Docket Mo. 30-1275

Lawrence and Memorial Hospital ATTN: Mr. J. Mirabito Executive Director 365 Montauk Avenue New London, Connecticut 06320

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection 81-01/30-1275

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Ms. J. McGinness and Ms. J. Johansen of this office on February 3, 1981 of activities authorized by NRC License No. 06-09261-01 and to the discussions of our findings held by Ms. McGinness with yourself and Dr. P. Molloy of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The inspection was an examination of activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, measurements made by the inspector, and observations by the inspector.

Our inspector also verified the steps you have taken to correct the items of noncompliance brought to your attention in the enclosure to our letter dated June 26, 1978. We have no further questions regarding your action at this

Based on the results of this inspection, it appears that certain of your activities were not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements, as set forth in the Notice of Violation, enclosed herewith as Appendix A. Items C, D and E have been categorized into the levels described in the Federal Register Notice (45 FR 66754) dated October 7, 1980. Since items A and B occurred prior to publication of the Interim Enforcement Policy described in the referenced Federal Notice: they have been categorized into the levels described in our correspondence to you dated December 31, 1974. You are required to respond to this letter and in preparing your response, you should follow the instructions in Appendix, A.

RI:DETI 10/19/81

KRI: DETI RI DETI RI:DETI McGinness/gwd Johansen Kinneman WJoyner

RI/: DETI T!Martin

Your attention is directed to the fact that item A in the attached Notice of Violation would be classified as a Severity Level III Violation in accordance with the Interim Enforcement Policy. As stated in Section IV.B of the Policy, monetary civil penalties are normally assessed for Severity Level III Violations. After careful consideration of this specific violation, including the fact that it occurred prior to publication of the Interim Enforcement Policy, we have determined that a civil penalty is not appropriate in this case. However, violations of this type in the future may result in imposition of civil penalties.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and your reply will be placed in the Public Document Room.

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

Thomas T. Martin, Acting Director, Division of Engineering and Technical Inspection

Enclosure: Appendix A, Notice of Violation

cc w/encl:
Public Document Room (PDR)
Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
State of Connecticut

bcc w/encl:
Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)
Chief, Operational Support Section (w/o encl)
R. Carlson