISS 1725 I Street, N.W. Suite 506 Washington, D.C. 20006